	Page 1		
1			
2			
3	Overstudied and Underserved:		
4	Uses of the Law to Promote Healthy,		
5	Sustainable Urban Communities		
6			
7	A Symposium Presented by the		
8	Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia		
9			
10			
11	Thursday, October 6, 2011		
12			
13			
14	The Arch Street Meeting House		
15	4th and Arch Streets		
16	Philadelphia, PA		
17			
18			
19			
2 0	Reported by: Cheryl L. Goldfarb, R.P.R.		
21			
22			
23			
	VERITEXT NATIONAL COURT REPORTING COMPANY		
2 4	MID-ATLANTIC REGION		
	1801 Market Street - Suite 1800		
25	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103		

		Page 2
		3
1	I N D E X	
2	AGENDA ITEMS	PAGE
3	Welcome and Introductions	3
	Donald K. Joseph	
4		
	Preamble Discussion: Principles of	8
5	environmental justice	
	Alex C. Geisinger	8
6	Adam H. Cutler	17
7	Session I: Engagement from the	33
	perspective of communities	
8	Moderator: Robert Kuehn	33
	Panelists: Ayanna King	41
9	Julie Becker	54
	Rev. Dr. Horace Strand	70
10		
	Session II: Cross-disciplinary	103
11	collaborations	
	Moderator: Jennifer R. Clarke	104
12	Panelists: Louis M. Bell	105
	Leslie Fields	112
13	Arthur Frank	123
	Cecil Corbin-Mark	130
14		
	Keynote address: Vernice Miller-Travis	154
15		
	Session III: A project to unite NE	199
16	region (or PA - PHL and PBG) -	
	integrating cumulative impact	
17	screening tools into planning	
	Moderator: Adam H. Cutler	199
18	Panelists: Jim Sadd	200
	Eileen Gauna	221
19	John Relman	237
20	Screening of "Reclaiming Hunting Park"	263
21	Session IV: Land use/planning/	262
0.0	community economic development tools	0.60
22	Moderator: Michael Churchill	263
0.0	Panelists: Alan Greenberger	265
23	Vernice Miller-Travis	275
0.4	Melissa Kim	293
24	Karen Black	304
25	Closing Remarks by Donald K. Joseph	323

_ _ _

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

2.5

DONALD K. JOSEPH: It's time to start. Welcome. Welcome.

Already, I know this is going to be a great conference because at nine o'clock, ninety percent of the people in this room were seated and ready to go.

So it would be a mistake to ignore the outside world and not note the passing of Steve Jobs. He had a view that one should live every day as if one were facing death and to follow one's dreams.

PILCOP, I suggest to you, since
1968, as the Committee For Civil Rights under Law,
has done exactly that. It is a wonderful
opportunity that I have to welcome you to this
Conference on Environmental Injustice.

And before I do a shout-out to our sponsors, all of whom are listed over there (indicating), I must say that seeing some of the elders of PILCOP in this room, Dave Rich, Andre Dennis, Jeff Golan, it is wonderful to have you here.

And now to Marc Topaz and his law firm, who are our single largest sponsors this year, we say thank you. And we say the same to Drinker, to Pepper, to Cozen, and to Berger Montague, who are our second level.

2.

2.2

You should understand that these contributions are made as part of a yearly donation to keep PILCOP going. And all of these firms have supported us over many years. And we are deeply appreciative to them and all the rest, who I don't have time to name now.

I'm anticipating with excitement, this program. But unlike the last two years, I have not participated in the panel planning. Each of these panelists has spoken on at least two conference calls. And they make sure the areas they are going to cover are covered well.

So I am as eager as you as to the content. However, I do know how these symposiums are prepared.

Over a series of weekly staff meetings, we create topics and then go over who would be the best speakers suited for them, and then we go get them. Geography is irrelevant.

Thus, you will see speakers from California, New

Mexico and St. Louis, as well as our East Coast travelers.

2.2

The excellence of these programs comes from the expertise, from the vast knowledge of the Law Center's legal staff for the area and the vetting that goes on in this regard.

And this year is no exception, except that unlike other years, Adam Cutler, the person whose area of expertise is involved, had a much heavier burden. We left most of the choice of speakers, at least in the first instance, come from him, and then he had to go get them. And so we're very much appreciative of Adam.

However, my job, and not of them, is that we must keep to our time schedules. And even though there's a typo in the first one for mine, we will do our best to stick to them.

There's a reason for that, however.

The reason is that just as important as who speaks is the time between speakers, between panels, when we, as an educated, motivated group get to talk to each other. It is the spaces in between that I suggest much learning is accomplished. And that is another reason for

1 doing so.

2.

2.2

So I must thank the Rutgers Law

Journal for not only publishing again this year

the proceedings, but also I am pleased to report

that last year's has now been published and is up

online. And they have committed not to take as

long this year and have it up in December.

I'm sure you know we are honoring Jerry Balter. And it is fitting that JLEP, the journal, is sponsoring it, because Jerry not only has written for the journal, his article was the very first article in the very first issue of the journal.

So I won't go on to talk about

Jerry, but I will say he has been a wonderful

influence on our organization and the community of
environmental justice. And Adam is ably following
in his shoes.

And with that, we are going to see a video that was created by a student in Adam's program at Drexel, John McGlaughlin.

And if we would turn on the video.

_ _ _

(Whereupon, a short video on environmental justice in Chester, PA is shown to

			Page 7
1	the audience.)		
2			
3		(Applause)	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2 4			
25			

grab some food, do what you need.

25

So as an overview of environmental justice, we'll start just by defining it. This is one of many definitions of environmental justice.

2.

1.3

2.2

So one definition states, environmental justice is the fair -- it's defined as the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin or income, with respect to development, implementation and enforcement of environmental law.

So I'm a professor, and I read you that definition. And I understand that that probably doesn't mean a lot to the people who haven't been doing this. So I figure it's probably worthwhile to ground you a little bit in a factual understanding of environmental injustice. Probably the pragmatic one is the siting of locally unwanted land, which is what we call LULUs, right, in low-income and minority communities.

The film, of course, has already demonstrated this to you. You can see Chester and what's going on there. And it's a good way to think about generally the notion that a disproportionate amount of the environmental risks

in society are borne by low-income and minority individuals.

2.4

And to the extent that it can be grounded in this LULU paradigm, I think it's a worthwhile grounding. Much of what we're going to talk about today is going to sort of resonate within that paradigm. Right?

At the same time, we should talk a little bit about causes. So causes of environmental injustice, there are many theories out there. These are just a few of sort of the basic theories about why we have this unfair distribution of environmental harms in the first place.

So, of course, the first one is racial animous. All right? So whether it's intentional or implicit biases, animous toward -- toward either low-income or minority individuals may drive a certain amount of the decision-making that leads to this type of injustice.

And then, of course, there's political power or the limits of political and economic power in many of these communities.

And if you think about it, if you're a developer, a rational developer, you're

going to try and put your development, your LULU,
in a place where it's going to be most easily
accepted. Right? Where there's not going to be a
lot of community engagement against its
development.

2.2

To the extent that the lower income and minority communities don't have the economic power to hire the lawyers, the experts, et cetera, or the political power or organizational skills, oftentimes this is sort of a movement of the risk to those who can object to it least.

And then finally, there's sort of a market theory that you have to consider. You know, my own feeling is that at least in the LULU siting context, the market theory of environmental injustice has been somewhat disproven. But I'm happy to talk with people afterwards, if you think I'm wrong.

And the vision of the market theory goes something like this: Right. So instead of it being the siting of a LULU in a community that's already low-income or minority, the LULU gets sited first, sort of a coming to the nuisance idea. And then what happens is, property

values go down around the LULU. The people who can afford to move out, move out. The people who can't afford other than the low-income -- the low-valued properties move in. And what you get is a market phenomenon where the community builds around the LULU instead of the LULU being put in the community.

2.2

There have been some longitudinal studies that suggest that that may not be as powerful a mechanism in creating environmental injustice, as we originally thought it might be.

So what do we have so far, right?

And my students will tell you it's hard for me to stand still. So as I start moving, just hang with me. All right?

So we have this general notion of environmental injustice, right, meaningful involvement in -- in enforcement and development and implementation of environmental law. We have a grounding in this paradigm. We kind of understand, right, that communities don't have this right now, that there is a risk of harm that is disproportionately shared by low-income and minority communities.

And we have a little bit of an

1 understanding about why that may be the case.

- 2 | Communities don't have the power. There is
- 3 | implicit or intentional discrimination out there.
- 4 And perhaps the market plays a little bit of a
- 5 role in this as well. All right?

6 So now that we've sort of laid

7 out, I think, a nice little narrow framework, I

8 also want to expand things. All right? So I

think it's unfair for us to think of environmental

10 | injustice just in these narrow terms. There are

11 | all kinds of other ways in which disproportionate

12 | risks are -- are visited upon low-income and

13 | minority communities. Right?

So you can think about plenty of

15 other manifestations of environmental injustice.

16 You've got, right, access to fresher, healthy

17 | food, right? Clearly not something that all

18 communities have the same amount of.

19 Enforcement of existing

20 | environmental laws. So there have been plenty of

21 studies that have been shown that even existing

22 | laws are not enforced as much as in low-income and

minority communities as they are in other

24 communities. All right?

23

2.5

The design of environmental law.

So when we teach this in our classrooms, we talk often about cap and trade, something you probably all have heard of in the discussion of global warming -- excuse me -- climate change and annual response to it. And the way that they conceive of this as having a discriminatory effect is very traditionally commanding control regulations at every facility. You have to decrease the amount we pollute to a certain degree. Right? And so if you live near one of those facilities, the amount under commanding control regime of pollution that they create will go down.

2.

2.2

But with cap and trade, what we do is, we say, everybody, you can pollute. We're going to limit the total amount of pollution, but we can trade that, right, the pollution rights. And to the extent that happens, you might very well have a facility that's dirty that doesn't want to invest in cleaning itself up, for whom for the facility it's cheaper to actually buy the right to pollute more.

And so within the context of designing regimes to respond to global warming, we have to be mindful of exacerbating these distributional, right, differences among the

1 | communities.

2.

1.3

2.2

All right. There's an international convention to environmental injustice. All right. So you can think again about global warming as a reflection of the fact that the developed world for a hundred years has been exporting, externalizing, right, its risks, its harms onto everybody else through the global comments.

So we send our pollution into the air, but it doesn't stop at the U.S. border. It finds its way everywhere. And to the extent we're benefiting from that activity, we're exporting a great amount of harm. Again, this has distributional consequences.

And then finally, something that

I'm particularly interested in -- this goes back

to the paradigm -- I'm interested in this vision

of the benefits of development of LULUs and how

that plays out in the environmental justice

context.

So I've done some research lately.

You know, if you think about it,

you've heard all of these stories. This summer,

the big story -- at least I live on the other side

of the river in New Jersey -- was Secaucus, New Jersey paid \$12 million to keep Panasonic in Secaucus.

2.

And there's this vision that we have that when you build an office building or an industrial facility, that it brings with it benefits, right, jobs, taxes, a certain sort of what we call the multiplier effect. It just increases the general economic well-being of everyone in the community.

Well, when you think about it, that's really not the case. And there have been a lot of studies that show this. So actually only about 14 percent of the jobs created by LULUs go to members of the community. And out of that, those 14 percent tend to be low-skilled jobs. All right?

And then there's a bunch of stuff out there that suggests that the taxes created, as well as the economic benefits, actually accrue only to the political and economic elite. So if you own a business in town, you might actually be benefited by the development of a LULU. If you have the ear of the politician, you might also be benefited by the development of the LULU. But

1 it's the people who bear the risk who are going to 2 be the least benefited again. Okay?

So I've done my job here. I was going to talk a little bit more about broadening the vision, but I want to keep us on track. So that's my primer, my overview of environmental injustice.

We're going to turn it over now to Adam Cutler. Adam is going to tell you a little bit about the work he's been doing and to give a little bit more of the sense of the shape of the conference.

Welcome. Thank you. Have a good day.

16 (Applause)

ADAM H. CUTLER: Thank you, Alex.

And, again, thank all of you for coming today and
to thank everyone -- including our sponsors -everyone who has helped plan and prepare for
today's event.

I wanted to talk also about some of our broader themes and give you a little piece of the legal framework surrounding environmental

justice and where things stand today in terms of the movement for EJ and healthy, sustainable communities.

2.2

Some would tell you that we have mountains of environmental regulations in our lives. And so you might then ask me why do we still have communities who live every day, in face of all this regulation, with environmental injustices? Why are their voices still going unheard by decision-makers and by developers? Why do we see health statistics in these communities that are consistently bad, and across many categories, getting worse?

Let me start -- and hopefully I won't give more confusion with this -- by giving you some statistics from the latest household health survey conducted in the Delaware Valley by the Public Health Management Corporation. Every two years, they do a telephone survey, in English and Spanish, of 10,000 people in the region. So here's some of what they found in 2010.

In the Southeast Pennsylvania region as a whole -- so Philadelphia and its surrounding Pennsylvania counties -- the asthma rate for adults was 15 percent. For children, it

was 18 percent. So that includes Philadelphia, which is a highly polluted area. It includes some other environmental injustice communities that I'll get to right now.

2.

2.2

When we isolate some of those communities with high minority populations, we find much higher asthma rates.

In Hunting Park, a largely Latino community in North Philadelphia, the asthma rate for adults was 21.6 percent and for kids it was 30.8 percent. So that's more than 50 percent higher in each case than in the region as a whole.

In Chester, the asthma rate was 26.7 percent for adults and an appalling 38.5 percent for children. So that's twice the rate and more in the region.

And these communities were also twice as likely, according to the survey, twice as likely, among adults, to report that their overall health was either fair or poor.

In Chester, children were three times more likely to be reported in poor overall health than in the region as a whole. In these same communities -- and Alex alluded to this in his comments -- 38 percent were reporting that the

quality of their grocery store options was only fair or poor.

2.2

So we know that finding healthy foods is a significant problem. We know that high crime rates in these communities are also a significant problem. So there's a cycle. You can't get healthy foods. You can't go outside to exercise. You can't go outside to breathe clean air. And the health effects continue to snowball.

persistent over time, and across many categories, like childhood asthma, they are getting worse. And they're getting worse even as we've strengthened our clean air regulations and even as we've cleaned up the waterways, and things aren't changing.

So these statistics have been

It was because of these very types of health impacts, and the makeup of the communities in which they were found most likely to occur, that the environmental justice movement began.

In 1982, a North Carolina community, Warren County, organized to protest the siting of a PCB landfill that was proposed for their neighborhood. For six weeks of protests and

civil disobedience, they played a significant role in launching the EJ movement.

2.2

2.5

The Toxic Waste and Race in the United States report by the United Church of Christ, which came about in 1987, found that race was the most significant predictor for the location of commercial hazardous facilities in the U.S., more powerful than income, more powerful than home value, or indeed than the amount of hazardous waste that's actually produced and generated in a particular place.

The more recent updates of Toxic

Waste and Race and other recent reports, like the

Lawyers' Committee's "Now is the Time" have found

that little has changed.

The flash points in the struggle, many of which are represented here today by speakers and by tonight's honoree, Jerry Balter, are found throughout the country, from Cancer Alley in Louisiana to Houston, Texas, from Harlem to the South Bronx in New York, Los Angeles, Long Beach, San Diego, East Baltimore, Boston, and hopefully Harrisburg, Camden, Chester and Philadelphia.

The common theme is, communities

of color, communities of poverty standing up and saying that we've had enough of the clustering of polluting facilities in our neighborhoods. We've had enough of bearing the burdens of polluting activities without receiving any meaningful economic benefits from them. We've had enough of not getting the same amenities and services that the affluent white communities get. And we've had enough of suffering adverse health effects at two and three times the rate of the rest of the folks in the country.

2.

So what legal framework is available for these communities to use? Well, in the early days of the EJ movement, there were a number of legal successes along the way. Some were found in court, where creative lawyers used equal protection claims and disparate impact theories grounded in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Others came through advocacy work resulting in legislation and other policy changes.

Again, several of the people in this room today played a part in those successes.

At the federal level, intense grassroots lobbying over many years led President

Clinton, in 1994, to sign Executive Order 12898,

2 directing executive agencies to develop

3 environmental justice strategies to address

disproportionate adverse human health or

4

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 environmental effects of their programs on

minority and low-income populations, and to

7 | prevent discrimination in federal programs that

8 affect human health and the environment.

Nearly 20 years later, we're finally seeing some tangible results of that executive order. We have a revitalized Inter-Agency Working Group at the federal level among many federal agencies. And in recent weeks, we've begun to see the release of EJ strategies agency by agency. It's been a long time coming.

So there is recognition of EJ at the federal level, and in most cases, at the state level, too. In Pennsylvania, for example, we have the state's Environmental Justice Advisory Board, which consults with Pennsylvania's Department of Environmental Protection on EJ issues. We also — the department also has an enhanced Public Participation Policy, which applies to certain trigger permits for activities that are located within half a mile of any census tract that

qualifies under Pennsylvania's definition as an environmental justice area. That gives residents of those areas additional opportunities for public participation.

2.

2.4

Other states have programs similar to Pennsylvania's. Some even go a bit further, although not much.

But as a matter of law, while these policies offer more opportunities for public participation and engagement, there's currently no enforceable legal right under federal or state statutes to something called environmental justice.

example, by its very terms, is unenforceable by private citizens. There's no legal framework in place, federal, state or local levels, that reliably ensure that poor communities and communities of color are able to redress environmental injustice or even to have a real influence on public decisions concerning city planning and community development in a way that takes EJ and community needs into account.

In large part, that's because in 2001, in a case called Alexander v. Sandoval, the

U.S. Supreme Court, in an opinion that was authored by Justice Scalia, ruled that private citizens had no right of action to enforce regulations promulgated under Title VI to address the disparate impacts upon protected classes from facially neutral governmental activities.

2.

2.2

2.4

These regulations were intended to bar anyone to receive federal funds from acting in a way that had the effect of discriminating against a protected class, including race, national origin and disability.

In short, these regulations were a perfect vehicle for vindicating the rights of communities that, because of their color, because of their lack of political power, were overburdened by environmental impacts, pollution, neglect, disinvestment, and the clustering of undesirable land uses.

These same Title VI regulations formed the basis for the Law Center's groundbreaking lawsuits against Pennsylvania's and New Jersey's state environmental agencies in cases brought by the communities of Chester and Camden.

The Sandoval decision, which came subsequent to those cases, took this Title VI

disparate impact strategy away from private citizens.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Simultaneously -- and Alex alluded to this as well -- federal and state enforcement of environmental laws in general was not typically focused on violations that impacted poor communities or communities of color.

Since the Obama Administration came into office, however, there has been a focus on federal enforcement efforts that are directed at protecting EJ communities. It remains to be seen, however, whether those efforts will be impactful or sustainable, or whether state officials will follow suit.

So here's the state of the legal framework in the decade post-Sandoval:

There's no meaningful federal civil rights remedy available under Title VI to private citizens, exempt for hard-to-prove potential discrimination claims.

EPA's existing administrative complaint process under Title VI, which could address disparate impact claims, has unfortunately been broken from the start. Complaints take too long to resolve, if they're resolved at all, and

the standards are convoluted and ultimately
hollow.

2.2

The federal National Environmental Policy Act, NEPA, can in some instances mandate an environmental impact statement that takes EJ into account. But NEPA only applies to federally funded projects and it's largely a procedural hurdle rather than a source of substantive rights.

So it may offer overburdened communities an opportunity to delay a project while an environmental assessment is conducted, but it does not ensure that EJ concerns will be taken into account.

And at the state level -- and recall that Title VI applies to anybody who receives federal funds, so that includes state environmental agencies -- the permitting process does not take EJ into account beyond offering certain opportunities for additional public participation.

So indeed in Pennsylvania, although our state constitution guarantees everyone the right to clean air and clean water, the DEP is bound by their existing regulations, by state supreme court precedent, not to require a

full harms-benefit analysis to be performed for most categories of permits.

2.

2.2

At the local level, planning and zoning processes historically have not addressed EJ considerations. They're far more likely to take neighborhood concerns into account when those neighborhoods are politically powerful.

Poor and minority communities are too often left out of the process or they're only invited in once the appeal decision-making is done, a land use development deal has already been struck, and at that point the community has very little leverage.

So we have no magic legal wands that we can wave to address community substantive concerns before a project is built or expanded or before a permit is granted or renewed.

There's nothing to ensure that the project and the various permitting and oversight authorities have conformed to principles that will benefit, rather than wholly burden, EJ communities.

And only after the project is up and running can these communities seek redress through environmental laws or through other civil

rights laws. That is only after the overburdened community is actually exposed to more environmental health burdens.

2.

2.2

And this is the background we're faced with. When the community in the Hunting Park neighborhood up in North Philadelphia hears about a permit application to double the operating capacity of a construction and demolition waste-shredding facility that's about a block from people's homes. It's the structure we operate in when a proposal is made to truck fracking wastewater, billions of gallons of fracking wastewater, from Marcellus Shale activities in Northern Pennsylvania into Chester at Delaware County's main wastewater treatment facility.

It's the fabric we have to cut through when a casino licensee proposes to relocate to the doorstep of Philadelphia's Chinatown, a mixed commercial and residential neighborhood, notwithstanding public health studies that show that Asian populations have a high prevalency of problem gambling issues.

It's the obstacle that we have to overcome when a community in the small borough of Eddystone, with a population of 2,400, is told

that their borough will be getting new riverfront parkland, but that the price is that their new neighborhood will be one of the largest metal shredders in the country, and they'll be receiving deliveries from 175 diesel trucks per day.

2.

2.2

2.4

And it's the question we have to ask when flooding devastates the historically African-American community of West Ambler, in Montgomery County, and residents are left to wonder why their complaints about drainage issues in the community have gone unheeded for many years.

So these are real events. These are real neighborhoods. These are real people who are suffering the burdens. So we ask today what can communities and lawyers and other professionals do? What are we left with?

And in the end, what we have in this fight for environmental justice is the power of each other. What we hope to explore today is how all of us, from our different disciplines, our different perspective, our different experiences, can engage with one another.

How can we join together in productive collaborations to transform

neighborhoods that are overburdened by years of environmental impacts and neglect, transform them into places where today's residents not only get to participate in the decision-making process, but also get to enjoy the benefits of that transformation?

How can we develop new tools that take into account the cumulative health impacts of the numerous sources that affect these communities every day and get that information into the hands of residents and planners?

And how can we use new and existing planning and community economic development tools to make sure that these communities receive the benefits that have been the subject of so many empty promises in the past?

We have four terrific panels and a wonderful keynote speaker today who are going to bring us very lively discussion on these points. So without further adieu, I'm going to ask the first panel participants to come up to the table and introduce our first panel's moderator as our panelists make their way up.

- - -

(Applause)

2.

2.2

2.

- - -

ADAM H. CUTLER: So as everybody is settling in, We are thrilled to have with us today Robert Kuehn, who is the Associate Dean and Professor of Law at Washington University in St. Louis, where he oversees the school's clinical education program and co-directs the school's Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic.

Bob is one of the real godfathers of the Environmental Clinic as it exists today.

And we're really happy to have him here and owe him a great debt of gratitude for all that he's done.

So without further delay, Bob.

2.2

2.4

1

- - -

2 SESSION I:

ENGAGEMENT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF COMMUNITIES

4

6

3

- - -

5

ROBERT KUEHN: Thank you so much for coming this morning. And I particularly want to thank the organizer of the conference for inviting me, and the terrific job that Adam has done in putting this together.

9

8

I am truly honored to be here

11

today. I am honored to be speaking at a meeting

12

on environmental justice put together by the

13

Public Interest Law Center.

14

I've been doing this work for about 20 years. And the work that I've done and

1516

some of the other people you're going to hear from

17

today is really just a continuation of some

18

credible work that others have done.

19

You know, there's a saying, you know, that I think a famous scientist one said

2021

about standing on the shoulders of giants. And

22

all of us here today who do environmental justice

23

work stand on the shoulders of some of the giants

24

who went before us.

One of those is Dr. Robert

Bullard, who some people refer to as really the godfather of the environmental justice movement, who has done more to define the field and give it a research basis than anyone I know.

2.

The great deceased Luke Cole, who was a tireless injustice lawyer out in California, did some amazing groundbreaking work.

But I want to pay tribute today to Jerome Balter, because Jerome Balter truly is a giant. And when I was toiling away in Louisiana doing environmental justice work in the '90s, he's one of the people I looked to, because the work he did for Title VI at the time, and continuing today, is what's unprecedented in the country. The work that he started, which has continued today, in Chester on community engagement and community health impacts again was groundbreaking.

I won't be able to be here tonight when Jerome is honored by you. I just wanted to say again how pleased I am to be invited here, because this truly is a place that if you're not involved in environmental justice, you may appreciate that we're doing tremendous work. And I'm sure the future will carry on some more.

1 |

- - -

2 (Applause)

4 ROBERT KUEHN: So we want to get

started this morning on a panel on community engagement, where really the issue we want to discuss and think about a little bit is how do we engage communities on issues of environmental justice, public health, and community planning.

And what we want to do is share the thoughts of three different experts from both different disciplines and different positions in terms of their relationship to communities.

When we first started this panel, we somewhat thought that perhaps what we were going to talk about is how do we get communities to engage, what can we bring here today to suggest as ways that communities might become more engaged and more attentive to and more successful in addressing environmental injustices.

And then I know that at least I personally, and I think all of us, thought about it a little more and said, you know, it's really not us who can tell communities how to engage.

Really, we want to hear that from communities.

So really what we're positioned today to share is what we've done, what we've done, how we've been asked to assist, how we think that we can perhaps be a part of communities and better assist in that effort.

2.2

So really what we want to focus on today is how, as I said, we can assist in advanced communities that are looking to address these environmental injustices.

As I said, each of our panelists will be drawing on a little different perspective, whether it's a health perspective, an urban or community planning perspective, or in the case of Dr. Strand, actually being in the community, working with outsiders, trying to figure out the best way to use them.

Our format today will be, after I give you the background of the speakers, each will speak for about 15 minutes, giving their views, and then we'll cut it off and we'd really like to hear from you. I think there's probably more collective wisdom in this room, more collective wisdom in the audience than on the podium. And we'd like to hear about your own experiences and your own sort of sense of how we can best engage

1 communities and help them in their struggles.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Our first panelist this morning will be Ayanna King. She is from Pittsburgh.

I am glad that when people said I was from St. Louis today, I didn't get a lot of hisses and boos and bahs. Maybe I will if I come back on Saturday or late Friday night.

She has a master's degree in urban and regional planning, with a specialization in state and local government developments and a certificate of non-profit management.

I'm going to put my glasses on here, because the print here is only 12 and not 16 font, like I have when I teach.

She is the former director of Community Partnerships for Earth Force, where she's focused on communities in Pittsburgh and also worked here in the City of Tenure (sic) --

AYANNA KING: Chester.

MR. KUEHN: Or Chester, I'm sorry.

During her tenure -- I saw that word on the next line here -- at the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, she organized Pennsylvania's first statewide environmental justice conference in 2009.

She's the founder of the Pittsburgh Transportation Equity Project and the Youth Policy Institute. And she has done a lot of work, and I'm sure we'll be learning a lot from her today.

2.

2.2

She'll be followed by Dr. Julie
Becker. Dr. Becker is the president and founder
of the award-winning non-profit, Women's Health
and Environmental Network, which champions women's
health through environmental action.

She is also the chief executive officer of Evaluation Consultants, which is a public health consulting firm that seeks to put research into practice through a concept that we increasingly are paying attention to in the university community, community-based participatory research.

She's spearheading an effort here in Philadelphia called the Partnership for Pharmaceutical Pollution Prevention, which is a collaborative effort to develop better practices to deal with pharmaceutical waste management. And so she, too, will be talking today about some of her experiences and thoughts on working in communities dealing with environmental justice

1 | matters.

2.

2.2

Finally, we're going to listen

Dr. Horace Strand. I have to say, Dr. Strand, my

father was a World War II Navy vet, so I can't

give that marine shout-out to you that they did in

every town and elsewhere.

It's particularly a pleasure for me to meet you. I've been teaching environmental justice to students for about 15 years. And about ten years ago, someone gave me a video, "Laid to Waste," which is a tremendous documentary, if you haven't seen it, about the struggle in Chesterfield and some of the work of Zulene Mayfield.

And it never ceases to really touch my students about what the struggle is all about, particularly when I show them this graph that I put together that showed where the waste from Philadelphia goes, and the astounding disproportionate amount of waste that goes into the area.

And invariably, every few years, I'll have a student from Philadelphia who will talk to me about it afterwards. And just this past spring, I had a student who said that, you

know, she grew up on the Main Line, and she knew about Chester, but she said she just never knew what was going on. It really is unfortunate. You know, I think this is a blind spot in many of our thinking, just to know what's up the street.

2.

2.2

We're pleased to have Dr. Strand with us today. He attended the Chester Upland School District until he enlisted in the Marine Corps, where he received an honorable discharge.

He then went on to enroll and graduate from the Faith School of Theology in Maine, and founded in 1979 the Faith Temple Holy Church.

In 1992, he was the founder and first chairman of the Chester Residents Concerned for Quality of Living, which has addressed, throughout the years, as you saw in that video, the clustering of environmentally unsafe facilities within the Chester community.

He's a very accomplished gentleman, obviously. And he's received a number of awards, including NAACP, George Raymond Freedom Award, the Environmental Community Service Award presented by Wawa, and the Pennsylvania Resources Council, Inc. Community Service Award.

1 He currently serves as the 2. chairman of the Chester Environmental Partnership. So we'd like to begin this morning 3 4 with Ayanna King. 5 6 (Applause) 7 8 AYANNA KING: Good morning. Good 9 morning. 10 I'm always, you know, so amazed 11 whenever people ask me to come out and speak. 12 And, you know, I'm always thinking, well, what do 13 I have to share? And what do I have to offer to 14 people coming from, you know, the community 15 development perspective? 16 And I'm so glad and thankful that 17 Adam and Alex went before me, so they set up all the legalese and all the different pieces for me. 18 19 And I also want to thank Bob for 20 my introduction. 21 And, also, this is a great thing 22 to be here today and to honor Jerry Balter, who 23 was one of my board members when I was at the DEP. So I'm very thankful for that. 24

I wanted to start out because one

25

of the things that I always look at when I wake up each morning is, I like to exercise. And I have to get my day going. And I started thinking about like, you know, everything about this presentation and what I was going to say.

So I get up and I said, you know, you've always got to make sure you can laugh at yourself. I packed everything to go work out, but my shorts.

But I'm determined. I've been in grassroots for 20 years. I did a lot of different work. I'm down in the exercise room in my jeans, because I'm going to get my workout in and exercise, because that's how determined I am whenever I work on anything in a community, in government, as well as a consultant. I'm always extremely determined to help.

So looking at that, I looked at three different angles that I can bring to this presentation. When I worked in grassroots, and I started the Pittsburgh Transportation Equity Project, one of the first things I did was go to the community, have a meeting, and ask them would they be interested in working on transportation equity. Is this an issue that they feel is

1 important.

2.

Because if I want engagement, I need to know are the people in that community interested in being a part of that process. I don't want to speak for them. I don't want to work on behalf. I want to work with. I want to build this from the ground up.

And how I did that, working with the people in that community, I went out, and I was fortunate because I had a long-term history in that community. So I knew who the stakeholders were. I knew who the relevant players were.

So what did I do? I organized and set up the meeting. We talked about the issues.

I was blessed because I also got brought into environmental justice through Dr. Bob Bullard. He came. He did presentations. He talked to us.

It took us about a year or two even to decide if we were really interested in taking on the issue, because in engagement, it is extremely important to have people who want to organize around that initiative and become a part of it.

So with that, once people agree, we establish norms, how we were going to work

together. And we started doing the community assessment piece: Whose in that community who can help us? What skills do we have at the table? That is very critical, because you need to know where you need to fill in your gaps.

2.

1.3

2.2

We started organizing. We started looking at universities. What resources were in the universities? How can students play a part in this? How can we work collectively together?

And by doing that, we created very strong partnerships. And we started working with universities. They started commencing research. We worked together to develop white papers around the issues around transportation equity. We started looking at spatial mismatch, where the jobs are and where the people are, and how we can build and do, you know, continuity with those different angles and make sure that people understood it in a plain language way.

We want everyone to understand why we're coming together, why we're organizing. And in our design and strategy with that, what we did was organize at bus stops. We went right to the people. We used door knockers, because we knew some people would never open their doors.

So whenever we had a community meeting, we would go out and put door knockers on of that meeting. We would basically stay at the bus stops, talk to people, ask them about -- take surveys, ask about transportation issues.

2.

And we started connecting with other transit organizations who were doing things and partnering. And then we started looking at how do we engage young people. They ride the bus. They understand that, you know, this bus comes here. But they don't understand the background of it.

I created a 16-week Youth Policy
Institute. The young people would come together,
and we brought in experts in difference areas to
take them from a social issue through a
legislative process and how to access and use it
in the right way. Okay?

With that model, what happened -and we were very smart about how we did things.

We let the young people do the presentations to
the region. We got all the different people from
the work force who were making decisions,
transportation who were making decisions, and they
basically engaged the whole region around how it

was impacting you, what it was doing to their families in environmental justice communities, how it can build better relationships by working together and understanding what the issues are from the people who have to deal with it every day. Okay?

1.3

2.2

We did this for over five to seven years. And as usual, what usually happens when you start moving in these directions, funding becomes a big issue. And that's where the collapse comes in.

But what we learned from this process is, you can engage people, you can work a process very diligently, and you can educate the community because they want more. And they want to work with you.

So what did I do? I took that information and I learned from it. I absorbed it. And I was like, okay, as I progressed and I became a second director for the Office of Environmental Advocate for the DEP, who's basically overseeing all of the environmental justice communities for the state, and for me it was like every time, I want to be in the community before a problem. Not after a problem. I don't want to walk in and sit

down and people are always -- they don't even know me, but now they have a problem with me. Okay?

That's what usually happens.

2.

1.3

2.2

For me, it was like, let's go in the community. Let's engage them. Let's work with them, show them that we are partners. We're sitting at your tables. You're not coming to me. I'm coming out to you. Okay?

when I took on that position, one, to always be extremely honest with the community members.

Teach them the process. Make sure they understand that you may not get everything you want, but there is a process. Learning how to take them through that process and being reliable.

So for me, it was very critical,

I was so surprised when people would call me and say, you actually answer your phone.

Yes, I do. And how can I help you?

If you ask me to come to your community because there was something that you noticed, I came out to visit. I would ask my staff to do the same thing. We worked collectively together. We were a team. We did

not -- I did not just supervise. I was a part of them. I never asked them to do anything that I would not do. Okay? So we always worked from that angle.

2.2

And as we were out in communities, people were very happy that we were being a part of the process. This is local government -- I mean state government. Most people never new that there was an Office of Environmental Advocate.

I increased the board. I went out to every sector and interviewed people and brought in different sectors, so we can have a diverse group of people representing each region of Pennsylvania, so they can be engaged in those communities, too, because you cannot be in every part of Pennsylvania at once and think you're going to make an impact. I needed eyes and ears everywhere.

By doing that, it was very feasible to know what was going on in the North Central area, what was going on in the Northeast part of Pennsylvania, and engage it with the people -- my board members who live there and come and visit and have listening sessions, talking to community members, meeting people in the

1 | community, so they can understand that we exist.

2.

When we held that 2099 statewide conference, we had about 200 attendees. And we gave out over 60 scholarships. We engaged the community full force and worked with them and listened to them. And they were part of the process. They sat on panels.

It wasn't just that experts came and spoke to them. They were part of the experts. They have a part in this process.

And that's the key piece, whenever you're working with people, that you're engaging them, that their voice is heard, that they are the critical piece in this process. They are our puzzle. We are working with them. And we want to be there with them.

So I learned a lot of different pieces from, you know, capacity building and community governance. You know, how is your community being engaged, encouraging community input? That's the key piece from every single angle that I've worked. I want to hear from you.

Then my job is always, how can I help? What can I do? Where can I get them to build capacity? How can I teach them about the

process? How can I educate them on the issue, if that's needed? Whatever it is, I'm looking at what's in the best interest for them.

2.2

The one thing that I always realized, working for government, is, how do you build trust in communities? Communities have felt like government does not listen, they are not, you know, there for them. And my office was very good at correlating, communicating and really saying what can and cannot work and teaching them the process.

And when you open that door for communication, you are building a trusting relationship. And you're teaching them the different partnerships as well, you know, using your universities for research. And you're reemphasizing all those different pieces that I had learned when I was in the grassroots. So you keep doing the same pieces, but keep listening, keep building.

And I took all of that information. What I did was, you know, before I went to government, I did consulting. And after government, I did consulting. And I always learned, one, to listen, assess and respect the

community's wishes. Okay?

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

And working with them, you know, I always remember I don't speak for communities as a consultant. I want them to speak for themselves. I can teach them the different methods, how to do things. But it's really important for them to speak for themselves.

It's the one thing that I always loved about the environmental justice movement: You don't speak for other people, you let them speak for themselves. You can help them with the information so they know how to do it the right way. But it is key for them to do it for themselves.

And let me just say, like in concluding, and, you know, just -- I want to give you a few good points. When you're creating infrastructure for community empowerment, you know, by teaching, educating and working with them, you're empowering, they're empowering themselves. They know how to do the work as they continue and build with that issue, that whatever the piece is for community development and environmental justice issues.

Understand the skills of the

group. Like I said, just keep reinforcing that and know what things you need, what people you need, who you need at the table and how to build those partnerships.

2.

2.2

People will come and help. It's the one thing I learned especially when I started the Pittsburgh Transportation Equity Project. I had so many volunteers and so many people wanting to help and created awareness around the issue very simply by, one, just doing a media campaign, getting on shows, all free advertisements, and inviting newspapers to our stakeholder group meetings to interview people on why they felt this was an important piece.

So I always say, make sure you are advertising. Because if you are not talking about it and you're just grumbling about it in your community, you suffer in silence. People need to know about that issue and why -- you know, the problem at hand, so they can take it and help them build as well.

And always respect the different cultural differences within communities.

I was laughing because Vernice had seen me today and she said, this is the

professional Ayanna. Because one minute I have on sweats and a ball cap, the next minute I have on a suit in an African print.

2.

1.3

2.2

So I always respected diversity, because I've been around and I use this through every different thing. So you never know how you might see me. And I just say, always respect everyone, because you don't know which corner, where they're coming from.

And I always say, in any community development, anticipate the need for flexibility. You cannot go in with a plan and just think this plan is going to go from Point A to Point Z. You need to be flexible. You need to understand what it means. And you need to work it from that angle.

And be patient. Because engagement is a long process, as well as building partnerships and understanding how that partnership will work.

And one of the things I always explain and educate communities on is assess your partnerships. Evaluate them. See if they're working for you. If they're not, you need to find different partners and figure out which direction

you need to go to get the things that you need.

And that's empowering yourself, because you are deciding what's in the best interest for your community.

Lastly, you know, I always like to end with a quote, and I found a really great quote from Margaret Mead, a Philadelphia-born American cultural anthropologist who said, "Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world; indeed, it's the only thing that ever has."

Thank you.

13

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

14 (Applause)

15 | - - -

JULIE BECKER: Good morning.

AUDIENCE: Good morning.

JULIE BECKER: I'm want to thank the Public Interest Law Project and my esteemed panelists this morning.

And I am going to actually go ahead and use slides. It's not because I find that they're that interesting, but it helps me with my timing just a little bit.

So next slide, please.

Okay. So first of all, for some of you -- one more, there we go -- and this is -- you'll click through it, okay?

2.2

So I first want to talk about what the definition is of public health, because generally when I get together with people who are from a variety of different disciplines, and even those of us in public health, sometimes we need a little refresher course on what we do.

So public health is actually the power of the three P's. We help to kind of think about preventing disease, promoting health and prolonging life. And that's right. That's really a noble kind of discipline which interest me.

And under that rubric of public health, there are five separate disciplines.

There is environmental health. There is epidemiology, biostatistics, health sciences and community and behavioral health.

And so when we think about it, when we're coming at this and looking at environmental justice issues, actually, we get to use all of these different disciplines, but they all work a little bit differently.

So I'd like to talk a little bit

more -- that's a really wordy slide, but I want to
focus on a couple of key components.

2.2

One particular strategy that has been used successfully in public health for about almost 20 years now has been this idea of community-based participatory research, participatory action research, community participatory approach. It doesn't matter what you call it, it's all kind of the same.

And the person who really kind of got us off the ball in public health was Dr.

Kenneth Olden, who is the first African-American director of the National Institutes for Environmental Health Sciences, which is part of the National Institutes of Health.

Whew, what a mouthful.

So the key thing that I'd like you to get from this particular slide, this is the definition that was given by Dr. Olden. And what he really put forth and really kind of changed how we think about environmental justice within public health is that this is a collaborative effort, which is really huge, and that it involves an equitable approach.

So instead of in the past, when we

thought about researchers going in or public health people going in, it was one of these things, public health and community.

What Dr. Olden did with the inclusion of community-based participatory approaches was to do this: When you create that kind of equal and level playing field, it dramatically changes the dynamics of what you can expect out of this.

Next slide, please.

So what is this? Well, it's an orientation to both research and how to approach communities. It is definitely an applied approach. It is not an experimental approach. So you're not going to sit there and have a control group. It's not what we consider in terms of an experimental design.

And really and truly one of the things to do, it is to make change. When we talk about community-based participatory approaches, it is to make a change. It's not to evaluate a change. It's not to do sort of this observational approach. It is to make change, whether it is to community health, to systems, to create a specific program or to change policy.

So, therefore, it requires a different set of skills than what you have in general.

2.

1.3

2.5

It is also not a series of methods. So it uses a whole bunch of different tools with which to try to get at it. And normally, it uses a lot of qualitative approaches, which often have a lot of positives, but they have a few negatives as well.

Next slide, please.

So what are some of the pros?

Well, the great part about using a community-based participatory approach is that it involves communities from the beginning. When you initially are putting together stuff, communities come together with researchers and with people from other disciplines, which is great.

It also increases a chance to sustain it, which is really important. Very often, when we start to do things, we go out and we want to do a program or we want to make changes within the community, but there is no forethought on what's going to happen after the funding leaves, after people leave. How is this going to be internalized within the community?

And what community-based participatory research does, from its inception, it says, how are we sustaining these efforts going

And it also does something else.

It not only identifies both problems and

forward, which is great.

1

2.

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

It not only identifies both problems and solutions, but it often identifies community assets. And that is really a big issue, because very often in public health, we are the finger that wags. We come in and we tell communities, here are all the things that are wrong with you and I fix it.

And, truthfully, when we use a community-based participatory approach, we sit there and say, what are your strengths? And what are potentially some barriers? And how can we either overcome, mitigate, resolve or do something with those and build on what your strengths are? So that's a great thing.

So what is it not? It does not have scientific rigor. I cannot stress this enough. And it is not a panacea. It will not fix all problems.

And it includes a fair amount of social activists, which for a lot of researchers,

they feel grossly uncomfortable with that. So it takes a special type of researcher and a special type of person to be able to work in this kind of setting. And it, therefore, requires different skills.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.5

I've got to be honest, having hung out with a lot of basic researchers over the years and having served on basic research panels, I've got to tell you, the skill sets are very different.

The people who work in community-based participatory approaches have to have good communication skills. And they've got to be willing to let loose a little on the control.

That is not common for a lot of researchers. And it's messy. This is hard stuff. It's not going to be -- Ayanna was exactly right, it's not going to be -- the best laid plans are not going to get you there. And you've got to be willing to be a little dynamic. Shift it up, change it around. If it's not working, try something else. And for a lot of people, that's a little uncomfortable.

So now I'm going to talk about

1 what's needed to work for CBPR. And, normally,

2 people sit there and tell you all about their

3 | successes. Well, I'm not going to do that today.

I'm going to talk about my failures, because,

5 | honestly, I have learned more from my failures

than I have from my successes. And when at least

if I'm right, it's fantastic and I get to go,

8 | Woo-hoo! But in reality, I've learned more and

have remodulated what I've been able to do as a

10 | result of my failures.

4

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So I'm going to go through this and then I'm going to point out some of my failures. And I've got two slides on this, and I'll give you sort of some examples.

So first of all, it is having a memorandum of understanding, where you delineate your roles and your responsibilities. And the reason why I have started this, very clearly, when I kick off doing community-based participatory research, is that there is generally a gross mismatch of expectations.

Case in point: Recently, we have been working in West Philadelphia, in two specific communities, and we're working, actually, on some economic development and environmental justice

1 issues.

2.2

2.5

And so what there was, was a really big mismatch in what the community thought we were going to do and what we were actually going to do for the project.

So what the community thought we were going to do is help them form non-profits and write grants for that. And we were not going to do that. Our goal was to help them develop skills and provide them with the resources and connect them to other agencies and connect them with a whole bunch of stuff. And so as a result, the community got a little annoyed.

And I have to say that our community partner got annoyed because they knew we were working with a community group. They were very annoyed because they knew that that wasn't the goal of it. And the researchers were incredibly frustrated with it.

So there was a gross mismatch of what the expectations were. So defining them from the get-go makes a huge difference.

Accountability. Both -- everybody who is going to be sitting at that CBPR table has to be accountable. What are you going to do?

What are you going to give? And what do you expect in return? And that has to be measurable.

2.2

example, in the one thing that I'm just suggesting right now, is that there was no accountability from the community's perspective. So they felt that they were there just to learn and there was nothing that they had to go back and do, when, in reality, there were some specifics, but they were not communicated clearly. So, again, there was no measure of accountability. And it makes a big difference.

And this next one is enormous. So I have worked on -- since 1996, I've worked on about six different community-based participatory research projects, mostly in North and West Philadelphia. And the pay is huge.

So here's the thing: The academics get paid. Community groups themselves that are written into the grant, they get paid. Community members who are volunteering their time do not.

This is inequitable. So, truthfully, we have to reframe how we think about it. Because just like professionals are bringing

certain expertise, community members need to be paid for their expertise. And so we need to factor that in. And if that means that you have to give up a little from the academic point of view, sobeit. If that means the community groups that are involved have to give up a little or have to pay their community member to participate. But if we're talking about equality, we need to have pay as part of that. And that's a huge dynamic.

2.

1.3

The other thing that we need to do is address diversity, racial, cultural and spiritual diversity. So in one of the groups that I was working with, we had a major problem, because we were working within two communities in West Philadelphia, and this was around violence prevention and economic justice issues. And we had a very strong Muslim contingent of the community and a very strong Christian contingent of the community. And the two groups did not agree on a lot of efforts. And so there was not a lot of mutual respect in terms of some of the diversity between the two spiritual aspects of things.

And so one of the things that needed to happen is, we had to sit there and come

together and kind of look at how do we go ahead and what are some things we can agree on. So we can agree on, we didn't want you to fire us. All groups could agree on that.

2.

2.2

Okay, fine. So how you approach that from your spiritual, racial or cultural perspective may be slightly different. But we started with an agreement point. And I can't stress that enough. You need to address that. You need to be up front about it. You're not always going to agree. But you can agree to disagree. And that's okay. Because there's also strain amongst disagreement.

Next slide, please.

Which leads to mutual respect. We had -- in that same collaborative effort where we used community-based participatory approach, we had -- there were about 80 of us that participated as part of different groups, but there were 80 of us over the course of five years that participated. And part of the issue was, there was not mutual respect. And we needed to really address that.

And it wasn't until year three and a half that they really started to look at that.

And that really was like, we wasted a lot of time, because people were really angry a good portion of the time, because they didn't really feel they were being respected.

2.

And so part of it is going back to that whole idea of using a memorandum of understanding and clearly delineating what kind of communications app you should go ahead and use.

Ayanna pointed out this whole idea about this thing about timing and building. What funders often want you to do is get in, get going and get working and get a product out the door and get outcomes.

Well, truthfully, when you're doing this sort of approach in public health, it takes time. It takes time to build respect. It takes time to iron out what your goals are. It takes time to do that. So you need to have factored in more up-front time and then looking at a little bit more reflection time at the back end. And that I have seen overall completely we don't give enough time to this.

The last -- the next couple of things are clear winnable goals. So often when we do CBPR, we're going to eradicate violence in

certain neighborhoods. Really? No, we're not going to do that. We're not going to do that in three years. We're not going to do it in five years. We're not going to do it in a long time.

2.

2.2

And the reason we're not going to do it is because -- or environmental justice in general -- we're not going to be able to do that because it took us a lot of time to get there.

It's going to take us time to get out of it.

So as a result, we need to make clear, winnable goals and objectives. And so often, we don't do that.

So, for example, going back to my failure with this other group in West

Philadelphia, where there was this mismatch of expectation, we didn't have clear winnable goals.

And so as a result, the frustration from both the community, the community group, and the researchers was really palpable as a result of it.

There's a series of principles
that have been outlined by some of the great
thinkers of community-based participatory
research. And those are a series of about ten
different principles. And if you're interested,
I'll be happy to share those with you.

But the appearance to some of these principles -- and a lot of them have to do with issues around respect and communications and how things are going to operate when you use that -- is really crucial going forward. And those should be reviewed on a continual basis.

2.2

It wasn't until this large collaborative that we worked on with the 80-people version of it, it wasn't until year two that we finally got around to addressing the goals and the principles of community-based participatory research. Really? Again, not our brightest move. Really smart people, but not our brightest move.

And, lastly, we have to all acknowledge what we don't know and know what we don't know. And that's really hard for researchers. And that's really hard for academicians. It's hard for community groups. And it's hard for the community as well.

And so sometimes -- like, for example, one of the things with the community group that was working on some of the economic types of things, what we learned from that was, is, they did not like to use computers, but they wouldn't own up to the fact that they didn't like

to use computers. They were masters of the BlackBerry. They knew how to use that BlackBerry, but they wouldn't use a computer, which was so interesting.

2.2

When we interviewed folks -- and we did a number of in-depth interviews -- we found out that their reading levels weren't that great. And so that a lot of the information that was on the computer was at a much higher level, reading level.

So, truthfully, there was a gross mismatch where we started and what kind of information they wanted. But they didn't know what they didn't know and couldn't say that articulately. And so as a result, there was a real mismatch.

So in thinking about using this, this is a great approach to thinking about using public health and the different disciplines of public health, but understanding that it is not a panacea. It is not the be-all and end-all. And yet it needs to be used judiciously.

I meet and see a lot of folks right now who are saying community-based participatory approach is the only way to go and

work in the communities. And I refute, no, that's not the case. But I think it's really important to have that be -- this be part of our toolbox.

Thanks.

_ _ _

(Applause)

2.2

2.4

- - -

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: First,
I'd like to thank the panel for putting on this
presentation and the moderator for the great
introduction to PILCOP. It is an honor to be a
part of this great endeavor.

My concern is to help other professions and professionals to know the importance of your role in environmental justice in any environmental justice community anywhere basically in the world.

Environmental justice is a human issue. It's not a geographical issue or a territorial issue. It's a humanity issue. And every human being should be concerned about how other human beings are being treated anywhere in the world.

I remember when I first got involved in the environmental justice movement as

an inexperienced person. My expertise is theology and I'm also a private school administrator. And I had my life planned out, a quiet, non-confrontational existence, spending my time being nice to people and trying to help people to learn God's ways, never wanting to be associated

2.

1.3

with anything that was considered radical or, you know, controversial.

But injustice came to me, and I was confronted with it. And I looked at how people were being treated who were powerless to help themselves by people who had a whole lot of power, politicians, very rich investors who represent some big companies, like Westinghouse, B Capital II, and other companies, Waste

power, politicians, very rich investors who represent some big companies, like Westinghouse, B Capital II, and other companies, Waste Management or Metro Manning (ph), companies that represented ground pressure companies, soil remediation, things like that, came into our community. And these individuals came to make money. And they wanted to place their facilities in a place where they get the least resistance and the least opposition, and where people were powerless to fight that, because of economics, because of education and basically because of poverty.

2.2

facility.

I remember going to a county council meeting and raising the issue about the trash-to-steam plant being between residential streets, on Thurlow Street, with parking on both sides, where children play in the streets and the trucks would occasionally almost hit the kids while they're trying to play ball and things like that, and raising the issue of how they spent \$360 million to build the facility, but never took into consideration the effect of the traffic on the residents who were in close proximity to the

And when we raised the issue, of course, we're one of the wealthiest counties in America, Delaware County, the county chairman at that time was Mary Leonardi (ph), she's now deceased, as we were walking out of the county council meeting, I had a few individuals from the surrounding communities that was with us, and she was indicating that she was going to look into the matter that we were raising. But she seemed more interested in who the other individuals were that were with me. She wanted to know their names, the telephone numbers, the Social Security numbers.

I'm just kidding.

But when she realized that they weren't from Chester, they were from surrounding communities, she literally said, let -- you guys stay out of this. Let me deal with them. In other words, mind your business. Don't be an outside instigator. Let us deal with these folks alone by ourselves.

2.2

It's the same mentality when you're in a home of domestic violence: Let's keep this isolated among the family. Or where children are a product of incest. Keep it to yourself.

Don't tell anybody.

Whenever people are being abused, the abusers always want to keep it isolated so that nobody else will know what's going on but themselves and the abused.

And the same mentality exists in environmental justice. Politicians and companies do not want people with expertise, knowledge and power outside the community to come into the community and to empower the community and to help the community to defend themselves and to fight against the injustices. And so they will do -- go to great lengths to make you feel like if you don't live in that community, it's none of your

business.

2.

2.2

And what we find is that grassroots organizations have a very short life expectancy. They start off. They get excited about the problem. They raise Cain, and they get attention. And then the politicians, who are brilliant strategists, will just sit and wait until they fizzle out. Pay attention to them, make promises and then eventually they fizzle out.

And why do they fizzle out?

Because you're asking people who work 40 hours a week, sometimes 70 hours a week, to match wits with people who are paid on a daily basis to work in that particular expertise and field. And you are calling on people to find the time, maybe a few hours at night, a few hours during the day, and you schedule meetings during the day and you know that people can't be there. And eventually either that person is either going to lose their job or lose their ability to get a job or stay there and match wits with you on a daily basis.

Many times we've seen people go
bankrupt. We've seen people lose their homes
fighting environmental justice in Philadelphia, in
Chester, Eddystone and the surrounding

communities.

2.

Grassroot organizations don't have funds, don't have, you know, the expertise to know what their rights are, how to challenge these strategists who literally made these plans 10, 20 years in advance, before we realize what was coming down the pike.

Sometimes the people who plan the facilities in our community are no longer in power. And so then you have people in power who will feel that, well, I didn't create this problem. I don't want to open up this can of worms. It's the other guy that did it. I just want to focus on my administration and what I'm trying to do to help, you know, my community.

So it takes all the expertise to come together. It takes people like yourselves to go into the community and say to the community, what can I do to help you? I realize you have a problem. We're here to help. We're not here to take over. We're not here to, you know, be missionaries and tell you what to do and say follow us or else. But what can we do to help you and to empower you.

You understand the problem better

than I do. You may not be able to put it in the scientific language that the regulatory agencies demand you put it in before they will take the next step.

2.2

Our language is, it stinks. It smells. It's noisy. I'm sick. I've got a headache. My kids are developing asthma.

But when you try to fill out an application for a hearing and challenge the industries, they want to know what technical information you have to demonstrate that this facility is going to have an adverse harm to your community or create an additional burden on the situation that's already existing.

When I started, I didn't know anything -- I didn't even know what a particulate matter was. You know, I didn't know what effects mercury and metals would have on the environment. That was not my expertise.

But this is what they expect the layperson to be able to write in your letters of concern or disagreement or backlash in the community, so that they can look at that and make a decision on whether or not they're going to put these kinds of things in your communities.

So that's why we need scientists. That's why we need academia. That's why we need medical doctors. That's why we need lawyers. That's why we need human rights activists. People who know how to fight. People who know what our rights are to come together and sit down at the table and challenge these power brokers in a way that forces them to give the community the respect and dignity that is needed.

2.

2.2

Remember, they don't set out to be oppressive. They don't set out to do you harm. They feel that they're really doing the overall community a service, because everybody generates waste. Everybody has to flush the toilet, hopefully. And, you know, so we have to do something with society's ills. And if a few folks suffer while the masses, you know, are able to have green trees and green grass and clean air, sobeit.

But if you look at that scenario, what makes that worse is this: Is that if you select my community to bear the brunt of society's ills, even though I have a choice in the matter, at least you can empower my community to benefit economically from that burden.

But that's not a part of, you know, their strategy. That's not a part of their plan.

2.

2.2

We have the highest taxes in the county. We have the worst school system. We have the highest unemployment. We have the highest infant mortality rate. We have the highest low weight baby rate. Highest sexually transmitted disease rate. As a matter of fact, our health has been described as being that in comparison to a third world country.

So it's no economic benefit. We don't have jobs. All we have is society's ills and burdens, and it's killing us.

So this is where CEP came into the picture, after being the founder of CRCQL with Zulene Mayfield, who was the co-chairman at the time. I started CRCQL. I came up with the name CRCQL, Chester Residents Concerned for Quality Living, because we wasn't living very well.

And we fought. And we blocked trucks. We took rats to county council. At the time that I took a rat to county council, it's because the executive director, Ted Erickson, said he went down there and he didn't see any rats.

And, you know, God is always on our side, you know. And right after that, a truck ran over a rat that was almost the size of a cat.

1.3

2.2

So I put it in a plastic bag, I got me some yellow gloves, and went to county council. And, of course, I notified the media I was going to be there with it because I wanted some attention. Right?

And so when it came time to speak, I said, by the way, Mr. Erickson said he came down to the community and told the Inquirer that he didn't see any rats in the community. That Reverend Strand was just, you know, exaggerating, in similar words. And I said, but I thought I would bring one for you, and pulled the rat out. And they like flipped out. Front page.

Well, at that time, there were no security systems in the county. There was no metal detector. After that, they changed everything.

But the point is that when I
worked -- of course, we worked with Jerry. I
won't say too much about Jerry because I'm going
to talk about him tonight. But Jerry and Sue took
it all the way to Third Circuit Court of Appeals

and also back to the U.S. Supreme Court, and it became moot, the issue about the clustering effect of these facilities and the DEP's, you know, permitting process.

2.

1.3

2.2

It did a lot to give us some national attention. But it didn't change the living conditions of the people who are still trapped in close proximity to the facilities.

So when I was asked to do something at the CEP, and this matter was no longer functioning, I said I really had no intention of getting back involved into this -- and I'm almost finished -- but I realized that if I was to put together something to address the issue of environmental justice in the City of Chester, I would have to approach it a little differently. Rather than just trying to get as many community people to come to the table and protest, I realized I had to bring all players to the table. The same people that the politicians go to to help them do what they need to do, I had to bring them to the table.

Because one of the things I learned as an activist in doing my protest is that the community will raise the issue and then the

politicians will put their spin on it and make it look like we were exaggerating. And they were putting their spin on it because they were concerned about the people who knew how to fight them, getting the right information.

2.

2.2

so we developed CEP. We realized we need to bring academia in. We needed to bring the scientists in. We needed to bring the industry to the table, along with the community, and sit down together and make sure that the politicians were there as well, so that the very people that the politicians, depended on in the city for, will get the information firsthand. They will understand what the problems were in the city, what the concerns were, and what were we to do to resolve the problems and to challenge the public officials and the regulatory agencies to step up to the plate and do something to make a difference.

And as a result of this kind of collaboration, as well as the hard work of the Public Interest Law Center that has been with us throughout this entire battle, one way or the other, we have realized that there are things we can get immediately and there's things we need to

get long term, which is part of what both of my colleagues have integrated into their presentations.

2.

2.2

As a result of the work we've done, for 20 years, we had no inspectors from the community to monitor the waste industry. We have four individuals who are licensed to -- or certified by the DEP to inspect the facilities on a regular basis.

The difference in issue is this:

Is that we would not find out if the facility,
which is the trash-to-steam plant or any
facilities come under the DEP's regulations, was
violating their permit or emitting metals or
particulates in the air until maybe a year after
they did.

So what does that mean? You know, the damage was already -- what -- done. And that's what they call monitoring.

But the whole significance of the inspector, he can go down there every day and monitor and make sure that the facility is operating safely, make sure that it's not burning any, you know, dead bones or, you know, not burning contraband and all those other things.

Because, you know, you get some strange smells in the air when those things are fired up. You don't know what we are smelling. So we have that now.

2.2

We also have the best monitoring of these facilities anywhere in the state because we're in touch with eFacts and we also have people who work 40 hours a week who do nothing but deal with environmental justice issues from the community.

We got the city to start doing recycling. We got them to start looking at the relocation of residents who are in close proximity.

Right now, we are sitting at the table with the city and Delcora, with the waste industries, and when I say sitting at the table, we're sitting at the table with the head honchos. We're not sitting with their seconds or administrators. We're sitting at the table with the decision-makers.

And we're in the process now of putting together a pilot program to relocate the residents. We told these industries that come into our city, if you're coming into our city, you know, if you're safe, we want some community

1 benefit to be there.

2.

Right now, we have about six young people that we give scholarships of \$10,000 apiece over four years to go to college.

Other industries are coming in.

We sit down at the table. You want to come into our city? We want you to send some kids to college.

We started doing things that had not been done before, forcing them to step up to the plate, sponsoring baseball teams, football teams, working with the Boys and Girls Club.

We're taking it to a new level.

And we're saying, if you're here and we can't get rid of you, then we want you to help enhance the quality of life of our community.

But at the same time, there's no compromise on how you operate and how you affect our community. And we do not need any more in this community.

And this is what we've been able to accomplish through a collaborative effort, through the expertise that has come our way.

Lastly, if any industry wants to come to Chester now, they have to come to the

1 student community. That's hot.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

2.2

23

24

25

We also have an ordinance in our zoning that says that the industry that wants to come in has to prove that their technology, that their operations will not add an additional burden on us. That's key, because previously the law says the community had to prove that, but now the industry has to prove it.

I want to thank you for this opportunity and appreciate the time that you've given us to share a little of what we've been doing. But keep in mind, all of you have a part to play in making a difference to make things right where people are hurting.

Thank you.

16 | - - -

17 (Applause)

18

19 ROBERT KUEHN: Thank you so much.

We're going to ask for questions from the audience

21 in a minute.

But I just wanted to pose a question, because I've been doing this work for about 20 years with students. And in some

respects, I still think like a student a little

1 bit, because when we would go to these

2 | communities, we would, of course, since it's our

3 discipline, focus like a laser on the

4 environmental problem. And whatever the old

5 saying is, you know, to a carpenter with a hammer,

6 everything looks like a nail.

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we were blind. We were blind to the fact that in the very community, we were worried about an emission from a large petrochemical plant, that that same community had, you know, inadequate sewage. It had no streetlights. Its schools were run down. People couldn't get jobs. And it was more. It was more than just the environmental problem.

And, quite frankly, just addressing the environmental problem, we began to see, might not be enough or never was enough.

And so I wonder if particularly you, perhaps, Ayanna and Dr. Strand could speak to this, about why, as broad as even we define environmental justice, it is just one of many things going on in communities and how do we pay attention to that and possibly deal with that in trying to improve the community overall?

AYANNA KING: Can you hear me?

1 Okay.

2.

2.2

I think it's -- it's always, as we always say, it's case by case. Each community is defined differently. And you have to find trustworthy people in the community who can really talk about what are some of the big picture issues, as well as the environmental issues, and how do they connect.

Just to give you like a brief little piece, when I did transportation equity, one of the things we did was connect it to like arteries. If I cut off your transportation, it's like choking your heart, because it's a true vehicle for what you need to get to work, where you go to church, how you get groceries, everything you do, and how it connects, lack of transportation or lack of access of having public transportation. Also looking at crime, how it impacts young people. And it is like a circular effect that it impacts a multitude of different things.

The problem I think we have in environmental justice communities is that there's such a multitude of different issues at once, you have to figure out how to prioritize and start

tackling different things.

2.

1.3

2.2

One of the most effective models that I've seen, which back in 19 -- I guess about 1992, was the Hill District Consensus Group, where they started identifying everything, developing a community to design its own community plan and they sectioned it as six different areas which they thought were critical and they formed committees.

And they were at the stage like Dr. Strand is saying. Every project that comes into that community goes before the consensus group and they have an input. They may not get everything they want, but they actually have an input and they talk about it and they may recommend it and they may not recommend it. It doesn't mean it will stop every project, but at least their voice is heard to say, you know, we don't like it for whatever reason. May be too many. May be whatever. But their voice is heard.

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: You know, the conditions that you described are conditions that causes city governments to want these kind of facilities in their community. Because they feel they can't get anything else.

negotiate bringing these facilities in, they're only concerned about revenue for the operating budget of the community -- of the city. So they don't think about the benefit that these industries, even though the community -- it's not good for the community -- could be to help effect the education, to help effect the infrastructure.

1.3

2.2

For instance, they built Harrah's Casino in Chester. Now, I don't frequent the scene. However, the deal was that the city got \$2 million in revenues guaranteed each year from the casino. The county got \$7 million guaranteed. But they failed to negotiate on behalf of the school district. Right now, our school district is in turmoil because it has a \$10 million deficit.

These are the kind of things that you deal with and why, you know, environmental justice is not the only issue, because most of these communities are already economic-oppressed before these industries come in. And if you have somebody negotiating, they should negotiate in the interest of the overall community.

ROBERT KUEHN: We'd like to hear

1 | from you.

2.2

Maybe it's easier if you don't

have to get up. I'll just bring the mike over to

you.

5 WILLIAM KRAMER: Yes, William 6 Kramer with the Sierra Club.

I just wanted to say thank you to the panel and to the conference organizers for putting the community organizers on first, because I think it's so important and it's really inspiring for me to hear from three community organizers, wearing different hats, but doing the same kind of thing with community engagement.

And we all know it's not easy to organize a community, especially affected communities, who, like several of the panelists referred to, you know, face additional obstacles of poverty and, you know, multiple jobs and health problems.

So you touched on this. And I heard a lot of good wisdom from the three of you on the panel about this.

But if you had to pinpoint the major obstacle you face these days at community engagement, any secret you've found to getting

1 | people more involved, I'd like to hear from you.

JULIE BECKER: I'll start.

2.

less money.

Communities are pooped. They're tired. And truthfully, all of us are, because we're all being asked to work a lot harder for a lot longer for

And so in reality, in terms of trying to help to get communities engaged, helping to pick -- at least from a public health perspective, picking a winnable thing that people feel that they can do, they can accomplish and get done within a very finite time period, for us, has been much more successful than starting really lofty goals.

We can get to the lofty goals.

But, unfortunately, we need to have that wind because people are tired.

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: One -one of the dynamics in the inner city, where a lot
of this environmental justice exists, is that
we're confronted with crime and violence at an
alarming level.

Per capita in our city, based upon the statistics that have been put out, we have one of the highest crime rates in the State of

Pennsylvania.

2.

1.3

2.2

My church is on the west side of Chester, and it's centrally located. In less than a year, we've had eight murders around my church and within a square block area. Eight murders. Now, in the city itself, we had approximately 21 murders. So look at the vicinity in one area.

And so people are concerned about their safety, their children coming home from school safe. They're concerned about the drug trafficking. And the environmental issues don't seem to have the kind of priority in their minds. But what they finally realized is that more people are dying from environmental issues than from the bullet.

AYANNA KING: I would say overall lack of resources, distribution of resources to where they're really needed.

worked in -- and it's very interesting, because I currently reside in Hampton, Virginia. And like Dr. Strand said about the crime, there's no resources for young people to do recreational things, to keep them motivated. Everything is an afterthought. It's like it's really -- we're

really seeing what capitalism truly is right now.

And we're not hitting what's needed from the

ground up in our communities because there's no

4 resources.

1.3

Like Julie said, look, you have the fact that people are pooped out. People have been working on issues for years. And we've had more issues today than we had in the past. And we have no resources to help that.

Ingram. And I'm, I guess, known best in the city as a food activist. But before I got involved with food, I was doing some nonviolence work. So once I was introduced as the same in both, you know, had done nonviolence work and food activism.

And then I came to the realization, I said, you know what, I'm not an activist, I'm just an overly aggressive passivist.

But on that note, so I'm also involved with community-based participatory research through Temple University. And we've run into some real problems with, you know, grant money and whatnot, and where to go. What is appropriate change? Because any time you risk changing something, what are you going to change

1 to?

2.

I mean, it's easy when you talk about reducing violence or, you know, cleaning up the environment. But any time you talk about changing something, you know, it's risky.

me say this: You know, there's an overwhelming number of problems in any community. But the worst thing you can do is develop a hopelessness mentality. And like so many things, you can't solve anything.

And what we feel is that if everybody did something and worked together on making a difference, it may not reach everybody, may not save everybody, but at least we don't succumb to the hopelessness and despair of doing nothing and disallowing our society a bit of the pie.

And that's what our movement is about, doing what we can, helping where we can, helping who we can. And with that, we feel there is still some humanity left in this crazy world, you know.

JULIE BECKER: I'm going to build on what Reverend Strand says. Something is better

1 than nothing. Something is better than nothing.

2.

2.2

really important.

So I don't care if -- having had a lot of successes, as well as a lot of failures using CBPR, sometimes, though, that something is

And so I always look for what is the one thing that we can potentially contribute from this. It may not be astonishing. It may not be fabulous. But at least it's moving hopefully in the right direction.

So I -- I hear what you're saying very clearly. And definitely, these are discouraging times. No question. But I do think that there is a certain power when we all pull together and at least try working towards something.

AYANNA KING: I would like to add, and I concede from this wholeheartedly, because there's always a point where you burn out or whatever.

And what I've done to reinvent and do things is work in different ways. I may not be the front person. I can be the back person. I can help communities from different angles.

Just like I'm not here in Chester.

I'm not working there now. But Dr. Strand knows
he can pick up a phone and call me and ask for my
assistance in any way that I can help.

So, you know, you just have to figure out where you can make the impact. And you keep moving forward and you stay dedicated and with the course, but through different ways.

CATALINA HUNTER: Good morning.

My name is Catalina Hunter.

10 (Inaudible due to language

11 barrier.)

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: I want to say, praise the Lord. You're a perfect example of what we're talking about. And I thank you for sharing that. Because with people coming in and giving you the expertise and help that you needed, you changed that whole situation around. And, you know, you're to be commended.

Why don't we give her a hand of applause.

21

22 (Applause)

23 | - - -

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: I wanted to thank the panel very much, and particularly for

the analysis of power that they make about the conditions that a community organizing has to take place in.

2.

1.3

2.2

And I have one comment, which is that at some point, we're going to have to deal with the political connections, also, about how you turn community organizing into having some political connection or whether you think that's useful.

But I wanted to ask Julie Becker if she could give us some of the examples, the positive examples, of where she thinks the community of participatory organizing that she's done has actually made differences in the health impacts in the community and describe what they are, so that we can begin to feel what we can accomplish.

JULIE BECKER: Okay. Let me give you -- there are some really good positive examples, actually, around specific health concerns.

So, for example, if you focus on the issue of asthma, which is a major issue in a lot of environmental justice communities, if you focus very specifically, there are ways in which

to create really direct strategies to help people deal with asthma, one of which may be connecting them directly with health care providers. Because very often, folks that are there in environmentally -- environmentally impacted communities don't have access to a lot of health care providers, so one of which is creating a system approach to that.

2.2

2.4

2.5

The second way is doing some form of data analysis to figure out where are they getting the stress from in terms of the particulate matter, and then going ahead and figuring out what are some strategies short-term, medium-term and long-term approaches. But that means that requires using data analysis, which sometimes can be very hard to do in communities, and so that's something that needs to be worked on. It takes a little bit more time.

And lastly, there are other strategies that are generally low income that help to monitor and hold people accountable to doing that. There have been things that have been tried in terms of measurement that these low cost buckets with which to measure particulate quality in communities that are affected. And that's been

1 really successful.

One community particularly that has done very, very well is actually in Harlem.

And they've done a lot of work in this particular

5 area.

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In the Philadelphia region, we have had some good successes with using the community-based participatory approach in looking at systems approaches in connecting people to health care providers.

And so going forward, looking for ways to utilize this as an appropriate tool, I think, is a good way to go.

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: You mentioned briefly about politics coming into play sometimes.

Well, we sent the community activist to the White House. And as a result, he appointed a lady, the head of the EPA, that was doing a phenomenal job, Lisa Jackson.

And is that right?

AUDIENCE: Yes.

REV. DR. HORACE STRAND: And she's been getting a lot of squawk and a lot of fight from, you know, the powers-that-be.

But, for instance, our community has a program where we deal with asthma abatement. We partnered with Crozer-Keystone. We did something that was unique. They use their client base identified as the clusters in the community.

2.

2.2

We got a grant from the EPA that sends peer counselors into the homes to teach the parents how to do asthma abatement in the home.

We have community cleanups. Put dumpsters there. You've got to help senior citizens and elderly clean out any debris that might be considered asthma-unfriendly.

And so we also are a level one tier grant in our partnership with PILCOP, where we have been empowered by the EPA to do a study and plan to try to find how we can address the issues of environmental justice in the community and come up with some resolution.

So there are some things that are happening. And we also always encourage any local municipality, community to get people empowered to sit on the city council, these zoning boards, and places like that, because that's where decisions are made. So politics always has a part to play in making that decision.

AYANNA KING: And I have to just say from my experience working with politicians, we educated them. When I started with the Pittsburgh Transportation Equity Project, we educated them on Title VI and understanding their power.

2.

2.2

And it can work in a favorable way. It can also work in a very unfavorable way, which actually did happen to us, where when the politicians became very knowledgeable about it, they started questioning all of the projects in regards to the transportation.

And our public transit system went to our founders and started creating a ruckus, saying that we were not doing what we were supposed to be doing, which we were doing exactly what we should be doing, which is educating the communities, as well as our constituent base and politicians on the issue.

And so it can have repercussions, but you have to do something to make that change. You have to keep pushing for it.

And eventually they came around and they supported us and worked with us in different ways.

Page 102 MR. KUEHN: One final observation, 1 2 question of anything? 3 (No response.) This is terrific for me. It's 4 5 much more than I ever expected to tell other 6 people. 7 So I thank our panel again and 8 thank you for coming here today. 9 AYANNA KING: Thank you. 10 ADAM H. CUTLER: Thank you again to Bob and to our panelists. We have a break now 11 12 on schedule until 11:30. So please enjoy coffee 13 and more breakfast. Talk amongst yourselves. And 14 we'll be convening at 11:30. 15 16 (Whereupon a recess was taken from 17 11:12 a.m. to 11:33 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

	Page 103
1	
2	SESSION II:
3	CROSS-DISCIPLINARY COLLABORATIONS
4	
5	ADAM H. CUTLER: Hello, everyone.
6	If I can get you to return to your seats. I know
7	the discussions have been productive, I hope. but
8	we want to get moving with our next panel.
9	I would just ask you to return to
10	your seats.
11	Will our second panelists come up.
12	(Pause)
13	If you can just be seated,
14	everybody, please.
15	DONALD K. JOSEPH: Louder.
16	ADAM H. CUTLER: Donald is telling
17	me to talk louder, so I'm going to talk louder.
18	If everybody could please get
19	seated for our second panel.
20	Thank you. Thanks.
21	To introduce our second panel, I
22	am going to introduce our Executive Director,
23	Jennifer Clarke.
24	
25	(Applause)

1 | -

2 JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Thank you.

So the idea of the second panel is this: We just heard about people who have been working in the community from the perspective of the community. But we also heard references and allusions to the fact that we need science. We do need science. We need medical research. We need geologists. We need epidemiologists. We need public health officials.

So what we decided to do was go to the people who are doing that research, go to those people and hear what they have to say about what they're doing in the community.

So what we've done is, we'll ask each of our speakers to give us five minutes about what they do, so we'll understand where they're coming from. Then we've asked our panelists to ask you questions. And then we will have a discussion to go from there.

I'm not going to give extensive descriptions of the panelists' bios. Each one of them is an expert in the field, an eminent practitioner, and the bios are in the back.

But I have to say that we're very,

2.2

very lucky to have each of the four people here.

Because as you will hear, they're all at the top

of their professions.

2.2

So to kick it off, because when we're talking about environmental justice and public health, we are talking about the health of people, we thought it would make sense to start with a medical doctor and a researcher.

So we're very lucky to have with us Dr. Lou Bell, who is -- I have to get your title right, Dr. Bell -- who is the Chief of the Division of General Pediatrics at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. And Dr. Bell also is Associate Chair for clinical activities in the Department of Pediatrics.

So Dr. Bell is going to start by talking to us about what it is that he does with respect to the health of people in low-income communities.

LOUIS M. BELL: Okay. Thank you very much.

It's really a pleasure to be here and listen to these conversations. And I just want to give you a little perspective, as Jenny said, about the things that we're focused on

within the Department of Pediatrics, and specifically my division.

1.3

2.2

As Jenny said, I'm the division chief for general pediatrics at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. We refer to that, for those of you who aren't in the area, as "CHOP." And so you'll hear me say CHOP a few times as I go forward.

My division, just to give you a context of the organization, is one of 18 different divisions in the Department of Pediatrics. We have one of the larger divisions in the department. And we're an academic department that's associated with the University of Pennsylvania.

As a part of Penn and CHOP for probably 30 years, when I read the title of our seminar today, "Overstudied and Underserved," I suspect, to a certain degree, that we can take ownership, as an academic institution, as the overstudied part.

And I don't think we have, as physicians and academicians, done a great job in translating some of the work that we've been funded to do, translating that into health policy,

- 1 | learning how to communicate with policymakers.
- 2 And that's something that we're trying to change.
- 3 And I'll describe a little bit about that work as
- 4 | I go forward.
- 5 We are called general
- 6 pediatricians, because we do not have an organ
- 7 system that we can call our own. We don't have a
- 8 heart or a lung or a brain. We are general in our
- 9 approach to children. And we try to look at the
- 10 whole child and family related to health care
- 11 delivery.
- So our community that we serve is
- 13 the community primarily of West, Southwest and
- 14 | South Philadelphia. We are their community
- 15 | providers, both on the primary care side and
- 16 almost 85 to 90 percent of the children who seek
- 17 | emergency care or hospital care from those areas
- 18 | come to CHOP for their care.
- We have five primary care
- 20 | practices scattered throughout this area. And in
- 21 addition to that, those are five that CHOP is
- 22 responsible for, but there are other federally
- 23 | qualified health centers in Southwest Philadelphia
- 24 and other public centers that are run by the
- 25 Department of Pediatrics.

So that's the primary care environment. But we have five different ones that we're really able to access and communicate with on a very robust way.

2.

1.3

2.2

2.4

Because we focus on the child and the family, that's the way we focus our research. So we operate in this very messy environment, as was mentioned before, in terms of how do we deliver care, health care, to children.

We're interested in improving access to care. We're interested in limiting disparities based on economics and gender. We want to improve outcomes. We want to lower costs of care. And the last part that I think we really need to do a better job, and we're trying to, is to really inform health policy for children.

And I've included in your packet three different briefs that we call "action briefs," that is an example of some of the things that we're doing with a new center called "PolicyLab," which is the Center to Bridge Research, Practice and Policy. That's a three-year-old center of emphasis at CHOP.

We are also interested not only in health care delivery, but health. And

increasingly, I think, as general pediatricians, we're called upon to think about the impact of health that education has, that environments have and housing, those sorts of issues, of how they impact the health of children. And we've talked a lot about asthma, which is a very multifactorial condition.

2.

1.3

2.2

The types of research that we're interested in is minority health. And this is one of the things I think that Jenny has come to know about by our practice-based research network that we've formed. CHOP owns 30 primary care practices, the five within Philadelphia and then others scattered around the Pennsylvania and New Jersey area. This is about 200,000 children covered in these practices. It's about 170 primary care pediatricians. It's about 720,000 visits a year. And it's all on the computerized electronic health record.

So we can, for the first time, begin to mine this information and use it to, again, focus on how we deliver care to patients.

We can look at some of these health-related issues in terms of, for example, we now know that girls are referred less frequently for assessment of

short stature than boys. And that's a gender difference in terms of the way we look at height. And, in fact, girls are more likely, when they're short and below normal height, to have some sort of significant medical condition related to that.

1.3

2.2

So we discovered that by looking at this data.

We've been lucky enough to gather together a really talented group to start to do this sort of research. We are not a community-based participatory research network. Our community, if you will, is the primary care pediatrician, on the one hand, and the academic clinical researchers that live in the academic medical centers. So these are the two -- this is our group, if you will, our community.

So here are three questions to consider, and then I'll turn it over.

How accurately can our community of pediatric primary care providers, who are, you know, on the front lines of delivering care in the community reflect the needs of the community that they're surveying in terms of some of these issues that we've been talking about?

How can we use this clinical

research network to focus on the types of research that has the most benefit for children and their families?

2.2

2.5

And, you know, how can an academic medical center like Penn and CHOP, which has a lot of downward pressure from funded researchers, how can we do a better job at fostering research questions and helping formulate those questions from primary care pediatricians and from community-based groups?

So those are my questions to pose.

And I'll turn it over to the next panelist.

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: So what we'll do is, I hope that after each of the panelists has talked about their connection to this topic, that we start to address the questions that Dr. Bell has raised, as well as the questions that others have. And they're very important questions. And I hope all of you will think about those questions as well.

Next, I'd like to introduce Leslie Fields. Leslie is the national environmental justice director of the Sierra Club. And she's going to talk about her experiences with using science in the work that she's done.

1 LESLIE FIELDS: Okay. Thank you

2 very much.

2.2

Good morning, everybody. I am really honored to be here at this wonderful event to honor Jerry Balter. And I want to thank the Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia and the public, thank you so much for the invitation and thank you all for coming.

I also want to give a shout-out for any questions as well to -- how many students are here?

AUDIENCE: (Raising hands.)

LESLIE FIELDS: Great. I just want to commend you and applaud you. And you will have fantastic public interviews. You just have to work really, really, really hard and be really, really, really creative. And I hope some of these tactics and wisdom will help you in that endeavor.

It's been a privilege and an honor to be a public interest lawyer. And it's kind of been a calling. So I'm thrilled to see you all here. Thank you for coming.

As I stated, I began my environmental justice record for the Sierra Club. And Sierra Club, as many of you know, we're a

very, very big environmental organization founded in 1892. And I'm very much appreciative of my colleagues who are here, William Kramer, who spoke up a little earlier and the Chairman of our Board, Robin Mann is here. She lives in the area. I very much appreciate them coming out as well.

2.

2.2

And about -- about in 2000, the Sierra Club started the environmental justice program. We're now in the Environmental Justice Community Partnerships Program, and we are in eight areas of the country.

We work -- when I say we're in eight areas, we actually have an embedded organizer who lives in the community. We are in Appalachia, working on the pernicious practice of mountaintop removal mining.

We are in Detroit, working -Detroit is -- has a plethora of issues. And it
includes everything from the Ambassador bridge
from Canada, 10,000 trucks and cars a day. Next
to Southwest High School, they're building another
bridge.

You know, people think, oh,
Canada, nice and friendly. They're sending a lot
of pollution down, including the Keystone

1 | Pipeline. I just had to put that up there.

2. And there's some community work that's called 48217. That's what they call 3 themselves. That's their ZIP code. They have the 4 5 only refinery in the state. They have a salt mine. They have the coal-fired power plant. They 6 have the Ford legacy truck plant. They have a number of other legacy GM auto facilities. They 8 have the largest incinerator in the United States. 10 And they have a number of other terrible, terrible 11 facilities in this one area code. No hospital. 12 They have to go up to Henry Ford or they have to

And every weekend, there are children from that ZIP code in Oakwood Hospital for various reasons, asthma, respiratory distress issues, et cetera.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

go over to Oakwood.

We are in Indianapolis, many of the same conditions. We are in Memphis, Tennessee. We are in Puerto Rico. We are also in Arizona, two organizers there. One is working on coal issues in private communities and the other one, Robert Tohe, he's fantastic, he's a Navaho elder. I'm trying to get him to write his book.

Robert was at Alcatraz when it was

being occupied by a band from Wounded Knee, and just is so wonderful. And he is working on the issue of uranium mining on that community and also the effect of climate change on sacred sites.

2.

2.4

And we are in Washington, D.C., working on the Anacostia River issues -- we call it the "Forgotten River" -- on everything from all the stuff that comes down from this area, the Delaware Gap, the Schuylkill, into the Chesapeake Watershed, the Susquehanna, and then also the fact that Thomas Jefferson put the Navy yard right there at the base of the mouth of the Anacostia and Potomac Rivers.

And so, you know, the Department of Defense is one of the biggest polluters in the world. So 200 years of God-knows-what in there.

And we're also in New Orleans.

And our organizer in New Orleans first started working on the issue of Cancer Alley. As many of you know, Cancer Alley being the 15 miles between Baton Rouge to New Orleans. Dozens and dozens of petrochemical refinery plants in the African-American community there.

Since Hurricane Katrina, Darryl,

our organizer still works with that community, but

we have been working on sustainable development of New Orleans with the Mary Queen of Vietnam Parish in New Orleans East, the African-American community in the lower ninth ward, and then also the home initiative in Peridot Parish.

2.2

2.4

And I'm going to talk a little bit about New Orleans, because working with science, we -- it's important, but we want to make sure it's good science. It's not bad science. It's not sporadic science. It's not abusive science. It's not exploitive science.

I'm trying to partner up a program with different academic institutions and also different institutions that have medical facilities with each of our programs.

We're very blessed in New Orleans to work with Tulane University. I've worked with the law clinic for years. But, unfortunately, as you know, New Orleans lost much of its hospital health care facilities after the hurricanes and it's still coming back. And that's a huge problem with community working. They do not simply have health care.

In the lower ninth ward, they have a small hospital. That hospital is yet to be

opened. They didn't have mail for two-and-a-half years. There's no public transportation there.

There's only one restaurant that's open, you know, whenever, until the food runs out. There is no grocery store. And it's still a huge struggle.

2.

2.2

So the housing stock has not been covered. The public housing was not brought back. So if there's no services, it seems like they didn't really want you to come back if you need services. I mean, it's pretty blatant. And including the health services.

And so one of the issues that we found, and this is a real tribute to -- I forgot to mention earlier, at the Sierra Club, we have 63 chapters and fantastic volunteers.

And one of our volunteers, her name is Becky Gillette, she alerted us to the issue of formaldehyde in the FEMA trailers. And it was through Becky's hard work, Tom Meltzer, who's also a Sierra Club volunteer, has helped with homes.

We could not get the state and had to fight very hard to get the CDC and the federal government to come down and start testing the FEMA trailers for formaldehyde.

So we took it upon ourselves. We did our own air testing of formaldehyde. And it was really a struggle just raising the money, doing the tests, you know, making sure there was secure testing and being accredited and also just fighting with the federal government on providing these resources to this community.

2.

1.3

And so I don't want to forget, we tested the trailer of a Reverend James Terrace, who is active in the American Mission of Gulfport, Mississippi, because everybody was so happy just to get some kind of housing after the storm. A hundred and forty-one thousand trailers were dispersed to communities after Hurricanes Rita and Katrina. And then people started getting really, really sick.

No one told him about the issue of formaldehyde outgassing. And he was so overcome with formaldehyde, he knew he was starting to have a heart attack. He went to the emergency room. They did some tests on him. He stayed over a few nights. His hospital bill came to \$4,000.

We tested his trailer, and it turned out that his -- he had 3.308 parts per million formaldehyde at that time. We went back

and tested his trailer. We tested other family trailers. And Becky did this with Darryl

Malek-Wiley as well and our volunteers in

Mississippi and Louisiana.

2.2

2.4

And we realized we really had a national problem. Because there were some old formaldehyde standards that the Housing and Urban Development had promulgated in 1981, but that standard was so high.

we had to really work with -we had to get the federal government involved,
ATSDR, CDC. And as many of you probably remember,
they did find high elevated levels of
formaldehyde, but we had advocated to Congress
and, fortunately, Congressman Waxman really took
this issue. And he had a government oversight
hearing, held up the CDC and FEMA there, because
there were some other issues around their lawyers
saying that, well, make sure that we don't let
this out because we're going to be culpable and
liable. And it was a really tough situation to
get these people the kind of, first, testing that
they needed and then some health care.

And so we did a lot of research.

And that's what my position is, I tried to bring

up all these issues to the national level and international level. And the California Resources Board had promulgated a formaldehyde standard.

2.2

2.4

So we petitioned for a notice of rulemaking. We were granted -- EPA did a rulemaking. We had the rulemaking hearings. We had the civil rights community. We had affected people come in. And we basically told EPA just to adopt the CARB standard. And so we did get a good rule from that.

And in addition, we also took the science that we used and finally got the CDC to do some testing through all the advocacy of, again, our volunteers and our coalition by then, and I started lobbying on this bill, lobbying for some legislation, so we have a national formaldehyde bill.

And, lo-and-behold, members of Congress have been poisoned by formaldehyde. Our House sponsor was then Congressman Diane Watson from California. She said she had been poisoned by formaldehyde in her office when she was a state assemblywoman, and still felt health effects.

And then our Senate sponsor was Senator Amy Klobuchar from Minneapolis. And she

said when she was an assistant attorney general and came back from her maternity leave, she could not understand why she stayed so sick. It's because she had been -- her carpets in her office were just offgassing formaldehyde. It's everywhere.

2.2

2.4

And so -- but the other interesting thing, we also had to combat the Wood Products Association of America and that coalition. And I had to go to many of their meetings. And I have to tell you, oh, boy. The Chemistry Council and those folks.

But what was important was that, again, making the connections and working very hard with the people in that industry, wanting to do the right thing, I learned a lot, because much of the bad formaldehyde products were coming from China. And they were feeling like they were being undercut in terms of the market share.

Because then there later became a scandal, as you know, with Chinese wood in Florida. And that's a very similar situation, but it wasn't effective to our bill.

So we do work with Tom Julia. And his organization wanted to really promote the

right kind of formaldehyde standard. And we got a bill through Congress. We had a Republican sponsor. And I'm proud to say that Senator -- I mean, now President Obama, signed that bill into law as 1660 last July. And it's one of the few environmental laws that has been passed.

_ _

2.

(Applause)

- -

LESLIE FIELDS: So it was a five-year odyssey of working with many, many constituents, working with many, many sectors, working with science in terms of in a proactive way, and then also science that was holding people down and hurting them. And we had to basically lift that up and demonstrate that.

So I'll stop.

19 (Applause)

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Thank you,
Leslie. That's a great example of how science was
really instrumental and important, really the key,
to getting some change.

Next I'm going to turn to

Dr. Arthur Frank, who is the Professor and Chair of the Department of Environmental and

- 3 Occupational Health at Drexel University.
- 4 Dr. Frank I hope will talk, among
- 5 other things, about how you translate the
- 6 difficult precepts of science or interpret those
- 7 difficult precepts for communities, so the
- 8 communities themselves can make use of the
- 9 | science. I'm hoping that his remarks will include
- 10 | his experiences there.
- 11 | ARTHUR FRANK: Thank you very
- 12 much, Jenny. And for me, too, it's an honor to
- 13 | have been asked to be with you today.
- 14 As you can tell from my
- 15 professional title, I work in the area of
- 16 environmental and occupational health. My
- 17 training is both in internal medicine, which is
- 18 | general adult medicine, but have spent most of my
- 19 | career doing occupational medicine.
- 20 Looking at people in the workplace
- 21 | is not really all that different from looking at
- 22 people in the communities. And there's a lot of
- 23 similarities there.
- 24 Where we see problems in the
- 25 | workplace and where we see problems in communities

are generally among the disenfranchised. And people are disenfranchised in many ways: Because of their economic situation, because of their racial and ethnic situation, because of the fact that they are workers in an environment where jobs may be hard to get.

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

And, clearly, in the environment that we have right now, the work environment, with unemployment, all we have to do is look around and see how workers -- and it carries over to communities -- people are getting more and more disenfranchised when we look at the power, the diminishing power of labor unions, and the ones that do exist no longer take on safety and health issues.

So I've spent virtually all of my medical career looking at issues of environmental and workplace exposures, have done that in a variety of settings, not only in urban settings, like here in Philadelphia, but spent a number of years, over a decade, actually, in Kentucky, dealing with coal mines and coal mining communities, dealing with issues of mountaintop removal and such.

And as we heard this morning from

the panelists -- and it was a great pleasure for me to hear from the folks -- that were here to honor Jerry Balter, one of the positions I served in at the state level is on the Environmental Justice Advisory Board, where I first met Jerry, where Dr. Strand serves, where Ayanna was looking after that. We have one of the environmental advocates, Alice Wright, who is here with us today as well. And so we do look at it, but we look at it in the very constrained context of the political system and the governmental system.

2.2

2.4

2.5

And what we need to remember is that companies are motivated by capitalistic and sometimes even greed-oriented activities.

Politicians are motivated by the need to be reelected. So there are not many folks that are left to look at the issues that we need to look at.

And to do that -- we heard that this morning, earlier -- that we need science to fight back. It's not sufficient just to say we don't like the idea. There are rules, there are regulations you have to fit in there. And there are a number of serious and difficult problems when it comes to science.

First of all, I will tell you that for most questions, we don't have the data we need. And if we do have the data we need, we have no -- not at the level of the community, but most of the time we have them at the level of the county.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

And so we may know that there's an asthma rate of 50 percent in Philadelphia. But it's not equally distributed, you know.

Manhattan, you know, one of the counties of New York, the asthma rates are not equally distributed. And in the communities of color, it is much higher than in the more affluent parts.

And it's not just issues of external environmental pollution, as we've heard. There are issues within the home even that may be looked at. So the data doesn't exist to help us make the scientific arguments. Science is not well supported.

And then you have people in communities and community groups that are craving information which may or may not exist. And then how does the scientific community translate this information so it's understood?

It's actually not all that hard.

One of the things we teach in our department, and that we have our public health students come at, is what we would call risk communication. But that really is trying to take complex issues of science and translate them into an understating of some basic biology, what is epidemiology. And that's part of our job in doing this.

1.3

But from a scientific standpoint, we also have another serious problem. Most of the time, when we know about hazardous materials, we know about them one-by-one, because that's how they're studied. And yet communities don't live with just arsenic or just vinyl chloride or effluent from a smokestack, which, in fact, is a mixture. The fact is, we live in communities that have mixtures of exposures and we don't really know about interactions.

The last two points I think I'd like to make, though, is the question that I get asked a lot, as a physician and as a scientist who has been looking at these issues, you know, why am I here at a meeting sponsored by the Public Interest Law Center? Why have I spent 30 years of my life working with lawyers? And I have. I do a lot of medical-legal work, you know, for

transparency, mostly for injured workers, although
I have done, you know, work on both sides of
issues.

2.2

All I feel is, I need to be able to tell the truth and then I can advise people.

We'll leave it as to who really wants the truth in most situations.

But I've been involved in other things, too, such as setting up medical monitoring for communities or for exposed groups that have had exposures that pose a threat for the long term.

And because others are not doing this, because the system is so complex, it is through lawyers and through legal activities that we are, at least as I look at it, able to bring about the changes we need in this country. As well or as poorly as we do it, it's through the legal system, not through what scientists do, not through what physicians advocate. And so there's a real reason for the need for that.

So that there are difficult issues of data. But at the end of the day, it is the multi-disciplinary approach of people who work in communities and understand communities,

scientists, physicians and lawyers, who bring about the kinds of changes that we see.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

And I will leave you with this thought: There is an approach that we could take in this country, which others have, the European Union, for example, and that's something called "precautionary principle." When we don't have information, you err on the side of protecting people. It's not the old -- what I tell my students -- is the old dead-bodies-in-the-street routine. Let it be out there for 20 years and people show up dead, then we'll go back and look at it.

That's generally how we've done things in this country. And it's really time for changing that. And, again, I will argue that working with my colleagues in the legal profession is a way to do that.

So thank you for the opportunity to speak to you this morning.

- - -

(Applause)

23 | - - -

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Our final
introductory remarks are by Cecil D. Corbin-Mark,

who is the Deputy Director of Policy for WE ACT for Environmental Justice.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

WE ACT is an organization in New York which we actually studied when we were thinking about restarting our environmental justice practice. And we took WE ACT as a model for what we wanted to accomplish.

Cecil has spent his career working with scientists. And, in particular, as you're thinking about how we get the science, how do we pay for the science? What kind of collaborations do we need? And I'm hoping that Cecil will give us his experience on that score.

CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Well, thank you, Jenny.

I too want to pay tribute to Jerry
Balter and commend the amazing work that he has
done, and recognize Adam and others here for their
recognition of him.

It's thinkers like that -thinkers and doers, as my grandma would say -thinkers and doers like that I actually think are
so critical to creating transformative change in
the world.

So how many of you this morning

Page 131 1 woke up thinking about the policy that impacted your life lately? Show of hands. 3 (Audience complies.) CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Okay, Vernice 4 5 doesn't count. I want to acknowledge Vernice 6 7 Miller-Travis as the co-founder of WE ACT for Environmental Justice and has been a party to that 8 process of us sort of using science in building 10 evidence-based campaigns. 11 So just to go back to the show of 12 hands, just a few of you, right, in fact, a very 13 minimal number of you, woke up this morning 14 thinking about how policy actually impacted your life. 15 16 How many of you put some kind of 17 lotion on your skin this morning? Show of hands. 18 (Audience complies.) 19 CECIL CORBIN-MARK: How many of 20 you used some kind of hair product this morning? 21 Men, don't be afraid. 2.2 (Audience complies.) 23 CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Okay. All

How many of you drove on a street

24

2.5

right.

1 | this morning?

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 (Audience complies.)

3 | CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Did that

4 | street have a yellow line?

5 AUDIENCE: Yes. Yes.

CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Okay. To tie all these things together, the point I'm making here is, if that street, for example, didn't have a yellow line, if there weren't policies in place that said, you know, for streets with this level of traffic, we need to put a yellow line down the middle, it is quite possible that people might not be able to figure out how to separate themselves. Policy impacting your life.

It is also true that the fact that those of you that used that body lotion this morning, there are regulatory standards that are far too often not even really enforced that allow you to be exposed to particular types of toxic chemicals. And you put that body lotion directly onto your skin this morning, didn't you? Okay. Policy impacting your life.

Far too often, communities like the one that my family has lived in for the past nine decades, Harlem, my beloved Harlem -- yes, I

am a New Yorker. I know that might rankle some of you people in Philadelphia, but that's okay. Live with it -- communities like mine are really, in very many ways, disproportionately impacted by either the absence of strong policies to protect health or in some ways lacks enforcement of the policies that do exist to protect health.

2.

2.2

At our organization, WE ACT for Environmental Justice, our initiative is about building healthy communities. Our vision is that you build healthy communities by engaging the people that live in those communities in the process of making policy around environment and environmental health issues.

You realize that policymaking is driven, in large part, yes, by lawyers who make and write laws and regulations, but in substantive part, by science and the product of scientific research.

Think about the notion of how did we get to something like a Toxic Substances
Chemical Act, TSCA. If we got there without science, would you not be afraid?

Okay. I come from a black church community, so I need a little bit of affirmation.

I know many of you-all have been thinking you came to a revival this morning.

But would you not be afraid if science was not driving your nation's chemical policy?

1.3

2.2

AUDIENCE: Yes.

CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Okay. Thank you. Thank Jesus and any other higher power, or not.

And so really and truly, I mean, it is important we understand, on some very basic level, the importance of science to the making of policy. We should also understand, on a daily basis, how those policies impact our lives and impact our health very directly all the time.

And so then you start to think about, well, who's making the science and who is then driving the policy, and are those of us that are impacted in that process aware.

And the answer far too often is, no, we are not.

If that is the truth for the broader public in terms of our communities across this country, you can bet your bottom dollar it is doubly the truth for low-income communities and

communities of color.

2.

2.2

We live in a great country. No question about it. But we are also a very flawed country. And one of the ways that we are flawed is that the science many times that drives policy doesn't often think about those who are most vulnerable, those who are most impacted.

Case in point: When we develop risk assessment models -- this is a real bone of contention for the environmental justice community -- when we develop risk assessment models, often those risk analyses are based on a healthy 30-plus-year-old white male.

Now, I know my white brothers. I definitely do. But they're not me in many respects and I am not them.

And so if a policy that is intended to protect the health of people in our nation is based upon only one particular type of human being, I think we could have some problems.

So when you ask the question, as this panel was asked to consider, why is it important to have science in our process of making policy, to me it's really clear. When I walk the streets of my community, I encounter my residents

all the time. Some of them know me. Some of them don't.

2.2

But I know a lot about my neighbors. I know that many of them are suffering from extraordinarily high rates of respiratory illness. And I know that the levels from which we suffer respiratory illness are very much different from what goes on on Park Avenue at 54th, 57th and Park Avenue, 73rd Park Avenue, anywhere below 96th Street and above 23rd.

It's very different, Park Avenue being one of the wealthiest places in New York City in terms of per capita income, or one of the wealthiest places in the country.

I know that many of the children that I see in my neighborhood may have been born with some of the lowest birth weights in the country. And I know that that puts them at a particular health disadvantage.

I know that in terms of obesity, when I look around and I see some of my neighbors, I know that we are suffering a very significant challenge. And, yes, it is a challenge across this country, but it is a different challenge, a challenge of higher order in terms of those who

are in low-income communities and communities of color, sadly.

2.

2.2

So I know those things about some of the people in my community. And when I think about the work that gets me up in the morning, that, you know, my great aunt and my grandmother struggled to be in Harlem from the 1930s on, what drives me is figuring out how to get those people involved in the process of making policy by better protecting their lives.

And to do that, I know that we can't just be armed with, well, I don't feel well today. To do that, I know that if we are to be able to really push change, we have to have science in service of communities that are impacted on the front line of health disparities.

Science in service. Now, that for many researchers is not a concept that they quite get. Science for them is both their passion and their profession. They are conducting science because their minds are intrigued about finding the answers to particular kinds of questions, questions that come to them in their minds.

They are in this process of advancing science because they want to advance in

1 | their careers. All noble and good pursuits.

2 Steve Jobs died yesterday. And

one of the things he said was, find what your passionate about and do it.

5 So I applaud those scientists.

6 But then they meet the passion of people like

7 | Vernice Miller and Leslie Fields and myself. And

8 | we're passionate about protecting the people in

9 the communities that we live in and protecting

10 ourselves, because we're not totally altruistic.

11 | Right? But we recognize that we need this

12 marriage in order to push the policies, to change

13 | the policies that allow the formaldehyde to be in

the hair care products that target

15 | African-American and Latino women.

16 Formaldehyde, where have you heard

17 that chemical name before other than Leslie's

18 | presentation two minutes ago? Don't you associate

19 | formaldehyde with the dead?

20 AUDIENCE: Yes.

21 CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Ah. Hello?

AUDIENCE: Yes. Yes (louder).

23 | CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Thank you.

24 Thank you.

14

2.2

But yet indeed products that

African-American and Latino women apply to their
hair on a regular basis are filled with
formaldehyde, so much so that OSHA literally had
to go and call these companies out and say,
unh-unh.

2.2

2.4

There are skin lighteners that are on the product shelves in grocery stores and drugstores, and so forth and so on, that are there that are filled with mercury. And we know some of the problems with mercury. And these are products that target these communities.

So we have used science in the process of trying to change policy by building evidence-based campaigns. Our model is about organizing, getting information about what impacts people on the ground in their communities, taking that to scientists and building research partnerships, where we engage in setting a research agenda together to solve the problems the communities are facing and they're impacted with.

We then take the product of that community-based research and we put it into our advocacy campaigns to change policy.

What do we get for that? Well, in our work around pesticides, for example, we deal

with the issue of chlorpyrifos, one of the very toxic chemicals in many of the pesticides that are used in the homes, banned, but then continually still in use in our communities. And we then found out the levels with science of what people were being exposed to.

2.2

2.4

We took that to the city council and said, you have got to come up with a series of laws that better protect these communities.

The result, a city counsel ordinance requires notification before pesticides are applied. And that the city is on the path to reducing to the least toxic of actors in pesticides.

That's the value of marrying science to the service of communities and their particular problems.

In our chemical policy and toxics work, we have been looking at this issue of BPA, bisphenol-a. It's a chemical that has the properties of hardening plastic and making it clear and making things shatterproof in some ways.

And we have used the research we found in our communities about exposure to chemicals to try to push a variety of chemical

policy laws in the state. We recently got a BPA ban passed at the state level and a chlorinated Tris ban passed at the state level, all because of the work of engaging impacted people with their policymaking process.

2.

2.2

2.5

We use the research that we get in training community residents. They identify particular kinds of problems. They identify particular kinds of problems, but sometimes the research process goes and leaves them, without returning to them to get them the findings of their work.

And we say, no, that's not acceptable. We want to build everybody's basic scientific knowledge, everybody's basic epidemiologic knowledge. And so we get the researchers to come into the community and engage in what we call our environmental health justice and leadership training program, where we train community residents to understand the links between their environment, their health and what role science plays in that.

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Cecil, I'm going to interrupt you --

CECIL CORBIN-MARK: You're going

1 | to cut me off, I know.

2 | JENNIFER R. CLARKE: -- because we

3 need to --

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

4 CECIL CORBIN-MARK: So let me say

5 two things in closing.

There are benefits to this work and there are challenges to this work. The benefits are clear, right? We can get policy changed. We can get people engaged in understanding the science better. We can build powerful communities in the process of protecting their own health. And we can repair relationships between the universities and the communities.

But the challenges are also there.

And that we were asked to give you some questions.

So around these challenges, how do we protect

communities from research findings that may create

stigma for communities? I think that's a very

important challenge for us to think through.

How do we structure institutional review boards, these things that sort of say they're looking at human subject protection? How do we get them to focus on communities? What are the legal challenges that we have to overcome in order to sort of expand those boundaries of

Page 143 1 protection? 2. And then lastly, who uses those 3 two electrical sockets up in those walls up there (pointing)? 4 5 Thank you. 6 Do you see them? Look at them. 7 They're up there right in the rafter. 8 Thank you very much. 9 10 (Applause) 11 12 JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Thank you. 13 So this is an experiment, because 14 we asked our panelists to pose questions of you. So what I would like for each of 15 16 you to do is, if you're interested in having a 17 discussion, come to the microphone. And while 18 we're waiting for people to come to the 19 microphone, to either ask questions of the 20 panelists or give us your suggestions. The 21 microphone is on. 2.2 CECIL CORBIN-MARK: Oh, I'm afraid. 23 2.4 VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Don't be afraid. 25

The question I have is, what 1 2 happens when you have experience, an institutional experience, between a major academic institution 3 that is adjacent to or in the midst of an EJ 4 5 community and they research that community and the research goes horribly wrong and it colors the 6 relationship for the rest of time immemorial, as if there's no one else at that institution who 8 9 could ever come and do good work at the community? 10 You know, Cecil, we've struggled 11 with that for a very long time in terms of our 12 relationship with Columbia.

And I hesitate to think, if we hadn't met really great people and if we hadn't opened our hearts and opened our minds, we would have never had the 20 years of success that we've had in terms of research and the benefit to so many other communities.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We struggle with this in
Baltimore. We really, really, really struggle
with this, between the East Baltimore community
and Johns Hopkins University.

And I know it's not the only challenge like that. But what do you do to transcend what sometimes are really, really

difficult, you know, bad practices, but they're not the only practices at that whole institution.

How do you transcend that?

LESLIE FIELDS: That's a good

5 question, Vernice.

2.

In Detroit, we have a really great relationship. It's not totally in Detroit with --well, we have Wayne State University. And we've done a lot of good work, as you know, with the University of Michigan. And we work with the public health school, school of law in one part, and most importantly, the school of natural resources and environment. But it wasn't always like that.

And so I think there had been some issues with the public health school. But fortunately, since it is such a large university, there are other schools, other professional schools in that university to work with and then also to help with their colleagues in case they need -- I think it's important to find the colleagues, the other professional academic colleagues, who might be able to help with the colleagues through the other academicians or researchers who may not be getting it.

And so that's been the case.

2.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

university.

We've had back and forth with the law school, and have had back and forth with the public health school, but it's been very helpful to have the great Bunyon Bryant and Paul Mohai to help us with the faculty in these other parts of that

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: We've got two representatives of major institutions in Philadelphia. And I wondered whether either of you has perspective on that guestion.

ARTHUR FRANK: Yes, I do.

Representations take years, if not decades, to earn and can be lost in about ten seconds.

I think it is not uncommon that there have been challenges between academic institutions. As Cecil pointed out, scientists are often there for their own benefit, not necessarily for the benefit of the communities that they may be studying, because of wanting to have academic advancement, even, in fact, in law, if we go back to the scientific knowledge base that we have.

What I've found -- and I've dealt

in any number of communities over the years -folks in the community have a pretty good sense of
why you are there and what your goals are for
doing work. And I think you let communities vet
to people who come in and want to be there.

2.2

And if you're coming in to do to the community, not for the community, that will be figured out very quickly. And that's not necessarily the person you want to have there.

LOUIS M. BELL: Well, I agree. I mean, I think it's really about working with the community in terms of respect and in moving back a little bit from your agenda and understanding what their agenda is.

And, again, I make this -- this -- you know, I'm most involved with my community of primary care pediatricians. And a lot of what I do in terms of our practice-based research network is to make sure that I protect that group from the clinical researchers who want that laboratory.

And so we create a system and we create rules about engaging this community. We require, for example, that the clinical researchers, that if they want access to these primary care sites, they have to go, they have to

prove to the primary care pediatricians that it's going to be a win-win for their practice or for their patients or for their ability to care for those patients.

2.

2.2

And we have an external review board and review each one of these projects that attempts to access this group. Our IRB, our review board, is required, whenever they get a proposal that involves our primary care practices, we have to sign off on it first before it can go out.

So, you know, my community, what I'm familiar with, is really this community of primary pediatricians who are very dedicated to their groups and their patient population.

So I think we've created these rules of engagement. And perhaps we could mirror some of that in these communities.

CECIL CORBIN-MARK: I would just add to the part of Vernice's question about how do we deal with sort of the complexities of the universities.

So there's good work going on in some places. And then there's all this other stuff going on, right?

And it's amazing how many of our premier institutions are, literally, right smack in the heart of low-income communities, communities of color, Harvard, in the Allston community, and what's going on with their expansion, Yale in New Haven, UPenn here in West Philadelphia and Columbia, and they're building a second Harlem campus as well. And on and on the list can go.

2.

2.2

I think part of it is the process of recognizing that we have to continue working with the parts that work and challenge the parts that don't. And we need partnerships not just from throwing stones to the outside, but we need partnerships with those who are inside of those institutions to help create change for those institutions.

On our preparatory phone call, one thing that we discussed was the issue of how is it that, you know, we can do such great community-based participatory research, yet all we seem to be able to attract are the most junior of faculty. And even those are somewhat skittish about being engaged in it, because they know that on the track to getting tenure, this is not the

most respected of research. And so it could end their careers moving forward.

2.2

2.4

2.5

We have to create partnerships to transform change around the canon, around the administration of these universities. It's a real complex issue. But it's how we -- we can't just, say, throw up our hands and not work with the parts that are actually helping us produce the source material that we need for our evidence-based campaigns, because the university is expanding and academics have no part of that, it's really the administration.

It's two different heads, you know, the academic side and the administration side, and complex, but we've got to keep working with them along with allies inside the institutions.

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Well, it looks like we've had some --

LOUIS M. BELL: I just have a comment to make regarding this issue between academic promotion through the ranks and engaging in community-based participatory research or health services research or research about understanding health of children in my case.

I heard you use the word, it's not a respected field. And I disagree with that. I think this is a very respected field. The tension is time.

2.2

When you talk about going out into the community and understanding what a community wants and how to engage them and to create a project that will help them, that takes a lot of time. And these young folks don't have a lot of time. They have seven years or eight years, and at Penn, you're promoted or you're out.

And so it's not about the fact that this is not viewed as something that's good. It's really -- it's really more about, well, what can I do to prove myself in this academic environment that I can have a product within a certain amount of time.

And this is -- this is a difficult thing to do and there are a lot -- I can tell you, there are a lot of folks at Penn who want to do this, and just it's a challenge.

JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Mr. Zisser, my handlers are going to come up here with a hook, but I'll just give you two seconds.

DAVID ZISSER: I want to

encourage -- because I can tell there's a lot of collective wisdom in this -- as somebody said before, there's a lot of collective wisdom in the audience. I know there's a lot of collective wisdom at the panel, too. But I do encourage you to leave some time for audience participation in the upcoming panels.

2.

1.3

2.2

And I won't ask a question and ask for a participatory response right now. Maybe I can talk to folks offline.

But maybe a good segue is about time. Because, you know, Cecil, you're talking about evidence-based policy campaigns. I think a lot of, if not most, EJ work happens very reactionary, in a very reactionary way. You're dealing with time-sensitive matters.

You know, you're trying -- I'm dealing with a port expansion in Gulfport,
Mississippi. We don't want the port to expand without, you know, dealing with certain environmental mitigations. We don't have all the time in the world.

My understanding of science is basic, but it is that these things do take time. So a question I have is, how do you engage

	lage 133
1	evidence-based science research in a way that
2	actually assists a time-sensitive campaign and
3	involves the community and involves organizing
4	and, you know, lawyers as well?
5	You know, where do you get those
6	resources? How do you scramble scientists? How
7	do you fund it? And how do you do it in a timely
8	way?
9	And I want to respect that we have
10	lunch set up, so I don't want a response. If
11	other people want to throw out other questions.
12	And, again, I can get a response offline.
13	JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Well, we're
14	going to close on those questions. And we will
15	certainly struggle to have more audience
16	participation this afternoon. But let's have some
17	lunch.
18	
19	(Applause)
20	
21	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was
22	taken at 12:35 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

good friends and board members sit down.

I'm going to wait until some of my

24

25

1 (Pause)

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So one of the wonderful things about our keynote speaker today, Vernice Miller-Travis, is that she represents in one person all of the different ways that you could look at environmental justice.

Let me give some examples.

She brings the perspective of research. She was one of the researchers and writers of the influential work on Toxic Wastes and Race.

She is a convenor. She is one of the people who convened the lawyers committee and others to write the very influential and powerful document that's in your materials, which was called, "Now is the Time," and it was really the blueprint for the Obama Administration.

She has looked at this issue from the perspective of foundations. She was a person who started at the Ford Foundation their environmental justice project.

She has done science. She has done organizing. Vernice Miller-Travis has really done it all.

Please welcome her.

Page 156 1 2. (Applause) 3 4 VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you 5 so much. 6 So, Jerry, where are you? I don't 7 think you were here earlier, Jerry, when everyone, everyone who spoke, lifted you up. And so I just 8 9 want to add my voice to those who have followed in 10 your footsteps, who have been on the other end of 11 Jerry's finger. He always told me that I wasn't 12 being radical enough. Imagine that. 13 14 (Applause) 15 16 VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: We haven't 17 always seen eye to eye, but I have such enormous 18 respect for you, Jerome Balter, and what you have 19 done through the Public Interest Law Center of 20 Philadelphia, for the communities that you've 21 represented, for the people who you've stood up for. And I just hope, I pray that there are 22 23 generations of people like you still to come. 24 So I just want to raise you up, 25 Jerry.

Alice come in. Did you not pay attention to these

25

people in Chester? So I want you to know that you are certainly in my vows.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I want to make observations just about where we are, at the Quaker Meeting House at Society of Friends here in Philadelphia.

I am originally from New York, like Cecil, born and raised in Harlem, New York. But my mother's family is from Ellicott City, Maryland. And so for us, the history of slavery and segregation in the South, which, of course, you know is on the other side of the Mason-Dixon Line -- so let me just ask you all. Where is the Mason-Dixon Line? Because most people have no idea. Where is the Mason-Dixon Line?

(Audience answering.)

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Excuse me?

AUDIENCE: It's in North Maryland.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: There you

go. Most people have no idea where the

Mason-Dixon Line is, and that Maryland is sure
enough in the South. Believe me. I'm here to

tell you now that I have lived there for 14 years.

But you know that the institution of slavery was particularly egregious in the State of Maryland. And why was that? Because Maryland

was the most northern slave-holding state. And if you made it -- as a slave, if you made it out of Maryland, you were free.

2.

And the people who brought so many slaves out of Maryland were the Friends of Philadelphia and the Quakers of Pennsylvania.

So somewhere on this property is the underground railroad, you can be sure. Somewhere in this building were people who left the institution, heading north to freedom through this building and through this institution. And so I think it's really important that we acknowledge that history.

And acknowledging that history, I also want to lift up that two great people died yesterday: Steve Jobs, really, really significant in the world of technology. But a far greater person died yesterday, the Reverend Fred Shuttlesworth, who led the Ministerial Alliance of Birmingham Alabama, who invited Martin Luther King to come to Birmingham, as a 20-something-year-old, to help lead the movement and the struggle and the fight against segregation with Jim Crow. Reverend Shuttlesworth worth was 83 years old. They called him the "Wild Man of Birmingham."

And if you have any memory or you've ever seen any of the documentaries about the civil rights movement, and you see these pictures of this man, this frail slight man staring down "Bull" Connor and the dogs and whips and the chains and the firehoses that they used and the bombings that went on in Birmingham. And there was this one slight man who was unwavering in his battle against segregation. His name was the Reverend Fred Shuttlesworth and he died yesterday.

2.2

2.4

So I just think it's really important that we connect all of this stuff. And it's important that you connect these pieces, because the movement for environmental justice is a direct descendant of the struggle for civil rights, racial justice and equality in the United States of America.

We believe it is the cutting edge frame of the civil rights movement in the 21st century. Unfortunately, not a lot of colleagues in the civil rights movement agree with us or stand with us.

But the people who have stood with us in the legal community have been the public

interest lawyers from one end of the country to
the other. And so when you think about the
struggle in Chester, you think about Zulene
Mayfield. You think about Reverend Strand and so
many other people. But you also think about
Jerome Balter, who was unwavering in his fight for
victory and justice and fair treatment of the
people in that community.

2.2

So I just wanted to start by saying that all these things are interconnected.

And they certainly are interconnected in my world.

I was tasked to talk about a couple things. One is what can and should we do to get environmental justice communities the resources, benefits, quality of life that they deserve? What should we be doing?

And secondly, what human agencies have brought about positive transformation?

So I thought I would start with a couple of examples, three examples of community struggles that have led to some really transformative work that had gone on in these places and then identify the kinds of human agency and activities and collaborations that happened in those places that made that work possible and that

should be instructive for us as we go forward in our world.

One I want to start with is a place called Spartanburg, South Carolina, by an organization called ReGenesis, Incorporated.

Spartanburg is a community. It is now a thriving major metropolitan area in South Carolina.

It's -- they call it Spartanburg-Greenville, for those of you who are familiar with Spartanburg.

You fly into the Greenville-Spartanburg Airport.

It's about an hour and a half from Columbia, the state capital. It is in the northwestern part of the state going towards Columbia.

And it was a place where back in the '40s, '50s, '60s and '70s, there was a tremendous amount of chemical manufacturing, and particularly pesticide manufacturing, storage, containment, reaggregating different chemicals to make different kinds of pesticides.

And one of the major companies,
Rhodia Chemical, went out of business or was on
their way out of business. They left behind a
tremendous amount of those 55 gallon barrels of
all kinds of nasty stuff, but they didn't bother
to tell the African-American people who live all

in and around these facilities.

2.

2.2

One of those persons was someone who literally lived next door, on the other side of the chain link fence. And he watched his father die mysteriously. He watched his sister die mysteriously. And then he became really ill.

But when he became really ill, he was the star quarterback at South Carolina State University. So there was no reason for him to become seriously ill because he was a gifted athlete.

And then when he came home to try and recover from this illness that no doctor could diagnose properly for him, he began to sort of walk through this community, which was called the Forest Park, Arkwright neighborhood, a middle class African-American community, and found that many, many, many households had people who either had died from cancer or who were suffering with some other form of cancer.

And he began to research and research and research. And over eight years, he began to put together the history of what had happened in this community. And then he began to petition EPA Region IV, based in Atlanta, that's

the Southeastern United States region, to come and engage with the community and to begin to unpack what was happening to them and to try and take some forward momentum of what to do.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So it turns out that the facilities that were adjacent to these communities were the equivalent of what should have been Superfund sites, the nastiest of the nasty hazardous waste sites. But EPA had no knowledge that these sites existed, none whatsoever.

So he began to educate the federal government, as well as the state government in cleaning up the sites and in working with the community to try and bring about restitution.

This is a really long story, but
I'm going to end it here and tell you this: Last
year, I was asked to come and facilitate a meeting
for the South Carolina Department of Health and
Environmental Quality, DHEC, it's called, or
Environmental Control. And I want to say it is
the only state environmental and public health
agency that's one agency. And I think it's
something that we need to revisit as a model.
Because the environmental agencies frequently do
not have enough public health knowledge. And the

public health agencies frequently have no environmental knowledge.

2.2

2.5

And so in going there, we had the meeting in Spartanburg. And we were bringing each of the communities from around South Carolina to try and replicate what has happened in Spartanburg. And we took a bus tour. And halfway through the tour, I was just a blubbering mess, because there was new housing. There were cleanups of the hazardous waste sites. The chemical company was now in community partnership with the community. The railroad, which had truncated and dissected the community away from an ability to be able to get out in an emergency, the Federal Railroad Administration was now in dialogue with this community. Finally, revitalization and restitution are happening.

Ten years ago, Spartanburg was a dead and dying community that you would pass on the highway going to Columbia. Now it is a destination point in South Carolina. And it is all because of the work of this man, Harold Mitchell, who has since been elected to the South Carolina legislature.

But for those eight years when he

was doing that research, he had no job. He didn't get paid. He had no compensation. At one point, he lived in his car. His wife became really ill because of their desperate financial situation. But he continued to organize and to work to find out what was happening in the place that he lived.

2.

2.2

When I worked at the Ford

Foundation, I was tasked to resource and help grow
the grassroots environmental justice movement in
the United States. And so I determined that I
thought he should be compensated for the
extraordinary work that he had done.

And so he asked me to come down and do a big event and bring the check, you know, the big blow-up of the check. And I had to tell him how we don't do checks, darling, we put the money right in your bank account. That's how they do it in the modern era.

So he asked me, well, we've got to do something for this, because we've got to have a big event. So the secretary of the foundation allowed me to take the grant agreement down to Spartanburg. And he signed it, and he signed it in front of a group of 500 people at ten o'clock on a Saturday morning.

1 And he kept apologizing to me 2 profusely for the small number of people that were there. And I said, you're kidding, right? 3 And he said, well, we would have 4 5 at least 1,500 people, Vernice, but there are two funerals going on today, and so people are 6 attending the funerals. The funerals continue to 8 go on. A reporter called me at the 9 10 foundation and asked me, why was the Ford 11 Foundation interested in this community. 12 And I said, well, you get paid to 13 do what you do, right? 14 She says yes. 15 And I get paid to do what I do. 16 Why shouldn't he get paid to do what he does? 17 He's put this community back on the map. He's helped them find out what the problems are. He's 18 19 put the federal government on a path to really

And she said to me, no, really, why is the Ford Foundation interested in what he's doing?

work to help this community. Why shouldn't he be

compensated for what he does?

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

And I say that to say that if you

had been there 10 or 15 years ago to see what it looked like and what they were going through and the volume of funerals, this is a marker in almost each community across the country, something that they all have in common, a preponderance of people who died before their time.

2.

2.2

Just as an aside. There's an organization called the Newtown Forest Club in Jacksonville, Florida. And the Newtown Forest Club was an old black funeral society back in the day during segregation when blacks folks couldn't access insurance, particularly burial insurance. They would have these burial societies. And the burial societies would come together and pool their resources to put on the funerals for people who died, but couldn't afford to funeralize themselves, or their family couldn't. And they would do the flowers.

And this particular funeral society determined that they were being called on so frequently to do funerals, that, you know, something was amiss. And lo-and-behold, they found out that they were living adjacent to what would now be determined as a Superfund site and people's drinking water had been contaminated.

And it create a whole host of health problems that ultimately led to a lot of premature deaths.

2.

2.2

That is a standard marker for EJ communities around the country. It's a sad marker, but nevertheless it is.

It's a really long story about

ReGenesis in Spartanburg. But I'd like to lift it

up and bring it up, because Harold and I -- Harold

Mitchell, who's the person who leads ReGenesis,

Incorporated and now has been elected to the South

Carolina legislative, and I -- used to sit right

next to each other at the National Environmental

Justice Advisory Council, which is a federal

advisory council to the Environmental Protection

Agency.

For many years, because both of our last names ended in "M", Harold and I sat next to each other. And he was a really young advocate who was asked to serve on this federal advisory committee. And we sat next to each other for about eight years.

And over those eight years, I tutored him and gave him the benefit of my experience, as an advocate in New York, of what he could do to be more successful to move his agenda.

And the reason that I think that's important is because I believe that to those who much is given, much is required. And so if you had the opportunity to tutor someone else, to give them the benefit of the experience that you had, to try and transform what's happening in their life, you are required, you are required to do that. You can't pass on that.

And I think almost everybody in this room, because we're all in the public interest sector in some way or another, somebody did that for us. Somebody opened those doors for us. Somebody fought those battles for us. Somebody made a seat at the table so that we could do what we do. And we are required, it's karma, it's in my faith, but it's also karma, that you have to do it for the next generation and for those who come after you.

So I feel about how I'm really not that much older than him, but he always calls me Miss Vernice. You know, when you transfer into that place where people start putting "Miss" in front of your name, you know you have crossed some kind of divide, right? So I asked him to call me Vernice, but he never will, because he thinks of

me as . . . You know, I'm really not that much older than him, I swear.

And so that's the Spartanburg story.

2.2

The next story, the next case is the East Baltimore case. And I asked a little bit about it when Cecil -- I asked Cecil on the previous panel.

Baltimore is a really interesting place, and it is a place where my family went to when they left Ellicott City. Now, Baltimore is exactly 20 miles from Ellicott City, Maryland.

My great aunt, God bless her, who is 93 years old, she left there when she was 18. She has never stepped foot back in Ellicott City, Maryland. I have only been to Ellicott City, Maryland twice, and never with my family. And why is that?

It's because the racism and the segregation that they experienced when they lived there was so intense, that even today, 50, 60, 70 years later, they refuse to step foot back in that place.

So the legacy for some of us, this is history, right? I've got to read this stuff to

find it out. But some people are still alive who lived through this. And in the living through it, the modern day representation of that was codified in land use and zoning, strictures and statutes, where we codified racial segregation.

2.

2.2

And Pennsylvania, and your neighboring state, Maryland, made it -- turned it into a high art form. And in Maryland, in Baltimore City in particular, they promulgated the first race-based zoning statutes in the country that then became the common practice for land use and zoning.

And so it became a practice of what we call expulsive zoning. So in some white communities, you couldn't put anything industrial. You could have a small commercial strip that met the needs of the community, supermarkets, drugstores, dry cleaners, theaters, et cetera, but that was the only non-residential land use you could have. And you could only have it in strictly defined places.

But in some places, you could put everything, right? You could put the refineries.
You could put the dumps. You could put the landfills. You could put everything that no one

wanted to live near. We call that expulsive zoning. You would expel out of some places those things which other folks didn't want to live next to. But then you would demarcate that that was the place where only some people could live:

Black people, blacks, Catholics, Jews, immigrants, Latinos, Native Americans, Chicanos, depending on where you were in the country. Strictly defined where you could live based on race or religion or ethnicity.

2.

Baltimore is the classic case in point. I need to tell you one quick story about that.

A dear, dear friend of ours, who was a giant in the environmental justice field, a man named Dominic Smith, he died of colon cancer six years ago, was it? Has it been six years?

And he was in John Hopkins
University going through this radical cancer
treatment. And so one day he called me and he
said, Vernice, I have a taste for -- he was a
vegan extraordinaire, a pain-in-the-ass vegan
extraordinaire. Because every time we were with
him, he dragged us to some damn vegetarian

restaurant that none of us wanted to eat at, but we went because he dragged us there.

2.

2.2

2.4

And he asked me for some organic mangos. I happened to be in New York. I went by this wonderful supermarket in New York and brought him some mangos.

And so I called Johns Hopkins
University to figure out where to get off the
highway. And they told me an exit. And the exit
turned out to be one exit before where I should
have gotten off at on 95.

And I wound up in this neighborhood, my husband and I. And we stopped the car and I saw more white people than I had ever seen in Baltimore in my entire life. I didn't know there were that many white people in Baltimore.

Apparently there's a white section of Baltimore. Who knew? I didn't know. I had never been there in my whole friggin' life. And I've been going to Baltimore since I was nine years old. And I'm like, wow. And I'm, you know, having this like Wizard of Oz kind of experience. Where in God's name am I? It was beautiful. The houses were beautiful. They were historic. There

were oak trees. There were esplanades. There
were thriving markets. I was like, where in God's
name am I? I was in Baltimore. Who knew?

2.

1.3

2.2

And it just reminded me that though we think of this as an historic practice looking backward, it is happening today. Right?

We know that since the passage of the 1968 Fair Housing Act, that it is expressly forbidden to prevent people from living where they want to live based on race, class, ethnicity or social status. Absolutely expressly forbidden.

But you know that it happens every single day, right? It's happening here in Philly. It's happened all over the country. Pennsylvania, and Maryland, I don't know why, but somehow we determined that we should use our local land use and zoning and perfect racial-based segregated housing to a fine art in Pennsylvania and Maryland. And it still is happening today.

So in the midst of that, you have the great Johns Hopkins University, one of the premier research, medical and academic institutions in the country. And it is adjacent to a place called the Middle East section of Baltimore.

So anybody in here who is a fan of the TV series Wire? It was not fiction. It's happening today in the Middle East section of Baltimore. They stood on a corner. They turned the cameras on. And they did a 360 and they shot what they saw.

2.

2.2

People were acting, but they didn't need to act because that is the real deal of what's happening in the streets of the Middle East section of Baltimore and other parts of Baltimore, too.

My husband and I were once going to pick up my same 93-year-old great aunt, and we turned the wrong way on the street, Greenmount Avenue, and we were in a part of Baltimore that all I could say to my husband is, if you don't get me out of here, you will be standing here by yourself, because I've got to. It was that kind of scary. And I'm from Harlem, and it's kind of hard to scare somebody who's from Harlem. But this place was really scary.

And so that place is right next to

Johns Hopkins University. And so Johns Hopkins

University, as so many universities do, is

expanding, expanding and expanding. And they want

to build a new biomedical research center, not unlike Columbia University.

2.2

And they determined that they would join forces with the Annie Casey Foundation, the City of Baltimore and a community development corporation called the East Baltimore Development Corporation, to revitalize a whole section of the Middle East community of Baltimore that is immediately adjacent to Johns Hopkins University. But in order to do that, they needed to tear down and demolish 500 row houses.

Now, this wasn't the kind of scenario where they sort of put up the signs that say, you know, people will be evicted immediately. It could have been that kind of process. And in so many communities across the United States, it has been that kind of process.

But here, because of the historic tension between Johns Hopkins University and the surrounding community, the Annie Casey Foundation, who's based in Baltimore, determined that a different kind of process had to go on. That, yes, they wanted to see this research institution come. They wanted to see Johns Hopkins grow. But they didn't want to see it grow at the expense of

the residents in the Middle East section of Baltimore.

2.2

So they went through an extraordinary process of starting in 2002 -- and that is still going on -- to do what they called community-based revitalization, that really took into context, as well as in partnership, what the people of the Middle East community of Baltimore wanted and needed.

So they're developing a mixed income, mixed use community, but they're giving the people who used to live there in the 418 row houses that were demolished the first right of return to come back, which is a really extraordinary thing because that doesn't happen that often.

Usually they declare eminent domain. They take the property. They tell you to get the hell out. You've got 30, 60 days to go. We don't care where you go. And that's it. And that's how it usually works.

But this process is a really dramatically different process than that. And one of the things that was particularly difficult was that Johns Hopkins, in the '90s, there's a

professor at Johns Hopkins -- and this was really the basis of the question that I asked the previous panel -- they have a world class researcher at this institution named Farfel. I think his first name might be John. And he has done some of the most groundbreaking research on lead and lead exposure in the nation and around the world.

2.

2.2

2.4

And they did a research project in the Middle East community of Baltimore in the early '90s, and the community has felt that they used the children in those households as guinea pigs to test lead abatement strategies. They didn't remediate. They just left the children in those circumstances and tested different remediation strategies in the homes in which they lived.

Just about -- about four months ago, maybe three months ago, the Court of Appeals based in Baltimore has determined to hear that case again. And the case is coming back again. It's a really extraordinary case.

When you combine that with historic discrimination that has happened at Johns Hopkins and the surrounding community, when you

combine that with the story of Henrietta Lacks, some of you may have read that extraordinary book, you get hypersensitivity between that community and Johns Hopkins University, and then you get the university trying to expand.

2.

And so one of the things that the Annie Casey Foundation did was to try and put on this process an extraordinary sensitivity about lead and lead contamination and lead poisoning.

And so in the demolition of 518 row houses, there was a propensity for an enormous amount of lead dust to be picked up in this community as those buildings were being demolished.

And so what they determined to do was to create an expert panel of independent experts, myself being one of the four experts, led by the renowned Dr. Janet Phoenix, to evaluate demolition practices and to help them figure out a way to measure the air quality as the demolition was happening, and to come up with a practice and a system to take those buildings down in a way that would not create an enormous public health challenge for the people who lived in the surrounding community.

It became a very successful process. I think the publication of the reports that Annie Casey published about the East Baltimore revitalization initiative, responsible development, it's called, and responsible demolition, is in your documents. And so you can read it. I think it's very interesting. But it is a big deal.

1.3

2.4

When you take buildings down, this is what you need to do to control for dust. Spray water as you are taking the buildings down. You wouldn't believe how much money Annie Casey spent to figure that out. And I'm not mad at them. I'm just saying.

But it's an extraordinary thing that this process was driven by the people who live in the community. We let them evaluate the protocols. Any questions they had, any concerns they had, we factored that into the protocols. We stopped the process many times to address the concerns of the community. And they were total partners in this process.

And they were mad as hell, because there's all this bad blood between John Hopkins and the surrounding community. By the end of the

day, we came up with a process that was respectful of the community issue, was protective of public health and allowed the deconstruction and demolition of 518 row houses.

2.

2.2

The City of Baltimore has adopted the protocol as guidance for the City of Baltimore. We have tried for the last two legislative sessions to get the protocol adopted as state law. But each time, we have been defeated by the -- the construction industry and the contractors associations, particularly the black contractors association, because they believe it's going to add a lot more money to the cost of demolition and deconstruction. But, nevertheless, I think it's great model.

And then lastly, I'll just tell you, in quick swathe, the long journey of West Harlem Environmental Action. And this is a really long story, but I'm not going to drag it out.

I'll just say that through a crisis situation from the North River Sewage

Treatment Plant -- if you have ever been to West Harlem, if you have ever been by our community or through our community, on the West Side Highway, the Henry Hudson Parkway, you'll see this enormous

giant sewage treatment plant, the same sewage treatment plant that had a fire in its two main engines over the course of the summer and dumped millions and millions of gallons of raw sewage back into the Hudson River.

2.

2.2

On top of that sewage treatment plan is a park. And the park is the environmental benefit that the community was given for shoving the North River Sewage Treatment Plant in our community.

It's not quite as close to us as the waste facilities are to the residents of Chester, a situation that I actually had never seen anything quite as frightening as how close those facilities are to the people that live in Chester. It's on the other side of the highway from where we live, but it's close enough for the emissions to come right into our homes and completely destroy our quality of life for a number of years. You could not escape the smell of 180 million gallons of raw, fetid sewage invading your community, your home, your school, your business every single day, until we sued the City of New York, with the help of a natural resources defense counsel, and Paul, Weiss,

Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison. And we stuck it to the city really bad. And we beat them

3 | mercilessly. And I was oh so happy to do it.

2.2

That for the longest time, we were in that struggle by ourselves. And there was no -- no one validated us.

And I tell you that to say this:

Now, I could never figure out how 180 million gallons of raw sewage did not seem to offend anyone else's sensibilities but those of us who lived in West Harlem. The City of New York said there was no odor. And we were "screaming meanies," literally. That was in the pages of the New York Times.

The New York City Department of Environmental Protection ignored us repeatedly. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ignored us repeatedly. EPA Region II, whom to this day I cannot take them seriously because of the way they treated us in that process, gave two findings of no significant impact for the environmental impacts of that giant sewage treatment plant on the quality of our life.

organization, through mobilization, through public

But eventually, through

education, through determination, at one point -Cecil, are you in the room? I think there are
15,000 units of public housing in our community,
at least there were, back in the mid-'80s when we
began to organize. And at some point, Peggy
Shepard and I knocked on every single door.

2.

2.4

Now, we didn't do it in one year, but we knocked on every single door of public housing in our community. We were hard-core organizers. And that's the kind of work that has to happen to create the kind of transformation, the kind of public education, the kind of mobilization that we're talking about. Old-school organizers.

Combined with extraordinary advocacy, extraordinary public education, incredible lawyers, incredible researchers at the Columbia University School of Public Health, we have built this three-prong strategy. And organizing is at the core of the strategy. But we couldn't have done what we have done without some extraordinary, extraordinary lawyers who stood by our side.

So I just wanted to tell you those three stories. And I wanted to just tick off a

list of things that I think is important that I think have been learned in all of these case studies and in every successful environmental justice role around the country, these things have been predominant:

2.2

2.5

Persistence. We've got to be prepared to be at the table for anywhere from a couple years to a couple decades. We have been at this for 23 years at West Harlem. And you've just got to be in there for the long haul. There's no quick fix to these issues.

Creating benefits to staunch the burdens. You know, I think that's pretty self-explanatory.

Organizing to create a united front. Right? There are always a lot of different factions in local communities. And if you really want to build power, everybody has got to be on the same page and expand their agendas and work together for transformation.

Integrating through advocacy and community-based participatory research, along with community organizing, as a three-prong approach to fight environmental injustice.

Understanding the proper role of

lawyers and researchers in the struggle for environmental justice. You're going to hear from Eileen Gauna later. Eileen is the sister of an extraordinary environmental justice hero or heroine, Jean Gauna, who passed away in 2007.

2.

2.2

2.4

And Jean used to say all the time, lawyers are on tap, not on top. And I have never forgotten that, because she used to tell me that all the time. Remember, Vernice, what your role is, build your community power by building community capacity. Really, really important. Let me write this down.

Bringing resources, technical assistance, opening doors to decision-makers and funders to the community table. Creating approaches to social justice, more creative approaches to social justice.

Understanding who your allies are and that they can be found in many different venues, like ours.

Practical realtime needs. Assist in communities to participate meaningfully in local, state and federal administrative processes.

The permitting process is very, very, very technical. You all know that. People

need help maneuvering through that process. They need your assistance to do that.

Federal rulemakings. Right now, the coal from Westfield waste rule, the mercury and air toxics rule, the definition of solid waste rule, to name but three examples of hundreds of federal rulemakings that are going on that have direct impact on people's lives.

Help folks navigate the process and help them meaningfully get included in the process. And work to integrate civil rights and environmental law in the struggle to bring about environmental justice.

Thank you so very much.

15 | - - -

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

16 (Applause)

17 | - -

ADAM H. CUTLER: We do have time to take one or two questions from the audience for Vernice.

If anybody has one, feel free to come up to the mike.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: We would have had more time if Vernice hadn't talked so long.

ADAM H. CUTLER: You can also ask

2 her questions.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Right, I'm

on the panel today.

5 ADAM H. CUTLER: Go ahead, Diane.

6 DIANE SICOTTE: Hi. I'm Diane

7 Sicotte, and I teach environmental justice at 8 Drexel University.

My question is, I happen to be teaching this term, right now, two classes on environmental justice. So what do you think are the most important things that a professor can convey or try to make available to students about environmental justice?

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Well, I'd say one of the big lessons that I learned, and I learned it from the people in my community in West Harlem, is that just because you have had the good fortune to go to college and to go to graduate school and to be a degreed person does not make you the smartest person in the world.

DIANE SICOTTE: I already knew that.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: But our students usually don't know that.

And I think that, you know, once you enter the academic arena, the message that you get inculpated all the time is that you are different there. You are better there. You are special.

1.3

2.2

And, you know, we are special, but we're not that special.

Most of what I learned, I learned when I -- it was ten years between the time that I finished college and went to graduate school. And I breezed through planning school. Why? Because I was on my local planning board at the time that I was in graduate school. That was the real education on how you do local land use and planning at the community level.

People in the community taught me, one, how to work with people. How to treat people. How to recognize their knowledge as being every bit as superior as my knowledge. And how to translate what they were experiencing into the language that people speak, but authenticating what their experience and what their knowledge was.

It seems like -- you know, it seems like second nature. Right? It's a really

hard thing to do, especially when all your life, somebody has been telling you that you're special and you're different, and you're different and unique. About you're not really that special.

2.

Now, maybe your mommy and your daddy think you're really that special. But in the scheme of things, it's the people that we serve, right? And we have to figure how to lift up, how to validate their knowledge, how to validate their experience.

And so I think that would be -- that was the greatest thing that I learned.

And I had some extraordinarily patient senior citizens who taught me that. And I try to remember it every day in every way as I go about doing my work. So that would be one thing.

Another thing would be how to take the knowledge that you are getting in the classroom and use it in the service of people in struggle. You know, there are tremendous things happening with technology, Google Maps and Google Earth, that help people figure out and see visually sort of where they are, and where they are in proximity to threats and to environmental threats of every kind.

How do you take that stuff that we're learning in school, in a cutting-edge technology, and put it in service of communities in struggle.

There's lots of creative things going on. These young people now, the way their minds work, it's just extraordinary to me. And how you connect that to community struggle for social justice, I think, is something to give them a challenge to try and figure out.

DIANE SICOTTE: Thank you.

ADAM H. CUTLER: We'll take

Alice's question.

ALICE WRIGHT: My question is, what's the responsibility or -- and how can universities, the scientific community, take the knowledge that they know about health in the environment to the people who really make the decisions?

I mean, I sit on many of the environmental justice calls through the federal government. And the people who really make the change, they're not in the room. So --

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Tell me a little bit more, Alice. Who do you think is not

1 in the room?

2 | ALICE WRIGHT: Well, I'm saying

3 | the policymakers, the people who write the regs,

4 | the people who vote on the regulations.

And, I mean, at some point, I

6 | think that we -- it -- we need to take it to them

7 and expose --

8 | VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Yes.

9 ALICE WRIGHT: -- them for who

10 they are for not changing regulations that are 40,

11 | 50, 60 years old.

12 | VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Yes.

13 | ALICE WRIGHT: And, you know, in a

14 | sense -- in a sense, from my experience working in

15 communities that are so vulnerable, there's this

16 | mean-spirited attitude that they deserve what they

17 | get. And I just think, at some point, it needs to

18 be addressed.

19 VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: It does

20 need to be addressed and so --

21 ALICE WRIGHT: So how do we do

22 that?

23 | VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: One of the

24 | last pieces I mentioned was that people need help

25 | participating in these federal rulemakings.

And why is that important? It's important because the way the process works about environmental statute, policy and law is that Congress passes laws. And then on the environmental front, they then give it to EPA to then turn into what EPA calls a rulemaking. And that rulemaking is the codification of what Congress has tasked them to do.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So some of these rulemakings that go on for years and year and years, the definition of solid waste rule, which regulates the hazardous waste recycling industry, has been going on for 19 years. And it's just the last two years that the people who are most impacted by what that rule would regulate, each of the communities, low-income tribal communities, have been engaged in the process.

And one of the reasons they've been engaged in the process is because Earthjustice and Environmental Renewal Advocacy Organization and the Sierra Club have made a real extraordinary effort to bring those people into the process.

So we give them technical assistance. We walk them through the rules. We

bring people from all over the country to Capitol Hill to talk to their members of Congress about why these rules are important.

2.4

2.5

That kind of work has got to go on. And we have a role to play in it. We can break it down into very, very complex processes.

We're there to break that science and that technology down, to explain to folks and then to take back what they say and give it back to the federal government, the decision-makers, this is what communities would like to see in terms of how you ultimately promulgate the statute or this law.

So that's what we're there for.

There is a wide-open process for this to happen. The people who are most absent in this process are the people who are most directly affected by these laws and statutes.

And lastly, I would say, I know that people say this all the time, and you probably think, oh, they're just saying that, that it's not going to really matter. It matters that people interact with their elected representatives of federal, state and local government. It matters.

I met with your Senator Casey --

1 | not him exactly, his staff person -- on Monday,

- 2 | talking about a couple of rules that are
- 3 | happening. And I tried to lift up the communities
- 4 in Pennsylvania that are really struggling with
- 5 | these issues. And it would be really great if
- 6 | Senator Casey would go and meet with these
- 7 communities out where these coal combustion waste
- 8 | sites are, out where these incinerators are. That
- 9 | if he would come and see for himself, then maybe
- 10 he wouldn't be such an asshole and vote against
- 11 these issues when they come up before Congress.
- Now, let me be fair. As senators
- 13 go, Senator Casey is one of the best people in the
- 14 United States Senate. Let's be absolutely fair.
- 15 But on the coal issue, as so many
- 16 | say this who are from coal states, they're
- 17 | pigheaded, they're blind-sided, and they're going
- 18 | with the coal industry first, but there are
- 19 impacts that are happening to the communities.
- 20 But who is the difference-maker?
- 21 | Bring the people who are suffering to meet
- 22 directly with their representatives and let them
- 23 look them in the eye and say, I'm going to vote
- 24 against your interest.

25

That's the role that we can play.

We can help raise the money. We can get the people on the buses. We can go with them to Washington or to Harrisburg, or wherever it is, but we've got to get the people involved in the process so the decision-makers are hearing from the people who are most directly affected. That's the greatest thing I think we can do, Alice, is to bring the people into the political process.

Thank you.

2.

11 (Applause)

ADAM H. CUTLER: Thank you again,

Vernice. That was outstanding.

Jerry has a question.

JEROME BALTER: I want to raise the connection between the question of environmental pollution and what is bothering many citizens, including the -- what do we call them -- those people on the right.

And the question is, what is the relationship? That is, if you stop pollution, you reduce illness. And the biggest cost to government are the sick. So that if the government invests in pollution control, will they

Page 198 not reduce the cost of Medicare and Medicaid and 1 2 get through all this nonsense that's going on? 3 And especially the right wing, because people only learn through their 4 5 experience. And if you can show them that what they don't like is affecting their pocketbook, 6 7 maybe we can win them over. 8 9 (Applause) 10 11 ADAM H. CUTLER: Well, I think 12 Jerry just hit on a new collaboration that we can 13 be working on over the next few years. 14 Thank you, Jerry, for those 15 comments. And thank you to Alice and Diane for 16 your questions. 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24

25

Page 199 1 2. SESSION III: 3 A PROJECT TO UNITE NE REGION (OR PA - PHL AND PBG) INTEGRATING CUMULATIVE IMPACT SCREENING TOOLS 4 5 INTO PLANNING 6 7 ADAM H. CUTLER: I'll be moderating the next panel, so I'd like to call up 8 9 our panel three participants now. And as they're 10 moving up and everybody gets comfortable, I will 11 go right into the introductions. 12 While we're waiting for -- oh, 13 here she comes. Come on up, Eileen. JENNIFER R. CLARKE: While Eileen 14 15 is coming up, I just want to acknowledge one 16 person who has contributed a lot, 99 percent to 17 today, and that is Taylor Goodman. Taylor is our 18 development director. 19 20 (Applause) 21 2.2 JENNIFER R. CLARKE: Taylor's headed over to the Downtown club to make sure that 23 24 tonight's event is nicely staged. 25 ADAM H. CUTLER: Okay. So our

1 third panel is kind of picking up from some of the

- 2 points that Vernice made. Our third panel is
- 3 going to talk about particular cumulative impact
- 4 | screening tools and other tools that communities
- 5 might be able to bring into the planning process.
- And I will just briefly introduce
- 7 our distinguished panel. As before, you can read
- 8 | their more detailed biographies in your booklet.
- 9 Speaking first will be Dr. Jim
- 10 Sadd. Dr. Sadd is a Professor of Environmental
- 11 | Science at Occidental College in Los Angeles,
- 12 California.
- Next will be Eileen Gauna.
- 14 Professor Gauna is a Professor of Law at the
- 15 University of New Mexico School of Law.
- 16 And finally, we have with us John
- 17 Relman. John is a civil rights attorney and the
- 18 | founder of Relman, Dane & Colfax, a Washington,
- 19 D.C. civil rights litigation firm. He also
- 20 | teaches public interest law at Georgetown
- 21 University Law Center as an adjunct professor.
- 22 | So without further ado and to keep
- 23 | us running on time, I'll turn it over to Jim for
- 24 the first presentation.
- JIM SADD: Good afternoon.

1 You can go to the next slide.

2.

2.2

My job today is to talk about environmental justice screening generally and also to detail an environmental justice screening method that I and my colleagues have developed in the State of California.

I'd like to first say that the whole task of environmental justice screening is a task that's several years old. And it really is in response to a NEJAC call to try to operationalize the whole concept of cumulative impacts.

And so we have Vernice and other NEJAC members over the years to thank for that.

I listed on this slide several attempts recently that are trying to develop these cumulative impact screening techniques, the most prominent, I think nationally, is the EJSEAT from the U.S. EPA which I think many of you heard of.

California EPA is also developing a hazard assessment screening tool that should be done soon.

The State of New Jersey has also joined the fray and is working on a preliminary technique.

And then there is the EJ screening effort that I've been involved with, which I'll be talking about in detail.

2.

1.3

2.2

All of these different screening methods have some shared purpose. They're trying to identify EJ communities that are the most impacted and vulnerable communities in order to identify areas that are deserving of targeted efforts of various types.

All of them are geographically based, as you see. All of them use secondary data. That is, they're not really measuring anything, but they're taking information that is already public information, accepting it as accurate, and then using that in order to develop a screening method.

And so what environmental justice screening is, is screening. It's not assessment. We're not measuring anything. But it is identifying areas that are deserving of drilling down for additional work.

All of these techniques use information from the U.S. Census in one form or another, mostly at the census track level. And they really vary most in their methods of scoring

different locations and in the weighting of importance of different elements of cumulative impact.

2.4

Next slide, please.

So to first talk about the environmental justice screening method that we've developed, we've done this under contract to CAL EPA and other California State agencies and others.

And the goal of this project was to develop indicators of cumulative impact that actually reflect the research that has been done to demonstrate disproportionate impacts and vulnerability, but also to select indicators that are transparent and relevant both to communities and policymakers and other interested stakeholders.

Then these indicators are applied to a screening method, which I'll show you, that has a number of uses. And I can talk about specific uses that ours has been put to later, if you wish.

Next slide, please.

So the focus of the EJ screening method that we developed uses 36 different

1 indicators of cumulative impact and vulnerability.

2 | It's specific to air pollution and climate change.

3 And as I mentioned, it uses secondary databases

which are accepted as accurate and truthful, but

5 | we actually use ground-truthing in order to

6 validate that information in specific areas. And

that's often a community-based participatory

8 research project.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The technique that we use incorporates land use information, which is really different from all of the other methods. And we think that's important because land use decisions, I think as we all know, really are the basis of so many environmental justice problems and also solutions. And for this reason, this method really requires the land use information that's both classified and also has a spatial resolution that is high enough or granular enough that actually can be useful at the neighborhood level. It has to reflect neighborhood to neighborhood variation.

We've actually done this in the State of California. We've covered an area that takes into account about 85 percent of the population of the state.

And, finally, the technique that we use, which is a little different than others, doesn't map everywhere. It only maps where people are actually exposed in a non-occupational setting. So we're mapping in residential areas and also sensitive land uses.

2.2

Now, we've taken the definition from the California EPA. But these basically are land uses where people who are especially sensitive to air pollution or to climate change impacts spend much of their day; so, for example, senior housing, health care facilities, child care facilities, schools, urban parks and playgrounds and so forth.

Next slide, please.

So in our method, we have four different categories of cumulative impact.

First, we're looking at indicators of proximity to various types of housing and also the locations of those sensitive land uses that I mentioned before.

Second, we're looking at indicators of health risk and exposure.

Third, we're looking at indicators
of social and health vulnerability, all of which

have been validated in the research literature to actually be statistically significant determinants of disproportionate exposure and impact.

2.

2.2

And, finally, we have recently added a climate change vulnerability piece to our screening method, because we think the data is good enough. The cause and effect on relationships are also good enough in order to include it, but, of course, can be backed out if you're only interested in the air pollution.

Next slide.

So lest all we think that everything is California is wonderful. And I'll say that I left a cold and rainy day yesterday in California to come to this perfect weather in Philadelphia, for which I'm really grateful.

And also, there are areas in California which are not so nice. And here is an example, the Liberty/Atchison Villages, which is not very far from UC Berkeley, where one of my colleagues works and lives. And as you can see, it is adjacent to a number of environmental hazards and really personifies or typifies the cumulative impact problem that is so prevalent in many communities in the United States.

Here it's adjacent to an interstate, a port, a rail yard, one of the largest refineries in the United States, a chemical plant and so forth.

So I won't spend a lot of time on this slide, because this is for nerds, like me.

But, basically, this is a three-step process.

There is a Geographic Information Systems spatial assessment, which I'll summarize for you, that basically makes the base map on which the mapping will be done and also develops the proximity metrics.

Second, there is programming done in the statistical package. We use SPSS. It could be done in SAS or any other package that has that capability. But if they're written in them, the results are mapped. And the reason for that is, we want to make sure that we have quality assurance and quality control at every step, so we can actually demonstrate what was being done. We can identify errors. And we can actually make this into a programmable method and hand it off to someone else who can change the indicators, make other decisions, and use it in a similar way.

So this is the southern California

area, the Greater Los Angeles area. If you're not familiar with it, the City of Los Angeles is sort of this area right here (indicating). And the freeway system is shown in dark lines. And there's also three other shades on this map.

2.

2.2

There is white. Those are all of the residential and sensitive land use areas where mapping would take place. Then there's some light gray. That is open space, commercial corridors and that sort of thing, which is not mapped. And then we have darker gray areas, which shows industrial areas, transportation corridors, utilities and so forth. So everywhere in white in the maps that you'll see are the areas that are scored and mapped for cumulative impacts.

This is zoom-in to the East Los
Angeles area, where the land use data is shown.
As you can see, there is a number of different
types of land use. Everything shown in blue is
either residential or sensitive. So that's where
we're going to map. And everything that's not
blue is not.

And if you'll notice -- next slide -- I've lifted up some areas in pink, which are cemeteries. We don't map in cemeteries

because there's no one living there.

2.

2.2

So we isolate those land uses where we will do our mapping, and we lay them over census block information, sort of in a cookie-cutter fashion.

Next slide.

We cut the land use with census blocks and we wind up with a whole bunch of little polygons. Now, GIS nerds like me call these slivers. But we, in our environmental justice work, call these cumulative impact polygons, because this is the base map which we use.

These polygons are all either the size of the census block or smaller. So they're a nice surrogate for a neighborhood. And then, of course, once the scoring is done, each of those polygons receives a color, and the color indicates its level of cumulative impact on the neighborhood.

Next slide.

So let's first look at the first category of indicators in proximity to hazards and sensitive land uses. Here are the sensitive land uses that are recognized by the California Air Resources Board. They're self-explanatory.

I'm not going to get into the actual scoring metrics that you use. But I did provide a paper for the proceedings, volumes, that describes that in detail.

2.2

And as part of the Witmer Bio
Research Group to be engaged in a meaningful way
with communities, we published that paper in an
open source journal. So instead of having to pay
\$3,000 a year to subscribe to it in order to get
it, you can just get it on the web as a
downloadable PDF.

Next slide, please.

And then we're looking at proximity to a variety of hazards, both point and other area hazards, and a variety of land uses that are associated with high levels of air pollution.

Basically what we do is, we draw a distance buffer around each of those cumulative impact polygons, each of those neighborhood-sized geographic units, and count the number of hazards within a certain distance.

And the distance buffers that we use are actually the ones that have been recommended for land use decisions by the

California Environmental Protection Agency in order to guide the land use decision-making for new facilities in the State of California.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Now, there's nothing that says that the local land use planning agencies have to accept those recommendations. However, they are recommendations that are there.

And, secondly, this is only for new facilities, like a new school or a new child care center. And there is no recognition of the existing schools and existing sensitive land use that is there already.

Next slide.

So if you look at a distance-weighted hazard count of all the CI polygons in the Southern California area and combine that with sensitive land use, this is what the map looks like.

So red is a lot of them. Green is not very many. And so you can see they definitely are concentrated in certain areas. They tend to be concentrated adjacent, in fact, to areas of high industrial activity.

We then aggregate that information up to the census tract level. Now, we have to do

that because we need a consistent level of geography to match it with all of the other data that we're going to use, which is generally at the census tract level, and we can't misrepresent the precision of this sort of mapping.

2.

However, we've demonstrated it's possible to keep that granularity for some sorts of local and land use applications. And we do this aggregation upwards of the tract level using population weighting.

So if there's a large number of hazards located next to a large number of people, that gets extra weight because it's population-weighted or population-focused.

Then we do something which we think is pretty simple and transparent, and it's very different from most screening methods, which is, we take all those counts for all the locations and we rank them in quintiles, the lowest 20 percent, the highest 20 percent, and the other three in between. And we just give those quintile groups a score of one to five.

We've actually tried some very complicated scoring techniques. We have used EJSEAT use scores and standard deviations, and we

found that it doesn't make a whole lot of difference. And this is a much more accessible and easily understood and easily translatable to be scoring. And this quintile distribution is something that we follow through on the entire method.

2.2

2.5

Now, I've talked about probably the most complicated part of this. Everything else is pretty simple.

So next slide.

This is just the scores then for hazard proximity and land use for the Southern California area. Again, the red is high scores, high proximity and -- excuse me, great exposure to these facilities. And then green is -- green is good.

Next slide.

So then we look at measures of health risk and exposure. We use five. These are, in fact, very similar to the ones that were used by EJSEAT, but we're using California-based measures, because there are ways in which we feel the California-based information is calculated differently and is a little bit better. And all of this information is detailed in the

1 proceedings.

2.2

2.4

Next slide.

And if we map the exposures and health risk metrics in the Southern California area, the map looks like this. And from this, you can learn a couple of things about Southern California.

One is that the wind blows from west to east. And so all of the pollution that's generated sort of in the Greater Los Angeles area blows to the east. And that's why there is a huge plume of high exposure and health risk in the eastern portion of the Los Angeles area.

The second thing you can see is that the health risk really follows the transportation corridors quite well, and also, again, forms a cloud around industrial areas.

Next slide.

Looking now at metrics of social and health vulnerability, these mostly come from the census, but we divide them up really into three groups.

There are a group of census tract level metrics that reflect socioeconomic status.

And, again, these are all validated by the

research, by research that has been done. We didn't sort of just pick these out of our heads and think they were a good idea.

We also looked at levels of biological vulnerability, those elements that we can capture from the census and other sources that reflects the difference vulnerability of groups. The elderly and the very young are much more sensitive to air pollution and climate change impacts. And then we also have birth outcomes information, the percent of preterm and small for gestational age infants over a period of years.

Then we have some civic engagement metrics. These come from the census and also from the voting records. These also are meant to capture the degree to which local decision-making can be influenced by local residents. People that are linguistically isolated or people that are in areas with lower voter turnout probably don't have as much as local engagement with decision-making.

Next slide.

And mapping those metrics for the six-county Southern California area looks like this.

Next slide.

2.2

2.4

Finally, we have added some metrics for climate change vulnerability.

2.

2.2

2.4

Next slide.

We feel that the impacts of climate change are fairly well understood at this point in terms of heat stress, similar to what I was talking with some of my colleagues, and I'm anxiously awaiting information about the fatalities and other health effects of the very hot and humid weather that occurred throughout much of the central and eastern United States this summer.

But these are meant to capture the risks of living in heat islands in urban areas, also temperature change and exit temperatures, and, finally, metrics of mobility and social isolation, because it's very well understood that people who are socially isolated and people that can't go to the cooling center or can't get out are the ones who are the most vulnerable.

And looking at the map of the Southern California area of climate vulnerability, it looks like this.

So then we take all four of those maps and we add them together.

1 Next slide.

2.

2.2

Remember that for each of those indicated classes, you've got a score of one to five. So that any particular neighborhood can have a score of as low as four and as high as 20. And this is what that map looks like.

I'm a scientist. I'm always trying to validate information that I think is correct. And it's difficult to actually validate this information. However, one measure of validation is that regulators, communities and others in California -- in the Southern California region -- who feel that they understand the landscape and the riskscape of environmental justice believe that this is a pretty good depiction of what it is like there.

And there are actually some surprises in doing this.

Do you want to show the next.

This just adds the climate vulnerability indicator you could back out if you want.

But one thing that we noticed is that many of the areas where there is already organizing, where there's already attention showed

up here as hotspots. But, also, there were some hotspots that didn't -- or, excuse me, that showed up where there is no organizing or no interest, such as the area around Pomona and Ontario. So that's an additional benefit to this sort of screening for community organizations.

Next slide.

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Now, we're not the only game in town. The Environmental Justice Strategic

Assessment Tool, or EJSEAT, the U.S. EPA, is also something that has been around for a while. This is something that Eileen is going to be talking about.

I just wanted to segue into her talk by talking about this very briefly.

Next slide.

It also has indicators. The indicators are similar, but different than the ones we use.

Next slide.

And I wanted to show these in sort of a comparative way. I've done that in two ways.

Next slide, please.

So here is a table which shows the indicators by class that we use in the

environmental justice screening method there to the left, and the EJSEAT indicators, 18 of them, which are on the right. And that arrow is to indicate that the indicators of health that they use in EJSEAT, we have incorporated into social and health vulnerability.

But this will give you a feeling for how the two methods compare in terms of the number of indicators and the types of indicators. The two methods have similar goals. They work at different scales.

One of the things that really hobbles the use of EJSEAT is, it's required to be nationally consistent and applicable in the same way throughout all 50 states. And I am blessed to live in California, where we have wonderful environmental data. But in places like, you know, Alaska and Utah, they don't have near the quality.

And, finally, these two methods use significantly different indicator metrics.

Our ways of analyzing are different. And, also, although we use place-based scoring in both methods, there are big differences in the method and the philosophy.

Next slide.

2.

So I thought I'd show you what the EJSEAT map looks like for that same Southern California area. Remember, they're not only mapping in sensitive land uses and residential, they're mapping everywhere. But this is EJSEAT for Southern California.

2.4

The next slide.

And this is the EJ screening method. And if you flip back and forth, you might notice that the two have a certain amount of similarity.

And what that tells us is that the whole concept of screening is very robust. You can actually do this. You can argue about scoring methods, which indicators to use, how to weigh them, how to move the geography, all that sort of dirty stuff, and you come up with a general pattern that is about the same.

So, you know, these patterns are real. In the parlance of a 12-step program for alcohol abuse, you have a problem, well, we have a problem. Of course, we all know that. I'm preaching to the converted here.

And just the last two slides that are in your proceedings are a full list of the

1 | indicators that we use.

I want to pass the time to my colleagues. I'd be happy to answer any questions later.

Thank you.

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2.

3

4

5

7 (Applause)

_ _

want thank you for the invitation and also to give my warm regards to Jerome Balter, who I remember from years and years ago, when he, along with a lot of other people, were taking EPA to task when they really needed to be taken to task in no uncertain terms.

And with that in mind, I want to put this -- put a little bit of context here.

Like a lot of the prior speakers have been doing,
I was kind of taken aback by the -- by the title,
"Overstudied and Underserved," you know, "Uses of the Law to Promote Healthy, Sustainable Urban
Communities."

I thought "overstudied"? You know, I have to tell you, I'm one of the old dogs.

And I remember those days, as many of you in the

audience here remember, where there was little to support the claims. Nobody cared to look.

2.

2.2

And, you know, the Reverend Strand and Cecil and Leslie and Vernice have all talked about or alluded to these days where there was outright exclusion and there was no information.

And now I see that we're sort of until the Environmental Justice Act II, where we do have some studies. We do have some good work that has been done. And we are not outright excluded. We're at the table.

Now, that doesn't mean that these are happy days. We're at the table, but it's not exactly equal footing for you. And we have information, but it's not enough. And some of it might be a little bit problematic.

So while we keep up that pressure to take action, I'm -- I'm here to talk a little bit more about the policy implications of the studied part.

Now, Jim Sadd is one of a few handful of what we call the green team of environmental justice researchers throughout the country. You know, Jim Sadd and Paul Mohai and Bunyon Bryant and Manuel Pastor and Rachel

Morello-Frosch are just some really good folks who have moved research forward in this area.

There's also research that is being done at the agency level. And that research that's being done at the agency level, that empirical work, we don't know exactly, you know, what they're doing with it. It's kind of a moving target. But, you know, it can be used, you know, to target resources for enforcement in the brownfields area, for, you know, grants.

Basically, you have agencies who need to measure what they do and they have to support the tremendous amount of resources that are going to be moved in different areas.

And so they undertook to do this screening method. Again, it's a screening method to try to identify areas of concern. And they called it Environmental Justice Smart Enforcement Assessment Tool, which means it was kind of developed probably in the OECA or the enforcement context.

But, again, you know, what exactly they were going to use this for and how it was to be used is and remains a little bit unclear.

Well, the National Environmental

2.

1.3

2.2

Justice Advisory Council, you know, said, let us take a closer look at this -- at this method, because we want to kind of take a look at it.

2.

So they formed a work group. And
I was on the work group. I was co-chair. Jim
Sadd was also on that with me. And so was Paul
Mohai from the University of Michigan, and Juliana
Maantay from New York. Just some good people.
Some community people were there as well, Omega
Wilson and Richard Moore. And so we, you know,
had that good work group from different
perspectives and we started to take a look at this
tool.

Now, I just put -- I put a few slides in the packet for you. By the way, this is a great packet of information. Thank you so much for putting it together. But it bears -- I didn't want to send in a whole -- the report that we did, that our work group did, that we handed off to NEJAC, who then handed it off to the administrator is over a hundred pages long. But if you go to the website, you can get the report, the EPA website, or just e-mail me directly and I will send you the report. But I didn't want it to be -- I wanted to save paper and printing costs

and so forth.

2.

2.2

But what the agency was really looking at was a nationally consistent method of identifying these communities. And it's important that we understand that it's at a national level, instead of a state or regional level that Jim Sadd was talking about, where you can have much more resolution and really pinpoint things with a greater degree.

What our work group really did was take a critical look at that screening tool. And I'm not going to go into the technical details of it. I think, you know, I would encourage you to read the report if you're interested in that.

But the points that I wanted to make here, in my limited amount of time, is that what our technical folks on the work group did is, they took a critical look at that screening tool.

It's a really good thing that we've developing tools like that at all levels, in governmental, private and university.

As Jim mentioned, there were 18 indicators that were being used to screen environmental justice communities or communities of concern. They looked at each of those

indicators, broke down the databases behind those indicators, and they came up with some really interesting things. And we discussed these things and the implications of them and so forth.

2.2

indicators, the data behind them were rather weak.

And the indicators themselves could -- had

different weights within the overall score.

We found that some of those

So if we had a really weak indicator that was weighted rather heavily, that could tend to distort that EJ score, the raw score, at the very end of the day.

We saw that another of the indicators, for example, the compliance indicator, had some squirrelly data behind it. You know, one of the health indicators had some errors in the database.

So it was this kind of thing that the work group, largely at the direction of Jim Sadd and Paul Mohai and others, helped us uncover and to make recommendations about.

A lot of it was rather technical, lots of telephone calls and so forth. But what I wanted to do was give to you some of our recommendations, just to give you a sense of what

these tools are and the potential for using them and misusing them and why we were particularly concerned.

So with that in mind, maybe you can hit the first one.

2.2

We found that it's probably pretty useful for prospective applications, but when used -- retrospective applications, I'm sorry, for taking a look back and saying, okay, have our grants been distributed to, you know, these areas, these areas of concern.

Now, you'll notice that I'm using the term, "areas of concern," instead of environmental justice communities. And I will explain that in a minute.

But when you're taking a look back at how robust has enforcement been in these areas, that that's probably a better use of this tool.

When it's used prospectively, it really should be accompanied with more information. And, again, I'll explain that in a little bit when I cover some ground. But how you use it is very important.

We thought that it really needs to be folded in with more public participation models

and so forth before you get to that prospective application.

2.

2.2

Let me back up just a little bit.

I want to talk a little bit more about the contribution of our dream team. And that is, what Jim Sadd did, explained later, and Paul Mohai did the same, so did Juliana, is they took these indicators and they looked at them in relation to areas, they applied them to areas that they studied under their own research methods and had, to use Jim's term, ground-truthed those studies. So they were very familiar with these areas. And they found, you know, why some of these indicators seemed to work and why some of them didn't.

And so it was a way to test what this tool was doing against what I think are more sophisticated tools at a regional or state level had done and could -- and we could really see dramatically why some of these indicators really need to be reconfigured and changed.

Next slide, please.

So what we did is, we recommended that after you apply this nationally consistent tool and you come up with -- it's like a very coarse screening tool. It will point to areas

that may be of concern, but it doesn't have critical site-specific land use and other data that really would give you a good picture of what's going on.

2.

1.3

2.2

Now, this is important because at the national level, if you're moving lots of money and lots of resources into these areas and you have a tool that isn't picking up problematic areas because it doesn't have the critical data that is needed to do this, you could misallocate resources.

And so what we suggested is that you can use this coarse screening method, and then you put it out for public comment and you allow areas to say, you know, we think they missed us and we are an area, an environmental justice area, and this is why, and this is because we have information that is not being picked up by this screen.

So it is critical that for these prospective applications, you put this through a filter that involves a public participation process. And then that way, you can define it.

You could also have, for example, as Jim mentioned, you could have areas that show

up as areas of concern. But then when you take a closer look at them, they're not really areas of concern because, you know, they may be out in the desert somewhere where there really is nobody. Or it could be areas where it's not really of concern for some other reasons. So we needed a process to help this become a better tool.

Next slide.

2.

1.3

2.2

Okay. The tool itself is largely air-focused. Like, again, I didn't want to turn this into too much of a -- you know, it's after lunch and we're having to fall over our knees, which is always, you know, terrible to do, so I didn't want to like really drive you guys under the table.

But the tool itself is largely air-focused. It doesn't have a lot of information about what might be happening on surface waters and ground waters, with respect to soil contamination and that sort of thing. So it really has to be supplemented with information.

Next slide, please.

Here was where we thought that we were walking kind of a double-edge sword. What we didn't want to happen -- because the states were

very interested in this tool and a lot of people were very interested in this tool -- and what we didn't want to happen was for state agencies, for example, to say, oh, this community has a score of XYZ. That's not high enough. It's not an environmental justice community, and so, therefore, we can disregard what people in that community are saying because they're just, you know, being hysterical and, you know, those things that we've been hearing for years and years and years. You don't really have a problem. You just want to make trouble.

2.

And we thought it was critical that this tool is not be used in an exclusionary manner. It cannot say definitively if any particular area is not or is an environmental justice community. It's a step one. It's a very, very coarse screen. It's not a necessity.

You know, you couldn't -- because of that, the bad side of it is, it really can't be used arbitrarily to impede community development, overturn local land use, authorities or permitting decisions. Because if we say the school isn't well developed enough to exclude communities, we can't use it in a definitive way. And so here is

1 | where I think it has real limitations, for

2 | example, in some applications. It does have to be

- 3 | supplemented with other information, you know,
- 4 before you can walk into a permitting proceeding,
- for example, and say, no, no, no, you know, don't
- 6 put it here in this community, it already has too
- 7 much.
- 8 So, you know, that's a significant
- 9 | limitation to it. And, you know, it's helpful,
- 10 but it probably would not be helpful in that
- 11 | context. That's not to say that it couldn't help
- 12 inform.
- There's another reason as well.
- 14 | This is a tool. It is not a source of legal
- 15 authority in its own right. And, of course, you
- 16 know, the permitting proceedings have to go under,
- 17 | you know, the particular regulations and statutes
- 18 at issue.
- So bad news for the litigators.
- 20 | Sorry.
- 21 And it should be used to bring
- 22 resources, but it should not be used to bring
- 23 | stigma to a community. So those were some
- 24 considerations we thought were important.
- Next slide, please. Next slide,

please. Could you go back one slide? Did we miss a slide? Let's see. Oh, no. I'm sorry. Okay, let me check mine.

(Pause)

2.2

Okay. All right.

And, again, it should be used in the context of, you know, problem solving and a bias for action. This bias for action is a really important strong recommendation. We didn't want to fall in the track of, let's study this thing to death, let's pick apart the methodology that underlies this particular empirical tool, and let's use it as a reason not to do anything.

And so we recommend, in the strongest possible terms, that the agency not do that, that it do it in the context of -- you know, again, one can understand the frustration behind this, you know, overstudied and underserved. And we didn't want our discussions to contribute to that paralysis-by-analysis type of a thing that we have all seen for so many years.

And, again, we thought that the EPA and the states must really focus on all sources of impact and vulnerability in an area, not just those captured by the Environmental

Justice Smart Enforcement Assessment Tool.

2.

1.3

2.2

You know, and here is another double-edge sword. It's important to develop these tools at the national level. If you do that, they have to be tools that are consistently applied across the United States.

But in order to do that, you need nationally consistent databases. And so it -- it means that there are some national applications where the use of this tool is appropriate. And then there are going to be some applications where the use of this tool is not appropriate and it needs to be supplemented with more information. So, again, it's kind of a tricky thing.

For example -- let me give you an example. The health data we found was not -- it was relatively weak with the use of this tool.

And it's because the healthy data generally is not compiled in a nationally consistent way. And so it tended -- it was a weak indicator, but it was overweighted. And so it could tend to actually distort the scores.

And so here is where we were making recommendations of, yeah, you've got to really be careful with this. And, you know, we

have to be careful with the way that we use it.

It's important as it is.

2.2

2.5

Okay. Next slide.

So we recommended to the EPA that they really need to seek a wide range of views on this. They need to do outreach in terms of how EJSEAT and other tools are actually being implemented. They're a force for good, but they can be a force for much mischief as well.

They need to undertake what's called a sensitivity analysis to understand how each of these EJ elements affect the scores. You know, and our dream team did, you know, a lot towards this end, but there is certainly a lot more work that needs to go into it. And this needs to be a transparent process.

Again, this is another thing that
I thought from a policy perspective, is critically
important. The agency developed this tool
in-house. It didn't seek information initially
from some outside sources that could have been -you know, these folks have been doing
environmental justice research for a long time.
And they have strengthened the methodology for
doing this research over the years. They should

1 have been consulted.

2.

2.2

NEJAC took them to task. And, you know, they really stepped up to the plate and made some good recommendations.

We haven't heard back from the agency in terms of whether they will take our recommendations. We don't know. You know, there's sort of a whiff of, well, maybe they're developing something else.

Again, this is one of these areas where our, you know, environmental justice advocates need to keep track of what the agency is doing and continually put pressure on the agency to say, okay, let's take a look at this tool, let our folks, who do good work in this area, take a look at these tools to make sure that they are well designed and that they are used appropriately.

Okay. Next slide.

I'm going to wrap up. Okay. Go ahead. Next slide. This is the end.

And, again, they need to -- there was one place where they really need to seek -- in particular, the tribes were absent, native people were absent in the work group and in other ways.

1 And so they really need to incorporate that.

That's it. Okay. We can answer more specific questions. I was like getting the boot.

Thanks.

- - -

2.

3

4

5

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

7 (Applause)

_ _

JOHN RELMAN: Good afternoon,

everyone. It's a pleasure to be here. Thank you

for having me.

This is kind of a nice homecoming for me to be up here. I'm a 1975 graduate of Germantown Friends School. I know there are some graduates in the room.

And what's also nice, and I have to say that the reason I decided to become a civil rights lawyer was because of my formative years at Germantown Friends School and then went to the Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights, where I spent 13 years, both in the national office and in the Washington office, litigating civil rights cases.

So it's also fun to be here in a conference hosted by an organization that is in the family of the Lawyers Committee.

And, finally, I have to say although I've been in many meetinghouses in my life, I've spoken very few times. I defined myself in all of high school, I don't think I ever stood up. But there's always a first.

2.2

And this is the first time that I have ever seen a law conference -- or not a law conference, just a conference in a meetinghouse.

A very fitting place and a beautiful meetinghouse, so it's very, very nice to be here.

So with my limited time, what I went to do is, I'm sort of perplexed as to why I was on this panel, since it's all about the use of maps.

And I thought about this for a moment and I realized, well, I don't know much about mapping, but I certainly use a lot of maps in trying to prove our cases.

In fact, when I started to think about all the different times in our cases that we try that we use maps, it's extraordinary what we do with them and how dependent we are on them.

And so what I want to do with the ten minutes that I've got is to take you through some examples of the maps that we've used to prove

our civil rights cases.

2.

2.2

2.4

And let me just say that the work that I do really started off as fair housing work. I do a lot of fair lending work. And in proving these cases, no matter what kind of discrimination case it is, it's really all about trying to establish that the motivation for any action, whether it's governmental or not, is on the basis of race or national origin or it's on the basis of a prohibited characteristic.

And a picture is worth a thousand words. When you're in front of a jury, in front of a judge, the picture can tell an incredible story. And we've learned that over the years. And so I just want to give you a few examples of how this has been done and how effective it is.

And my hat is off to the people who do these maps. A lot of these maps that are being done today were done by Allan Parnell and Ann Joyner at the Cedar Grove Institute for Sustainable Communities. We use them in a number of our cases. And there are just extraordinary things that have been done.

But first, we to the first slide.

I'll take you through it.

So I'll give you some examples.

2.

This would be in the voting area. Now, this is a case that goes -- a Supreme Court case that goes way back to 1960.

Next slide, please.

And here, this is sort of a primitive use of a map in a gerrymandering case that goes all the way back to 1960.

But if I can actually segue.

So here, what you've got, is
Tuskegee, Alabama. The four sides represent the
city limits. Four times the number of
African-Americans in Tuskegee, Alabama in 1960
than whites or than white voters.

But the map of the city was redrawn in this configuration here, a 28-sided figure, in order to exclude African-American voters. All right?

So that simple picture told an incredible story to the Supreme Court at a time when you might have had to go through pages and pages of documents.

Next slide.

Let me take you up to a more recent case that we tried in 2008 in Columbus,

1 Ohio.

So next slide, if we could.

So we were approached about a case that involved a city called Zanesville, which is about 60 miles east of Columbus. And there is an historically African-American neighborhood known as the Coal Run neighborhood that sits right outside the City of Zanesville. This is right on I-70 as it goes through Ohio.

And what we were told was, that this community of Coal Run, this African-American community, had been denied water for more than 50 years. It was known as -- the name of the term -- this is an offensive word, but this is what it was called, it was called by everybody there, it was known as "Nigger Run," also known as "Shit Sling Hollow."

The reason why it was called that was because this area is coal mining territory. You can't drill wells deep enough to get to good water. And, therefore, the only water that you could get had to come from this water treatment plant here in the City of Zanesville.

The folks here for more than 50 years, the African-American families, had

1 | hauled water from the water plant, which they paid

- 2 for, on their trucks and put it into cisterns.
- 3 And in the cisterns, the water got filthy, dirty,
- 4 | infested with mice, animals. It was disgusting.
- 5 And they had to operate out of outhouses here.
- 6 | There was no indoor plumbing. That's why it was
- 7 | called "Shit Sling Hollow."
- 8 So we were approached about the
- 9 case.
- 10 And next slide, if you could.
- 11 The first thing that we saw when
- 12 | we looked at the results of the investigation and
- 13 | started to map it was, the water line went to
- 14 | Circle Lane and then it stopped.
- 15 Next slide, if I could.
- 16 And then the next thing we did
- 17 | was, we looked at the houses and where they were
- 18 | located. This is the African-American
- 19 | neighborhood of Coal Run (indicating). The white
- 20 houses go essentially to here (indicating). And
- 21 this is where the water went.
- So we plotted the race.
- If you go to the next slide.
- This shows who had water.
- Next slide.

This shows the racial makeup.

This is race unknown (indicating). This shows the racial makeup.

Next slide.

2.2

This is who had water in race.

So the picture to us was pretty clear. But the reason I put these slides up is because, actually, when I did the opening of trial, it was a nine-week trial in Columbus. And we had a Southern District of Ohio jury. Fairly conservative, by any estimate. Came from six different cities.

And the City of Zanesville and the county, Muskingum County, is an all-white county that this is in, all white county. This is one populate of the African-American neighborhood.

They've got lots of excuses for why things had happened. We had to go back. And there were thousands of pages of documents and historical records on had they asked for water and what all had happened.

And the one picture that we found out after trial, that we put up in the opening, that told the whole story, that actually convinced these jurors from the beginning -- we could have

actually stopped the trial right there after the first day -- next slide -- was this one.

2.

1.3

These are the water pipes that go out into an all-white county. This is Coal Run (indicating). This is what you saw right here, where the water stops. The water went everywhere.

And, by the way, Zanesville all had it. The City of Zanesville said, we don't run any pipes outside the city. But they actually did. This was all connected. Muskingum County said, we just couldn't get water there.

The jury looked at that and said, you've got to be kidding. We didn't know what they were saying, but that's what they were saying, you've got to be kidding me. This map told the whole thing.

And then we had a nine-week trial where they prodded up every single reason why they couldn't deliver water, and we had to break it down.

But this picture was worth the whole thing. The end result, water came to Coal Run after 50 years. And there was ten-and-a-half million dollars of damages that went to the families of Coal Run.

1 All right. Next one.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

As a result of that case, we learned about work that was being done around the country in dealing with annexation of minority communities or failure to annex minority communities.

This is in Warren county. And Allan Parnell talked to me a little bit about this situation, where there was some litigation going on.

I show you this map just because it's interesting. These are minority communities that have not been annexed, if you're looking around here (indicating). These are the annexations that happened. And these communities are minority communities that still have not been annexed. And he starts showing me about this.

Next slide, if you could.

That, of course, led to another case. This is not a case that -- we were involved tangentially in this case. This is in Modesto, California.

If we can have the next slide.

Here there was both the refusal to

annex Hispanic communities and a denial of

1 | services to those communities.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

These areas here in the purple are the heavily Hispanic communities, more than ten times the Hispanic population of anywhere else in Modesto in these areas.

These are the sewer lines in the green. And you can see the sewer lines just don't go to the Hispanic communities.

Next slide.

What we have here, these are streetlights. And, again, in the green areas, if you can see it, these are ten times the Hispanic population than the rest of the city. No streetlights in these areas.

Next slide.

These are storm drains. The same thing. Each one of these is a storm drain. What this meant was, the children were walking to school in streets that were not paved and in the mud, in the water, because there are no storm drains.

Next slide, if we could.

Now, this is interesting. And this is a fantastic example of mapping. This just outdid it.

I'm going to ask you to flip
through these in rapid order.

One second. It goes by year. It's going to start in 1961 and it's going to go up to 2004. And as we go through, I want you to watch -- hang on -- watch what happens to -- these are the Hispanic neighborhoods. In the red, it's more than 75 percent. In the brown, it's 50 to 75 percent.

This shows the Modesto annexation.

Now, just go through like a flip card pretty quick and see what happens to annexations over the years. Watch the minority communities.

(Flipping slides.)

Stop right there. There you go.

16 Back up.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Completely left behind as they annexed every year. Minority communities were just completely left behind.

So sort of a remarkable story you can tell with these maps empty.

Now, the next type of case that we've been heavily involved in is cases involving the siting of low-income affordable communities.

And there are two ways that this happens.

One of the concerns is that for
affordable housing -- just a couple more minutes
and I'm going to stop -- where low-income
affordable housing is sited, one of the problems
is, it gets repeatedly sited in minority
communities, which perpetuates segregation.
That's a real problem.

In other times, we can't get minority housing, it's literally stopped from going into white areas, where there are good services.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So this first case I want to tell you about is one -- go to the next slide, please.

This is actually one that was pioneered by folks in Texas. This is the Inclusive Communities Project. And what they were demonstrating with this map here -- actually, you can't see it too well -- but the siting of housing is all in the minority communities.

And what Allan Parnell did with this map was, he actually added -- these are industrial areas where there is a dot. So it's both in industrial areas and in the heavily minority neighborhoods.

Next slide. Next one.

This is a big suit we're fighting now that I've been deeply involved in, in the last three years, in New Orleans. This is St. Bernard Parish. This is outside the city. Here's New Orleans.

2.

2.4

Next slide, if we could, and I'll get you oriented. Okay.

Here is Lake Pontchartrain.

Here's New Orleans. Here is St. Bernard. It's white because it is white, 98 percent white.

Always been that way. One of the most racist communities in America.

Right after Hurricane Katrina -you go back one slide -- here is the industrial
canal right here. Here's the Lower Ninth Ward.
This is heavily African-American, as you can see.
This is greater than 75 percent African-American
in the red.

The sheriff of St. Bernard Parish gave orders to shoot to kill anybody who crossed the industrial canal. I kid you not. Reported in numerous newspapers. I had him on the stand. He admitted that that was their order. Okay?

They passed a moratorium -- well, first, they passed a zoning law in 2006 that said

if you live in St. Bernard Parish and you want to rent your single family home to anyone, they have to be related to you by blood. That was the ordinance, related to you by blood.

2.

I'm telling you, all I had to do in front of a judge down there was put up this slide that said it perpetuates segregation.

Right? Look at this. Look at this. Right?

Now, the next thing that happened, which is the current case that's going on right now -- I don't have enough time to talk about it.

I'll tell you really quickly, because it's a different version of this, but the map is equally powerful.

This is a case in which after we got that ordinance struck down, low-income affordable housing developers, who do terrific projects, fantastic housing, got tax credits to build in St. Bernard, actually right around here, right in this area (indicating). They got low-income tax credits through the LIHTC federal tax credit program.

And this housing was going to be mixed use. Of course, it was going to be affordable to folks in these communities, as well

as folks in St. Bernard. It's critical housing, affordable housing, because this whole parish was flooded. They desperately needed housing.

2.

2.2

2.4

You know what St. Bernard said when they found out it was going to be affordable housing? They said, crime is coming in from New Orleans. The ghetto is moving in. We don't want those people there.

And they furthermore said, by the way, we don't need any housing here. We're just fine.

Well, three times in 2009, we held the parish in contempt. Three times they were held in contempt for violating the previous order in front of Judge Berrigan down there. And then this year again, we finally got the building permits. Investors left when the economy went bad and building started again this year.

We've been back down in

St. Bernard to fight to allow the housing to go

forward. They've been held in contempt twice more
this year. The building is almost done. The

housing will be almost complete November the 1st.

It's fantastic stuff. It's been a three-year battle. But it's these maps that

convinced the judge not only was there intent to discriminate, but the laws had a district impact because the available market pool around here was disproportionately African-American and the effect was going to be disproportionate.

2.

So these maps were extremely powerful to allow our expert to make statistical findings that we wanted to.

The final set of maps I want to talk about is a slightly different problem, which is one where, again, it has a little bit of environmental justice aspect to it as well.

This is a case that my partners -- I haven't been litigating, but my partners in the firm have been litigating.

Next one, if we could.

And this is out in Napa County.

And what we see here is, in the red is where proposed low-income housing is proposed to be sited, here, here and here (indicating).

And this is to show that the placement of this housing by the governmental authorities is in the middle of nowhere, which makes it, you know, impossible for folks to be able to access services.

So what these maps show in this recent trial -- we're still waiting for the verdict from the judge.

Next one, if we could. Next slide.

1

2.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So these are bus stops. And this shows how far folks are from the bus stops. I mean, it's unbelievable.

This shows food access. This is Safeway, Penngrove Market. This is where the shops are. But look at where these sites are. This is unbelievable.

This shows that several of the sites, these are brownfields where they're sited to be at.

Next one, if we could.

They're on floodplains, also, on both sides.

So just to give you an idea, you know, we, as lawyers, can talk. We have to do our openings. We have to do our closings. We have to cross-examine witnesses.

The witnesses that I put on in Columbus, I mean, a couple of the county commissioners were on for over a day and a half of

cross-examination, where we take them document after document to break down their testimony.

But these maps go up and people get it. It's like beautiful. So I love you guys (looking at panelists).

-

(Applause)

_ _

9 ADAM H. CUTLER: Thank you,

10 | everyone.

3

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I want to ask one question to the panel and then quickly see if we have some questions in the crowd.

So we've learned through Jim and Eileen about two different types of screening tools that could be used, you know, to show us where communities need help and need resources. And from John, we've seen the power of maps as they can be used in litigation to prove obvious civil rights law issues.

In the development of the screening tools, are there opportunities, you know, for the screeners, for those who are developing the screen tool and communities who are involved in the ground-truthing process to

interface with litigators, who think about these
things?

JIM SADD: I'll take a stab at that.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Absolutely. We wouldn't have done an environmental justice screening tool had not NEJAC laid that out as a goal that someone should pick up and do. So, you know, we didn't think this up on our own.

And I think that there are many ways in which we can have synergistic interactions that all move toward a common goal.

I'll say another thing about maps, just, you know, because John so eloquently showed how influential they can be.

I think people respond to maps because they automatically give them more imprimatur or they think they're more reliable and more accurate than other things, like texts.

Whenever we read something in a text, we're automatically skeptical, perhaps, but not maps. And a lot of times, maps don't deserve that.

One of my textbooks that students use when they learn about mapping is a book

called, "How to Lie with Maps." It's part of a whole series. "How to Lie with Statistics." And "How to Lie with Calculus."

2.2

While maps are very powerful, they can be used for powerful good and they can be used for propaganda. But, yes, I think there's lots of opportunities. And had we not interfaced with NEJAC and with communities, we would not have embarked on or been successful in developing a screening method.

JOHN RELMAN: Again, I would just second all of that. I mean, I think that, you know, obviously, that's my point, is I think that these maps are incredibly powerful. I think they're really important interconnections and collaboration that can be done between those who do the maps and civil rights litigators.

I mean, our job is to really to, by a preponderance of the evidence, convince the decision-maker that race played a role in a decision or whatever the claim is that we're making.

And the maps create a picture.

It's a picture that people can come to their own conclusion about just by looking at. And if maps

are done effectively, and they do represent the evidence, then they are tremendously effective.

2.

But I agree with Jim. If you use a map, and you have it and it doesn't truly represent what's going on, it will backfire on you. So you have to make sure that when you use it, all of the empirical data that underlies the map, whatever that map shows, whether it's the number of buses, whatever it is, that has to be truly accurate and it does represent what's going on.

Also, I have to say, at the investigation stage of the case, it helps us to see what the truth is, what's truly happened. It makes it very clear to us.

And then we test it out. I'll look at a map and say, does that really represent what's happening? Is there another way this could be depicted that will tell a different story. So we have to look at it from all angles.

add quickly that you'll notice that the government tool that was sort of the wimpy one that didn't work real well, and it did. But, I mean, what can I say?

And thanks to NEJAC for pressing on with this area, because it's really important.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

But it was the community folk that were on the work group that really did press us in terms of, are you sure that this can accurately reflect what we are experiencing in our community. And they're the ones that pointed out, well, you know, this talks a lot about air pollutants, but where I come from, you know, soil contamination is a real problem or groundwater contamination is a real problem.

So, you know, there is that partnership between, you know, the empirical workers, the lawyers, the community people, the public health workers that can get a problem and can look at it from a lot of different angles, that you really start to see something come of it that's very useful.

ADAM H. CUTLER: I'm being pushed to wrap things up. But I do want to open up for just one question from the audience, if there is one.

Ryan, do you want to come up to the mike real quick?

RYAN: I just have a practical

question about getting maps into evidence and using it.

2.

2.2

What kind of fights did you have with that? Was that in pre-litigation? And like can you just walk through the process of using empirical data in the mapping process and how difficult it was to get it into evidence?

JOHN RELMAN: Yeah. No, that's a much longer question. And it can be hard.

Look, but the basic short answer is, you have to be prepared to have your -- that the map has to be what we call a demonstrative exhibit. It has to be -- the map itself is not admitted for the truth. It's admitted to only show what the underlying data, that you otherwise have to get admitted through an expert, would show.

So I have to have both someone to bring in the underlying data, number one.

Then I have to have my expert, my mapper take the stand and explain what the map represents and where the data came from and why it's publically available or otherwise reliable. And then I have to move to have it in.

Now, the only reason that I was

able to use it -- and you're probably picking this up from what I said -- I was able to use that map in the opening. There are some judges that would never have let me use that map in the opening.

Okay?

2.

1.3

and had essentially a session with the judge, a hearing with the judge, demonstrating, making our case as to why we were going to be able to show that, in fact, this map is a proper demonstrative of where those water lines go, in fact, the county was not prepared to say that's not true. I mean, they were stuck. That is where the water lines go. They go under, around, over Coal Run. They do not go into Coal Run, okay? So there wasn't too much they could say. So we were allowed to use it.

But you're right. I think it has a powerful effect. And, therefore, you have to get it admitted.

ADAM H. CUTLER: Well, I do want to wrap things up and try to keep this on schedule.

I want to thank our panel. These are some amazing tools that obviously are still

	Page 261
1	works in progress. But we look forward to using
2	them in the future.
3	
4	(Applause)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
25	

students two years ago. Ted and his colleagues in

audience Ted Oswald, who was one of my clinic

24

25

the clinic that year put this film together, shot it, edited it, submitted it to the EPA's Faces of Grassroots video contest, and were named one of the top ten videos in the country in that category.

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 (Applause)

ADAM H. CUTLER: So without

10 further ado, here's the film.

11 | - - -

(Whereupon, the audience is
screening "Reclaiming Hunting Park.")

14

ADAM H. CUTLER: So now to moderate our fourth panel, I present to you Michael Churchill from the Law Center.

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Okay. Good afternoon. It's really a pleasure to be here.

In 1976, the Law Center held a jobs and the environment conference to talk about how acting on environmental issues would reconcile and would actually promote economic opportunity.

The keynote speaker of that was Congressman Bob Edgar.

In the intervening years, I've watched and learned from one of our nation's most innovative environmental justice advocates, Jerry Balter, about how to safeguard communities from unwanted, harmful polluters, both existing ones and wannabe intruders.

2.

2.4

So it's a pleasure for me to circle back to thinking about the positive side of community economic development and environmental justice. We know in principle that the two can coexist. And we've already heard about a number of interesting examples.

But today we're asking, what does it take for that to happen, aside from the ability to make noise and use lots of people, which is always important? But what tools do we need to assure economic development that produces healthy communities and not the opposite.

Most city officials want developments which produce a stronger tax base or which produce more jobs. But many of those projects have impacts ranging from the subtle to the devastating for current revenues.

So how do we, in fact, bring EJ and EC together so we can get healthier, more

sustainable communities?

2.

2.2

2.4

We have wonderful panelists who can address that issue from a number of vantage points.

I would like to point out that there are no practicing lawyers in the group. Two have never suffered that disability. And two are lax practitioners.

I point this out because the Law Center feels deeply that litigators and clients must understand the best practices for solutions if they are to successfully redress wrongs, or even better, prevent them from occurring.

So we will start with Alan
Greenberger, whose bio is in the materials. But
he's currently Deputy Mayor for Planning and
Economic Development of the city, formerly
Executive Director of the Planning Commission, and
before that, practicing architect and planner.

So, Alan, is helping an environmentally sound development something important from the city's point of view? And if so, how do we get it?

ALAN GREENBERGER: Good afternoon, everybody. Nice to see you. Nice to be here in

the building again. I haven't been in here in a while.

2.2

I wanted to tell you a number of things in answer to Michael's question. So let me -- let me start back and you'll bear with me for giving a little bit of personal history, but I think it's germane to this. I'm not one of the people who came out of the law side, although I probably spend as much of my days now talking to lawyers as I do talk to anybody else.

I'm an architect and I practiced in the city for 34 years, in fact, a lot of it in this neighborhood, not the projects, but the office. Projects that ranged from, for those of you who know it, the Salvation Army's Kroc Community Center. That was my last project in practice before I left practice.

But I want to tell you about the reason I left practice, because I think it's germane to this. I left practice partly because the mayor asked me to, to be the chief planner of the city, and that morphed into being Deputy Mayor for Economic Development. But I did it very much -- certainly because of him, but also because I looked at kind of a sweep of Philadelphia

history. And I think most cities have some kind 1 of similar version of this story, where in kind of multi-generational cycles, 35-, 40-, 50-year 3 cycles, cities go through significant change of 5 point of view and value sets at some level. 6 the last time that happened in Philadelphia was probably post-World War II, early 1950s. A lot of things happened post World War both at the 8 national level, state level, city level. 10 agencies were invented, new ideas about government 11 started happening.

And that's the last time it happened here. And it played out pretty consistently from its base through the 19 -- maybe '60s, early '70s, before that movement started to change somewhat.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And what happens in these movements is, they sort of reach a peak of reform or change and then they kind of plateau and then inevitably it gets a little stranger as it goes along.

And I thought, at the time the mayor asked me to leave practice, and I still think, even though the economy has put a pretty big damper on the ability to do things, that we're

in a 50-year cycle.

2.

2.2

The kinds of things you're talking about today, not that they haven't been talked about before, some of the panelists, I know, have been reckoning with these issues for a long time as probably many of you have, but I think we are reaching a point, despite some of the kind of idealogical kind of contention that we see out there that clearly says there's multiple sides to a lot of issues, I do think we're reaching a point where there's an opportunity to have a significant change in the way we sort of live our lives in this city and probably in the world in general.

I wanted to be part of that, because I thought that, you know, this opportunity is not coming around again in my lifetime.

So that's why I joined the city.

And so here's some of the manifestations of it
that relate directly to Michael's question.

I don't think you can have a healthy city without planning for it. If you just sit back and let stuff happen, some of it will be good, some of it will be neither good nor bad, and some of it will be bad. It's just inevitable.

And without a sense of rules and a sense of sort

of community will, you don't get to where you want to go.

2.2

And I think planning is one of the key ways to get there. And when I talk about planning, I'm not talking about let's figure out what everything should be and then do it.

Particularly, let's think about what everything should be from, you know, a smaller group of professionals over here and then do it over here.

Planning is really an opportunity to organize public will. That's how I think of it. So that's why so much planning today involves intense discussions with communities about what's wanted locally, about what's broader good for neighborhoods and for the city as a whole.

and there's a general agreement between government, the private investment and development sector and neighborhoods, things happen. And they happen much more readily under those circumstances and much more happily than they do under any other set of circumstances.

So while the work that you need to do to get to that point of general organized will is a lot and it takes a lot of time. And that's

why I think it seems to move very slowly. If it's done well, things start to happen.

And, Michael, I apologize, I don't remember how the time sequence of this is working. I could limit my answer to just that, sit down, or how do you go about doing this?

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: No. I'd love to hear how you think it will get done.

ALAN GREENBERGER: Okay. All right. I've got a lot more than that.

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: About ten
minutes.

ALAN GREENBERGER: Okay. Thank
you. My memory is not so good any more. I'm sure
nobody here has that problem.

So what we've done -- and this is the first time we've done this in 15 years in the city, so we're making this up as we go along -- we're doing two major things. We are rewriting our zoning book, the 700 pages that exist now with a hundred different overlays, complexity, hard to read, obsolete references to business types and uses that don't even generally exist in the city anymore. That's all getting cleaned up. It's been a four-year effort.

The citizens of the city voted to create a zoning code commission that consists of 31 members that are drawn from all over the city. Every council member has an appointment. The mayor has five appointments. All the chambers of commerce, with the big one, and the various active chambers of commerce are represented. Laborers are represented. There are a couple of developers on it.

2.

2.2

That group has worked tirelessly for four years now with a consultant to rewrite this zoning code and try to make it not just relevant to today, but also to some reasonable projected future. We're sort of thinking in generation terms before, hopefully, it has to be revisited. Although it was suggested that it be at least revisited every five years to sort of adjust, because it won't be perfect. And we're closing in on the end of that cycle, which is the rewriting of the rule book.

That draft rule book is in front of council. Hearings at council were closed last week. Now, a kind of the time meter is clicking. And our goal is to get this in front of council with a final draft in November for consideration,

and we hope approval in December, before this council session closes.

2.

It's unbelievably tedious, full of obscure rules that turned out to mean something in terms of how we live our lives. And it gets passions going, so we know that. But it's just your head spins when you get into what's now the 438 pages of intense detail.

But it's trying to map out the rules, the categories, the procedures. For example, we spent an enormous amount of time debating in public sessions like these all over the city different processes to get community input on major projects, because we felt, and still feel, that major projects had major impacts, generally out of proportion due simply to what they are in size. And it took an intense set of ideas, negotiation, vetting back and forth to get to a place where we thought we were doing the right thing by community input, but we also felt we were doing the right thing by creating more predictability for development, which is a huge problem in the city.

At the same time that we're doing

that, we're creating what's called Philadelphia 2035, which is a new comprehensive plan for the city, also not being done in 50 years.

1.3

2.2

And the structure of it is this.

The structure of it is important. There's the first year where we looked at the city as a whole, and, again, in sessions just like this, sometimes with this many people, held in different parts of the city over several years. We tried to ascertain what are the big moves that are going to be transforming to the city. What parts of the city not properly served by mass transit. Which parts need substantial redevelopment, particularly in parts where there's post industrial land that's not doing what it should be doing, basically sitting vacant.

So we did that for this first year. But the real work over the next four years is to do what we refer to as district plans.

We've divided the city up into 18 different districts. They kind of represent consolidations of neighborhoods at a time, sort of three to four neighborhoods at a time, that we think represent how Philadelphians think about where they live and what they kind of relate to as, well, this is my

area and that's another area over there.

2.

2.2

And we've started the first two of these. Our plan is to do two of these every -no, four of these every year. We'll see if we can keep the pace up. It involves intense community participation, trying to ascertain what's stable and working should be left alone, what's in need of change in land use, where the problems, where the uses that are congested together that shouldn't be together, and where are the ones that are missing, what things should be together that aren't now together.

And we expect that it's going to lead to a series of land use ideas that, again, are hopefully based on a kind of confluence of public will, government interest and development interest, so that people feel comfortable with where these things are going. And then once done, apply the new rule book to projected land uses.

And it's a very -- I'll even go so far as to say tedious, although occasionally thrilling process, that we think will merge the kind of interest that you have here with the need for the city to grow and be economically healthy.

I think I'm going to leave it at

1 that.

2 | MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Okay. We'll

come back with questions for you.

4 ALAN GREENBERGER: Yes, please.

5

3

(Applause)

7

14

19

24

6

- - -

8 MICHAEL CHURCHILL: You've all

9 heard our next speaker, Vernice Miller-Travis, at
10 lunch. She really is extraordinary. She is going

11 to speak about what communities do when developers

or governmental agencies discover that land that

is near them is very valuable and want to do

something that's not included in the zoning or may

be included in the zoning but at a whole different

16 scale.

17 | VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you.

18 | I'm going to stay here. And I am going to talk a

little bit more -- (mike interference) -- maybe

20 | I'm going to talk a little bit more. And I'll

21 talk a little bit more about the East Baltimore

22 development initiative, which I mentioned as one

23 of the case studies in my previous comments, and

make some connections between development and land

25 use and community health and environmental justice

and how those things are interwoven together.

2.

1.3

2.2

So the first thing I want to observe is the clear connection between the previous panel and this panel. And I want to say to Adam and to the conference planners that to me, it feels like a seamless integration of the two conversations because the two things are completely related.

You know, in order to get a picture of development, development prospects, what are all of the interconnections between land use and development, you really need to map what the state of play is presently and then you to ask a very fundamental question.

And if my colleague, Peggy
Shepard, was here and on this panel, Peggy would
ask this question, as she has asked so many times
relative to the expansion of Columbia University
into West Harlem, and that is: For whom is the
development undertaken? Right? That's a really
fundamental question. People get really confused
about that.

Just because a land use plan or a redevelopment plan is happening near where you live, you assume that it has something to do with

you. And usually it doesn't have anything to do with you. In fact, it is designed to make sure that you go someplace else.

2.

1.3

So the question about for whom is development undertaken is a really fundamental question. And I think if you can get everybody in the room, local governments, you know, developers, the real estate community, the finance community, of course community residents and other actors, and you ask that question and put it on the table at the beginning of the process, for whom is the development undertaken, and have a real mash-up, a good productive one, about that question, everybody would walk out of that room with a much clearer understanding of what's at stake.

Because communities, and particularly environmental justice communities, often find themselves fighting a battle 20 years after the battle was lost. Right? And that's the land use and development process. And we learned that the hard way in West Harlem. And now that I know it, I try to teach it to every community I come in contact with around the country.

We learned from the previous panel that the fact that indeed racial segregations, in

it's postal zoning, are still alive and well in communities across the United States of America. These factors continue to drive proliferation of

instances of environmental injustice.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

And so when you looked at the maps about infrastructure, or lack thereof, those are fundamental environment justice issues. And I just want you to know that those maps are demonstrative of thousands of communities across the United States that still do not have basic fundamental access to safe drinking water and sanitary sewage systems.

And I know it sounds so preposterous, sitting in the City of Philadelphia, how could that be in 2011? But it is. And it's pretty extraordinary. The more rural your community, the less likely you are to have that infrastructure.

So I want to take you through a few things that happened relative to Baltimore City. And then I want to end up with some of the lessons we learned and have learned and extrapolate it from the East Baltimore development at issue.

So how many of you people know

that in 1893, there was a massive cholera epidemic
in Baltimore City?

2.2

(Members of audience raise hands.)

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Oh, wow.

I didn't know this, so you're really one of the informed, because I didn't know this.

I mentioned earlier that in 1917,
Baltimore City promulgated the first racial-based
local zoning ordinance that, in fact, went all the
way to the Supreme Court.

The City of Euclid -- Euclid v. somebody, I should always know this site, because it's such a fundamental case in land use zoning law. And that local ordinance was followed by 50 years of legally sanctioned residential segregation and expulsive zoning in the City of Baltimore that then met up with the passage of the 1968 Fair Housing Act. That was then followed by 45 years of informal residential segregation and expulsive zoning.

And then in 1998, a really interesting thing happened. The National Science Foundation began to fund a long-term longitudinal study, called the Baltimore Ecosystem Study, which is still ongoing.

Again, I just learned about this for the first time last year. I don't live in Baltimore, but I live near Baltimore and I do a lot of work in Baltimore. And I would venture to say that most of the residents in Baltimore have no idea that National Science Foundation has poured millions of dollars in this massive Baltimore Ecosystem Study.

2.

2.2

2.4

And the Baltimore Ecosystem Study is still ongoing and has a tremendous amount of support from the Forest Service and from a number of other federal entities. And it is really one of the most extraordinary pieces of research I have ever seen about anyplace in any city in the United States of America. And they are really mapping every indice in the City of Baltimore.

And these are some of the lessons that they've come to. That the declining health status of poor and people of color in Baltimore City can be mapped charting three things:

Residential segregation, expulsive zoning and the decline of natural resources in the City of Baltimore.

One of the real key indices is loss of tree canopy in the City of Baltimore.

Hence, the role of the Forest Service. The decline in the overall quality of water in the Chesapeake Bay and all of the tributary rivers that run through and around Baltimore that enter into the Chesapeake Bay. And so many other indices.

2.

2.2

But when you map those things, and when you map them over time, it takes you on a straight line trajectory to where Baltimore is today and to the just massively poor health indicators.

Baltimore has the highest level of lead poisoning and cases of elevated blood lead level in the State of Maryland. They have the highest levels of asthma and incidents of asthma and asthma hospitalization. They also have the highest levels of premature death from asthma from every age group in the State of Maryland.

They do not have the highest rate of home foreclosure. That goes to the county that I live, Prince Georges County. But they have really dilapidated housing stock. They have really old housing stock. Most of the houses are built before 1978, so many of them have lead-based paint, and on and on and on.

Baltimore is the epicenter of a lot of really bad things. Hence, why they get studied so much.

2.

1.3

2.2

But there's this connection

between the loss of natural resources and the need

to restore those natural resources in order to

restore the overall health and quality of life of

the people, the residents are the people, of

Baltimore, particularly low income and communities

of color and immigrant communities.

I think it's a fairly fascinating connection. And I don't think there's any other study like this going on in the United States.

And the National Science Foundation has spent millions of dollars supporting this research. It would be nice if the people in Baltimore City were involved with that, but that's another presentation for another time.

Let's move over to the East

Baltimore revitalization initiative, which I

mentioned to you earlier. It was meant to put

forward a new model of redevelopment in Baltimore,

responsible development, which led to this

responsible demolition protocol, and driven and

integrated entirely by the people who live in the

community that were most affected by this massive redevelopment, the residents of East Baltimore.

2.2

So here were the things that they were trying to do: To involve residents in a consequential way in planning, design and implementation.

To offer intensive family advocacy and support to families forced to relocate.

To provide more equitable compensation than has been typical in redevelopment projects to families that relocate.

To ensure that relocated residents have the right and ability to return to the revitalized community, first right of return they have.

To provide training and job radius for community residents, to help them secure jobs created by the redevelopment project.

To increase opportunities for local minority- and women-owned businesses to obtain project contracts.

To use strict safety protocols to minimize the health hazards for residents of neighborhoods affected by demolition activity.

So I should tell you that the

parcel that's being redeveloped is 88 acres, that the overall project is \$22 billion when fully built out. That there are 518 row houses that are being demolished in order for -- that have already been demolished in the summer of 2005, the summer of 2006. And that demolition safety has become a key element of the revitalization agenda in East Baltimore.

2.

2.2

2.4

So here's something that goes on in Baltimore that I found really extraordinary. And, you know, Baltimore has its own unique things, very different in many ways from New York City. And they have a phenomena in Baltimore that I can only describe as drive-by demolition. You go to sleep. You wake up. The house that was on the corner is not there anymore. Nobody told you they were taking the house down. Nobody tinted it. Nobody let you know. And then the houses on either side of that house are now caving in because they were being held up and their foundation were being supported by the house that used to be there.

You don't necessarily have to be a licensed contractor to do demolition. You don't have to do tinting or any protocols to keep the

dust from walking through your neighbors and onto other people's property. And it is just the most extraordinary thing I've ever seen.

2.

And, literally, my husband had lots of doctors. And his primary care physician was based in Baltimore, though we live about 35 miles south of Baltimore. And so we were up there a lot. And I would notice that we'd go up there, we'd go to the doctor's office, and I'd look and I'd say, I know there was a building when we were there, you know, four months ago. What happened to that building? And my husband thought I was crazy.

But then as I got into this process, I'm like, they really do take buildings down in the dead of the night. And it's really extraordinary.

So that was one of the reasons why they needed to develop this demolition protocol not just because of the possibility for elevated lead dust levels, but to really set a floor and a bottom of the practice for the City of Baltimore to have an ordinance that would stop this drive-by demolition practice.

So they needed to develop these

demolition protocols. And these are some of the things that they set out to do. And today it is, again, the City of Baltimore, the Annie Casey Foundation, the Johns Hopkins University and the East Baltimore Development Corporation.

2.

2.2

So EBDI, the East Baltimore

Development Initiative, convened focus groups and held community hearings during which residents and advocates could voice their concerns and suggest how to handle demolition, much as they had done when the housing relocation plan was being developed.

That East Baltimore Development

Initiative asked the coalition to end childhood

lead poisoning, to take a lead role to formulating

demolition plan protocols.

In January of 2005, the Casey Foundation provided grants to the coalition to intensify its work on the demolition protocols.

The coalition conducted field tests to determine the merits of deconstructing homes piece by piece rather than leveling them.

It was found that that was going to be way too expensive, but they sort of split the difference in the protocol that was developed.

With input from neighborhood residents and outside experts, the coalition and EBDI staff worked in 2004 and 2005 to refine the demolition plan and protocols, a process that included community presentations.

2.

2.2

2.5

In the spring of 2005, the initial version of the demolition protocols was completed.

The project leaders convened an independent panel of outside experts to assess the demolition protocol in conjunction and consultation with community residents and advocate for needed changes and reviewed test results measuring the amount of lead released into the neighborhood during demolition. And I was one of the four people who served on this independent panel.

And, finally, in response to the continuing concern of community members and their advocates, project leaders revised the demolition schedule. Under the revised plan, the Community Development Corporation agreed to postpone almost all of the demolition until all residents living in the project area had been relocated, a significant delay to the original demolition schedule.

And I want to say that around the edges of that 88-acre parcel were people still living at home. So you didn't tear down the whole neighborhood. You just tore down the middle of the neighborhood. So there was a need to balance the protection of the health of the people who were remaining in their homes and businesses.

How much time do I have? Am I up?

9 Yes?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: You can take a minute more.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Two minutes, okay, good. New York time going here.

So and this is what we did and worked with the folks doing the demolition. These were the practices that we had to have put in place to protect the community:

Adequate use of fencing, barriers and other means to limit casual entry to demolition sites until demolition is complete and all debris is removed.

Widespread notification to residents, community organizations, faith-based organizations and city agencies about when and where demolition would be happening, along with

1 | highly visible signage on houses to be demolished.

Train the community block monitors to observe the demolition process and assist

4 residents with questions and home safety measures.

Four days of training on lead safety and related issues for demolition supervisors and two days of training for all other workers.

Removal and safe disposal of building components containing high amounts of lead before demolishing buildings that were structurally sound, mostly the windows and the doors.

Removal and safe disposal of building components containing high amounts of lead before demolishing buildings that were structurally sound, using ample amounts of water throughout the process to reduce the spread of dust.

Careful demolition using the picker method instead of the more traditional wrecking ball, bulldozing or implosion methods.

And high fences to control the spread of dust.

Capping procedures for removing

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

from demolished buildings, including using hoses
to suppress dust and plastic coverings on the
trucks.

Post-demolition street and sidewalk cleaning and debris removal.

2.2

2.4

Removing two inches of topsoil on all properties where demolition had occurred and replacing with new sod.

Providing community residents with high efficiency particulate air vacuums, HEPA vacuums, attacking that.

Remove dust from shoes as individuals enter their homes.

An independent testing of the streets and sidewalks surrounding demolished properties to measure the impact of demolition and debris removal.

Additionally, we had air monitors stationed all around the property and placed in some vacant homes, so that we could really test what the air quality was. And we independently evaluated that and worked with the community to walk them through that process.

So it was a pretty extensive process. You would think that everything that I

just went through would just explode the cost of demolition and deconstruction. It added 25 percent to the total cost of demolition and strung out the process for about six months.

Thank you.

2.2

(Applause)

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: The full picture, and you really should read it, about this Baltimore project is in the materials here. It really defines the way that redevelopment processes should work based on everything we learned from the horrors of the mistakes that we made in the '60s and the '70s.

But I want to make one last point.

It makes a bottom-line difference. What you

weren't told was that instead of -- there's a

before and after test with these monitors. And

let me point out, also, that it is really

important for the community to know that there are

independent persons monitoring what the results

are with, if I understood it right, the power to

stop the practices if they weren't going according

to the way they were supposed to.

And that replaces community fears that government would be bought off, with a neutral independent evaluator.

2.

2.2

And if I may credit Jerry again, that was one of the concepts that he constantly preached in the '90s on his work with communities.

The result was, instead of the 40 times normal amounts of lead that usually comes from the demolition process in Baltimore, it only went up .3 percent, .30 percent, above the normal instead of 400.

Is that correct?

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you for mentioning that.

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Yes, it's really important when you get results from this.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: That is the point, to really reduce the burden on communities. And that is the overall point of my role in Chester. We want to reduce people's environmental burden. Right? We don't want it neutralized. We don't want to spread it around to other communities so people are equally poisoned. We want to reduce the overall burden of pollution on communities and improve community health.

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Now we're going to turn a little bit.

2.2

Melissa Kim is a former lawyer, now working as director of the Korean Community Development Services Center's North 5th Street revitalization project. I hope I got that right.

And Ms. Kim is on the front line of the battle of one community to upgrade its infrastructure and community capacity to bring new businesses and jobs in the way that the community considers is sustainable and environmentally sound.

So the question is, do you have the tools you need? Tell us what you see from the front line in Philadelphia.

MELISSA KIM: Hi, everyone.

Before I give you all the tools, I just wanted to spend a minute to talk about why I left practice. It's not that the mayor called me.

But what I saw, like Alan, was that there were a lot of exciting things going on in Philadelphia. And he spoke about cycles.

One cycle that is a relatively new phenomenon that is probably unchartered to this extent or to the degree that it is now, is that of

1 | immigration.

2.

2.4

And Philadelphia, as you know from the 2010 census, was bumped back up to the fifth largest city in the nation. And that's largely because of Latinos and immigrants.

And so in Philadelphia, the immigrant movement is something that radiates some particular insight. And one of the areas in which that is happening is in our commercial corridors.

So I wanted to be a part of this exciting movement of all the things happening in Philadelphia. And I've always wanted to be an urban planner. And I finally left law to do so when I heard about all of the wonderful planning initiative happening in Philadelphia.

And so after studying planning for a while, I decided to work on this commercial corridor, for which I actually have a couple of slides that I brought with me.

And it's that. And you can just let it cycle. It just has to cycle. And I'm just going to let it cycle through and just consider it like slow science TV.

The first slide that you saw was a map of Philadelphia. And it actually is

geographically accurate. So it hasn't been manipulated to prove a particular point, other than that our corridor is very small. It is one tiny sliver, about 1.5 miles long and two blocks wide, out of about 265 corridors in the City of Philadelphia.

2.

So what I thought I would do was give you a little bit of perspective about what we've been doing with the tools that we have, talking about how a community-based organization, with some limited resources, can tackle some of the challenges that we are dealing with on this northern corridor.

But, first, I also wanted to provide a little background for those of you who are not engaged in the practice of urban planning or commercial corridor development, explain a little bit about why corridor development at all.

And it's something that's a fairly new concept. Because back in the old days, everyone had a High Street or a Main Street where they could go shopping and that was the center of your community. But as we all know, with the advent of automobiles and with the advent of big-box retail, commercial corridors are beginning

to decline.

1

2.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

And so now we have these corridors that are just shattered from what they used to be in one sense. And on the other hand, you have this amazing opportunity, when an immigrant community or immigrant populations come in, they often are the forces of revitalization. And so that's what's happening in a lot of the corridors in Philadelphia.

So corridors are important in another sense, because they are the barometers of the economic confidence in a particular community. And so if you have a healthy corridor, there's an image that the neighborhood itself is healthy. So we have this -- it's all part -- a corridor, although it's just a sliver of a larger neighborhood, it's the backbone of it in many ways.

It also provides opportunities for entrepreneurs. And it also provides jobs. And it also provides important goods and services to the nearby community.

Then from the perspective of scale and function, the corridor is important because it mediates between the individual merchants or the

community that is at the street level and the city. I mean, the city has an enormous amount of responsibility. They can't possibly service every single merchant or address every neighborhood's needs.

2.

1.3

2.2

And so it's the role of the commercial corridor, it's the district which is what Jane Jacobs considers one of the most ideal organs of self-government. The district is just the right size. It's not too big. It's not too small. And they can transmit data from the ground level back up to City Hall to inform them of their policies. And it can also serve as a vehicle to bring city services into the neighborhood district.

So that's kind of the background of what we try to do.

Now, in talking more specifically about my street, the photos that are cycling through are different images of 5th Street. And as you can see -- I mean, they're in no particular order -- but 5th Street is a very wide street. It actually functions as a highway. Many people who live just above Philadelphia will often drive down 5th Street to access Roosevelt Boulevard. That's

1 | Roosevelt Boulevard (indicating).

2.

2.2

And you'll see that it's fairly densely populated with commercial stores, commercial properties. At the same time, it is also fairly residential. You know, I mean, I could be wrong about this, but I really don't know of any purely commercial districts. It's all mixed.

And so the residential population of the neighborhood is about 24,000. And that's counting the four census tracts, from Roosevelt Boulevard, which is the 4800 block of 5th Street, up to the 6100 block of 5th Street, which is Spencer.

So of the 24,000, 50 percent are black or African-American -- I'm sorry, or African. They don't make that distinction in the census. It's actually an important distinction in my neighborhood, because we have so many African immigrants. And 25 percent are of Hispanic.

13 percent are Asian. And 12 percent are white.

20 percent are foreign-born, which is a fairly high population. And 18 percent of the population over five years old speaks English less than very well. So you can see it's a very diverse

community that's not what you would think of as a typical neighborhood. And one third is at the poverty level.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

At the same time, it's a fairly stable neighborhood. It's kind of in between. It's not what would be considered a neighborhood that's in a state of emergency, because it does have stable home ownership rates of 65 percent, thereabouts. And it's not terribly blight. It has about an 88 percent occupancy rate of all the properties. But the properties that are there are an eyesore.

So the corridor has about 325 businesses, most of which are immigrant-owned. And the businesses are mixed. Some of them cater to different immigrant groups. So you'll have African beauty salons and you'll have that next to a Vietnamese bakery. You'll have a Jamaican restaurant. You'll have a Haitian restaurant. You'll have a Korean barber shop.

So it's actually very interesting.

And we see a lot of different just interesting
juxtapositions that you wouldn't see on many other
corridors.

So with that background, you can

imagine that there are several challenges to economic development.

2.

2.2

have -- it is perceived -- if you see some of the photos, you'll see that a lot of the buildings are in need of maintenance. You'll see that sometimes there's quite a bit of trash on the street. There's graffiti. There's an absolute lack of decent streetlighting. There's a perception and actual reality of crime. And so these are the challenges that a lot of corridors face.

One is that the corridor can

In addition, we have merchants who lack in basic linguistic areas. They don't have the skills to -- or the cultural skills, too -- the linguistic and cultural skills to go to City Hall and file a license for whatever permits they need. They don't have the skills to go before the ZBA, the zoning board of adjustment, to appeal their case. They may or may not know how to create a business plan. And if there are city services, they might have difficulty accessing them.

And so these are the particular challenges to our corridor. And we try to address them through a semi-standard corridor management

1 program.

2.

2.2

2.4

There are actually several different groups out there that are working on commercial corridors. And they have a suggested program. And it goes something like this:

Streetscape improvements, marketing retail attraction, crime and public safety, and there's a fourth one which is escaping me. I think economic structure, restructuring. And I think that's the same as retail attraction. You try to attract certain anchor stores and develop certain niche markets.

And so that's the standard program. But we chose to deviate from that because it doesn't really address the needs that we have at this particular time and it doesn't address our particular strengths.

Our strengths lie in the fact that we have good public transit, a high percentage of youth in our neighborhood and a local population that can support -- a density that can support a sufficient level of economic development to have stores be prosperous.

We have an interesting mix of niche stores. And people will travel miles and

1 | miles to come eat at a particular restaurant or to

- 2 get their hair done at this particular operating
- 3 | salon. And so that kind of defies the general
- 4 | theories that are out there relating to economic
- 5 development because they will tell you that you
- 6 | need a certain retail mix, you need a certain type
- 7 of anchor store. And that really just hasn't been
- 8 | the case in our corridor.
- 9 MICHAEL CHURCHILL: If you could
- 10 | finish in one more minute.
- 11 | MELISSA KIM: Okay, sure, in one
- 12 minute.
- I just wanted to give you some
- 14 | attributes. So what the attributes are, are on
- 15 | the slideshow. And basically it's basically
- 16 | funding needs.
- So we have engaged the tools that
- 18 | look at -- that ask people, what are their good
- 19 ideas on 5th Street? And we put a sign up in
- 20 three different languages. And we kept it simple.
- 21 | And we let people write in their native language.
- 22 And we found that this is a way to start
- 23 conversation going between people, and to do it on
- 24 the street. Give them goofy glasses. Make it
- 25 | fun. And get people to start a dialogue.

And so what we found was lacking 1 2. in our corridor is social capital. And so we created -- so we diverged from the standard 3 corridor management program and we created a 4 5 program called the Olney Community Collaborative. And, basically, the idea is a 6 7 series of small-scale micro interactions that are meaningful and create this micro public where 8 9 people would interact and develop into 10 relationships. 11 And so that project that you saw 12 there about what's your good idea, is just one of 1.3 the projects that we have. We often have yoga 14 classes, Korean culture night, food night. We've 15 had educational workshops, community cleanups. 16 And those things sound simple. 17 But they really do go a long way and they form the fundamental -- the basis for the large-scale 18 projects we might want to have in the future. 19 20 That's where we are. 21 MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Thank you so 2.2 much. 23 2.4 (Applause) 25

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: It's a pleasure for me to be able to introduce Karen Black, because she is, along with Shelly Yanoff, my favorite lax lawyer.

2.2

They both share the ability to find exclusions by looking at the details of what is actually happening and finding commonalities that people can agree upon to work together about.

And then they're able to use that law degree as a powerful fulcrum to leverage positive change that radiates out in ways of increasing amplitude.

Karen brings to her policy work an advocate's passion for ensuring healthy livable communities for all and an ability to get others to work with her on that goal.

Karen.

KAREN BLACK: Wow, thank you.

Hi, everybody. It has been an amazing day. I was here for most of it. I had to go over to city council for a little while. This was more interesting, let me tell you.

And I am the other lax attorney, though I like recovering attorney a little better as a phrase. And I worked for 12 years in civil

rights law, ten of which was with the Public
Interest Law Center. So this is really wonderful
to be here.

1.3

2.2

When I left, I decided to go into policy work. And most of my practice, as a consultant and heading up the policy center before that, is about the equitable revitalization of distressed communities.

The equitable revitalization of distressed communities, there are many people in the room who want to revitalize distressed communities, in any room you go into, certainly in city council, but we have to do it equitably and hopefully effectively and efficiently. And sometimes those things clash.

I want to just talk to you for a second like I speak to decision-makers, because much of my job is to try to whisper in Alan's ear, or someone like him. And he'll tell you that sometimes I whisper and sometimes I shout.

And usually I have a lot of people around me who are doing most of the talking about why they should care about an issue and then breaking down what they can do about it. Because just talking about it, I think everyone in this

room and everyone in the city cares about the
environment. And I don't just say that. I know
it. I know it because we have polled
Philadelphians.

2.2

A group, Next Great City, that I work with, we pulled together, first in 2006, when Mayor Nutter was a candidate, and then in 2010, when the economy tanked, and people started telling us that people didn't really still care about the environment. It's not where they wanted to put their money. They were wrong.

So let's just talk about some of the 2010 figures.

Philadelphia residents, 44 percent think the laws and regulations to protect their air, water and land in Philadelphia are not strict enough. Eighty-eight percent want the city to do more to protect their air, water and land. This is November and December of 2010. Right? The economy was in the dumps. No one had public funding.

Thirty-one percent said that if you, in fact, reduce air and water pollution, increase energy efficiency and start maintaining vacant land and parks, it would have a

transformative effect on their neighborhood and
their quality of life.

1.3

2.2

Eighty-one percent said it would make a huge difference. Right?

So people care. And what they see as their environment, which we found out in 2006, which was a shock to an awful lot of environmentalists in the room, was that what they see as their environment is what they see, hear, feel and smell when they walk out their door. It's the vacant lot across the street. It's the smell from the polluting refinery that may be three miles away, but they're smelling it. So it's their environment. It's their neighborhood. And I think that's really the crux of what we've been talking about today.

So when you talk about it in the abstract, everyone believes they deserve clean air, clean water, a safe, sustainable environment. That's the easy part.

The hard part is, how do we do that? How do we give that to folks?

And people in every city, in every government need to place uses. They have to find a place. And if you're going to find something,

if you're going to try to create a place for something that no one wants, where do you go?

Where there's the least power and the least resistance.

2.2

When my first child was in preschool, I sent him to camp. And I noticed the first week that they took the little ones to the pool, as soon as they got there, and they screamed bloody murder. It was cold. You know, it was early in the morning. They didn't have a transition.

And so I went to the head of the camp and I said, you know, what's going on here?

And she said, ugh, when I put the older kids in that pool, they came to my office and complained.

And I said, could you come with me over to the preschool area, because they're crying, and that's complaining, too.

And she said, I haven't heard a thing. Right?

And that is what we're talking about in this room. Right? It's about real people really crying, but do they have the ear of someone who can change things and trying to give

1 | them that power.

2.

2.2

2.5

So let me just talk very quickly, because I really want to hear what you have to say. And so many of our panels kind of filled the time with really exciting stuff, but I'd like to have a discussion here.

It is my belief that every government can and should make a commitment to enhance the quality of life of people in neighborhoods undergoing new physical development of any kind. That should just be a statement that we want growth, we want investment, but that we should do whatever we can to enhance the quality of life of those existing residents in those neighborhoods.

We should make facts readily available to the public. Too often you try to go below the radar on this, right, the drive-by demolition, because you don't want trouble. But the problem is, people don't realize they have a problem until it's too late.

I went to a meeting the other day.

They were putting in public sewers in an area.

And there was a public official, very

authoritative out there.

And someone said, well, is this going to smell?

2.2

And he said, there is no smell.

And then someone -- the next person said, look, I'm an engineer, and you have to vent it somewhere.

And he said, when we vent it, we only have small bubbles of air, and so the smell is very small.

And then the next person said, well, I happen to know someone who had this done in her neighborhood and the smell was so bad that she had to put a charcoal filter in.

And he said, yeah, we put the charcoal filter in and now she's complaining because the noise from the filter is too loud.

And I'm thinking, in a matter of a minute, we've gone from there's no problem, you're paranoid, get over to it, to there's a problem, and you know what, it's not only going to smell, but you're going to hear it.

And in my mind, with my background, I thought, oh, there's a lot of research to be done here. And I'm going back to all of those people to find out. Right?

But there's a lot of people who
then walk away and go, what was the answer? And
they go on with their life until they're stuck
with a problem. So making those facts available.

2.2

Use technology to lower those negative impacts. We really have tremendous technology. So if there is a charcoal filter, put it in every one.

And you know what? If she can hear it 90 feet from her home, in her home, there's better technology. Right?

When you talk about noxious uses,
I've been lucky enough to work with Alan on some
zoning reform issues and trying to get this zoning
code passed, and one of the council people in town
said, a new school is a noxious use.

So I was with a group of people.

And I said, why is a school a noxious use?

And they said, oh, because the buses line up every day. The kids are screaming.

I thought, that's valid. I can understand that. If the buses are loud, if they're keeping their engines on, if there is diesel exhaust. What about clean buses, clean technology buses? That would make a difference.

What about if they turn the bus off? What about, you know, all those things that you could do? And so people can welcome that use, right, because that's so easy. So much of this is easy. I'm not saying all of it. A lot of it is tough.

2.

2.2

Sharing the pain. A lot of talk today about clusters. Right? Once you have one negative use, noxious use, however we define it, then you don't want to place the next one there. Right?

We have to share the pain. We have to space it out. We have to have equitable distribution.

And there are communities who put that in their zoning codes and who put that in their policies. And it's really important.

Alan said that zoning is boring.

And for the first time, I'm going to disagree with him. Zoning is exciting. And it's exciting because it allows a community to state its values in policy. It allows it to decide what it wants to be when it grows up, what kind of growth it wants to see, and it becomes the law. It becomes the policy. And anyone who wants to do anything else in that community has to demonstrate why they

should be allowed to.

2.

1.3

2.2

And so that's very important, putting those values into policy. You can't do it without good planning, which Alan is doing, and you can't do it without a good zoning code. And then share the assets. Share the improvements.

In Philadelphia, there's a new really exciting effort, Green City, Clean Waters, which I'm sure Alan could talk about for ages, but it basically is talking about taking a problem, stormwater, and creating a solution that has real benefits on the ground, creating new green spaces, open spaces, new assets to trap that stormwater. And to do it, you need to clean up vacant lots. You need to make buildings greener.

You need to improve. Put the rain gardens in medians and by streets and those things on commercial corridors.

I'm sure Melissa will say, that's great. Let have some more trees for a canopy or let's have some more rain gardens.

So placing those things, that investment, making sure that investment goes to those distressed areas is really important as well.

So you're investing to create new assets. Because, really, that is the height of public policy, when you can take a challenge or a liability and turn it into an asset.

1.3

2.2

And stormwater has been a liability for this city. But if we can make it into an asset, if we can take \$2 billion, that's what the city is planning on doing, and create new green, clean green safe spaces across the city in these distressed neighborhoods, that would help turn things around.

So right from the start, there's got to be a commitment to enhance quality of life. To say there are negative impacts in new growth, we know that. Let's limit those.

To balance the interests of the individual neighborhoods and the community as a whole. To make facts readily available. To plan the strong community engagement. And to increase civic capacity when it's necessary to truly engage that community. Because sometimes it doesn't exist. To use improved technology. To make sure you are spacing things so that you aren't clustering a problem, exacerbating, in fact, layering on negative impacts. And then when you

do have a chance, to provide new improvements and new benefits, make sure those distressed neighborhoods see it.

Thank you very much.

5

_ _ _

6

1

3

4

(Applause)

7

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- - -

8 MICHAEL CHURCHILL: I love what
9 Karen said about zoning, that it's an expression
10 of our values.

And what I think has been exciting about this conference is, it again will allow us to see the connection between good health and zoning and environmental justice.

And one of the things we need to ask is, does our new zoning code begin to make connection between permitting of polluting uses, where they can go, health standards, environmental justice points.

We need to make sure that they're not in separate silos, that somehow or other, as was suggested earlier, while the permitting process is going to be different from this process of deciding where we have bad uses, you can't do that. It's time to bring the codes and the zoning

process and the permitting process and the health analysis process together. That's the message that I have heard from today's session.

Now, we have time, I think, for some questions from the audience for this wonderful panel.

Who else would like to make a comment or a question?

Yes, ma'am.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Question.

I know that there are federal and state offices

12 for EJ concerns.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But I wanted to know, is there a place of resource at the city level for environmental justice issues or concern from the EJ community?

ALAN GREENBERGER: I'm not aware of an office that's specifically that. But I will tell you is that my office will be that. And I'll be happy to sit back and listen to you.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Hi. I have a question about, there are many ways in which the zoning code could actually help promote public health in this city. For example, you know, if we required sidewalks, it would encourage

walking and it would actually make it more of a livable city, I think. And there are lots of other examples.

2.

2.2

But I wonder, to what extent did you put public health people on the zoning commission? And, second, what are you doing about trying to promote the public health issue in the zoning code?

ALAN GREENBERGER: We had the benefit of receiving, through the health department, a pretty substantial grant from the -- I guess it was the National Institutes of Health.

And one of the things that that grant enabled us to do was to bring on a planner, who's name is Clint Randall, who has been working with us now for the last year and a half. And his specific job was to be the bridge between community health and urban planning and then ultimately into the zoning code.

So through Clint, there's been, first, a lot of mapping that's gone on. I don't know all the details of everything he's looked at. But, for instance, I know he's mapped the entirety of the city related to access to fresh food.

And so while right now we're

writing a rule book that's simply establishing categories and so on. The district planning that we're doing has a very clear relationship to community health issues in terms of transit, in terms of fresh food, and the kinds of things that you were discussing. And if you ask me more details, I'll be at a bit of a loss. But that's his job. And he's been really effective at bringing a lot of things to the fold, some of which are these kind of planning issues and other ones that fall more into the realm of purely health, like, for instance, the sale of cigarettes particularly to minors.

2.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: But it sounds like an afterthought rather than something that you proactively thought about in creating the planning commission, which is my objection.

VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: So I want to say that I'm really, really loving you. And you need to get a coterie of people who do what you do, and I love what you're doing, or maybe through some of the national associations to really inspire them to want to be proactive about using the land use and zoning process to do good and create benefit.

But that is just not the way it's happening around the country. And I'm sad to -- I'm sad to report that he's so enlightening and Mayor Nutter must obviously be on the same page that he's on, which is why he asked him to leave his architectural practice and come and do this work.

2.

2.2

But this is not the way it's working across the country. And it's especially not the way it's working for most communities of color.

Yes, people recognize that there is a direct and inverse relationship between land use and health, land use and siting and diminution of health, premature morbidity. All of these things that we've laid out, people know it.

But I just want to report to you that when race and class are on the table, people tend to get really confused about what's in everyone's best interest. And it gets really hard for people to determine collective benefit and collective best use.

If the historical practice has been to keep putting all the things that nobody wants to live next to in the same geographic

1 location, the monster becomes, from generation

2 after generation, well, it's already there, so why

3 | would we degrade some other communities when we

4 | already have a place where all this stuff is.

Let's just keep putting it there.

6 That is the dominant practice.

7 And I'm so sorry to report it, sort of bring you

down here, but that is sort of the way that it is.

9 And so you need to enlighten

10 | public officials. You need to enlighten political

11 leadership.

5

8

17

But when race and class are on the

13 | table, it tends to make people lose whatever

14 common sense they might have about what is in the

15 best collective interest of a particular

16 geographic location or political district. And

then they start sort of pitting populations

18 | against each other.

New immigrant populations are on

20 the bottom. Older immigrant populations are on

21 top of them. Black and Latino folks, who have

22 been here forever, are on top of them. Native

23 Americans are not in the conversation at all. And

that's the construct that we're trying to

25 | challenge. Right?

Me're trying to bring folk of law and to recognize that sustainability means equal justice and equal protection. That you cannot achieve sustainability through discrimination, through inequality. You can't get there from there. You've got to be working together and figure out what's in your common interest. And there's not just a lot of folk who are there yet, but we're working to get them there.

2.

1.3

2.2

President Obama and Administrator Lisa Jackson and others in the Obama Administration are reining it down from the top. And I'm going to goad them into doing it one way or the other, and continued federal resources will be tied to that.

And hopefully -- actually,

And sometimes you've got to use the hammer. Right? Not everything is a nail. And not everything needs a hammer. But in this instance, you need a hammer.

MICHAEL CHURCHILL: Well, you know, we couldn't have put it any better than that.

You're in love with Alan, and I'm in love with you. And I think we could not get a better summary of what this conference is about

	Page 322
1	and the change that we all hope that we can propel
2	from the past historic practices. So well
3	described.
4	Thank you so much. And I now turn
5	it over to Don.
6	
7	(Applause)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 323 1 2. CLOSING REMARKS 3 DONALD K. JOSEPH: If anybody has 4 5 seen the JLEP Law Review hard copy, please produce 6 it. 7 Thomas? Get it up here. So my job is to really repeat --8 9 what was it Karen had -- hasn't this day been 10 amazing? 11 So let's thank everybody. 12 13 (Applause) 14 DONALD K. JOSEPH: So I know I'm 15 16 the only person between you and either getting out of here or drinks. So I will stick to my time, I 17 18 assure you. 19 Number one, CLE forms, 20 evaluations, make sure you turn them in. You need 21 the CLE. We need the evaluations. 2.2 I am authorized to say that we 23 will have a symposium next year. I am not 24 authorized to say what the topic will be. 25 So, again, to our law firm

sponsors who have kept us going throughout the year, a shout-out thank you.

To the interns from Drexel,

4 | Temple, our Reed Smith law firm associate, our

5 | Stanford undergrad, even our Skadden fellow

scholar who just came to us, we thank you all.

So now -- I think I have the time to do it -- so think about the speakers that you just heard today. I'm not going to say anything except their names and their titles.

11 Alex Geisinger, Drexel Health.

12 Robert Kuehn, University of

13 | St. Louis Law School.

Julie Becker, Women's Health

15 | Environment Network.

6

7

8

10

16 Reverend Horace Strand, Chester

17 | Environmental Partnership.

18 Ayanna King, Pittsburgh

19 Transportation Equity Project.

20 Leslie Fields, Sierra Club.

21 | Cecil Corbin-Mark, WE ACT.

22 Professor Arthur Frank, Drexel.

Vernice Miller-Travis, with one

24 exception, as our keynote speaker as well as a

25 | panelist, you've been fabulous.

Page 325 1 VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you. 2. DONALD K. JOSEPH: And you have ended, as well as keynote. So thank you. 3 Eileen Guana, Professor, 4 5 University of New Mexico Law School. James Sadd, Professor of 6 7 Environmental Science, Occidental in California. John Relman, D.C. civil rights 8 9 lawyer. 10 Alan Greenberger, Deputy Mayor for 11 Commerce. 12 Melissa Kim, the 5th Street 1.3 Revitalization Project. 14 And Karen Black, formerly of 15 PILCOP, principal in May 8 Planning. 16 All of them who are still here, 17 please stand up. Come on. 18

19 (Applause)

20

25

21 DONALD K. JOSEPH: So to the 22 sponsor from Rutgers of the symposium, and one 23 will be published just like this one is from last 24 year, we thank you.

And to the court reporter, who I

Page 326

will ask to put my original notes in, as well as -- or instead of my speech, depending on how much I get -- thank you for volunteering on a last-minute basis.

So the staff. The staff was enormously helpful. But I tried to figure out why it was that I didn't participate in these panels in preparing you. And I realized the conclusion.

Dave Hanyok was so competent,

there was no necessity for me to do so.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Hear,

13 hear.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

12

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

14

15 (Applause)

16

DONALD K. JOSEPH: And Taylor Goodman, already thanked, stands on the shoes of a former fundraiser and built on it so that there was a ten-page to-do list organizing how not only the conference would go, but it's still going on over at the Downtown Club.

So kudos to the lawyers in the PILCOP.

Michael, the font of historical

	Page 327
1	wisdom of our institution.
2	
3	(Applause)
4	
5	To Ben Geffen, our young gem of
6	excellence, Sonja, the czar of disabilities, and
7	Jim Eiseman, now in Florida trying a case, they
8	were excellent feedback and team players in
9	getting people interested and keeping us going.
10	But I must
11	
12	(Applause)
13	
14	DONALD K. JOSEPH: But I must say
15	that the MVPs of this symposium are Adam and
16	Jenny.
17	
18	(Applause)
19	
20	DONALD K. JOSEPH: We started much
21	earlier this year with Adam coming up with the
22	names and basically running most of them down
23	himself, or Dave doing so.
24	We had an orderly, non-emergency
25	process with basically our speakers in place

Page 328

1 | nearly June.

2.2

And so all three of you, that has been a terrific addition.

Finally, our Executive Director for the past several years has the entire Law Center humming with the great productivity and camaraderie that shows every time I go in the office for a staff meeting.

It's a pleasure to have the opportunity to work with all of you. Michael and Tom, as the progenerators, and Flora as well, you must feel like very proud grandparents to see how well this organization is functioning.

And to you, really, the thing I figured out over these conferences is, the real purpose of them is our supporters, who come year after year, because they are involved, they are good citizens, and they are committed to the values that PILCOP offers.

So I say to you, thank you.

And I leave you with a Talmudic saying: It is not incumbent upon us to complete God's work, but neither are we free from desisting from trying.

I declare this symposium

```
Page 329
     adjourned.
1
 2
                           (Applause)
 3
 4
 5
                       (Whereupon, the symposium was
     adjourned at 4:47 p.m.)
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

[**& - 60**] Page 330

&	19 88:3 194:13	2006 249:25 284:6	360 72:8 176:5
& 184:1 200:18	267:14	306:6 307:6	38 19:25
	19103 1:25	2007 187:5	38.5 19:15
1	1917 279:7	2008 240:25	4
1,500 167:5	1930s 137:7	2009 37:25 251:12	4,000 118:22
1.5 295:4	1950s 267:7	2010 18:21 294:3	40 74:11 83:7
10 75:5 89:16 168:1	1960 240:4,8,13	306:7,13,19	193:10 267:3 292:7
10,000 18:20 84:3	1961 247:5	2011 1:11 278:15	400 292:11
113:20	1964 22:19	2035 273:2	40s 162:15
103 2:10	1968 3:16 175:8	2099 49:2	41 2:8
104 2:11	279:18	21 92:6	418 178:12
105 2:12	1975 237:13	21.6 19:10	438 272:8
112 2:12	1976 263:20	21st 160:20	44 306:14
11:12 102:17	1978 281:24	22 284:2	45 279:19
11:30 102:12,14	1979 40:12	221 2:18	4800 298:12
11:33 102:17	1981 119:8	23 186:9	48217 114:3
12 16:2 37:13	1982 20:22	237 2:19	4:45 262:14
220:20 298:21	1987 21:5	23rd 136:10	4:47 329:6
304:25	199 2:15,17	24,000 298:10,15	4th 1:15
123 2:13	1992 40:14 88:4	25 291:3 298:20	
12898 23:1 24:14	1994 23:1	26.7 19:14	5
12:35 153:22	1996 63:14	262 2:21	50 19:11 126:8
13 237:21 298:21	1998 279:21	263 2:20,22	171:21 193:11
130 2:13	1st 251:23	265 2:22 295:5	219:15 241:13,25
14 16:14,16 158:22	2	275 2:23	244:23 247:9 267:3
15 18:25 36:19 39:9	2 89:12 314:7	28 240:16	268:1 273:3 279:15
115:20 168:1	2,400 29:25	293 2:23	298:15
270:17	20 23:9 33:15 42:11	3	500 166:24 177:11
15,000 185:3	56:5 75:6 82:5	3 2:3 292:10	50s 162:15
154 2:14	85:24 129:11	3,000 210:9	518 180:10 182:4
16 37:13 45:13	144:16 159:21	3.308 118:24	284:3
1660 122:5	171:12 212:19,20	30 106:17 109:12	54 2:9
17 2:6	217:5 277:18	127:23 135:13	54th 136:8
170 109:16	298:22	178:19 292:10	55 162:23
175 30:5	200 2:18 49:3	30.8 19:11	57th 136:8
18 19:1 106:10	115:16	304 2:24	5th 293:5 297:20,22
171:14 219:2	200,000 109:15	31 271:3	297:25 298:12,13
225:22 273:20	2000 113:7	323 2:25	302:19 325:12
298:23	2001 24:25	325 299:13	6
180 183:21 184:9	2002 178:4	33 2:7,8	6 1:11
1800 1:24	2004 247:5 287:3	34 266:12	60 49:4 171:21
1801 1:24	2005 284:5 286:17	35 267:3 285:7	178:19 193:11
1892 113:2	287:3,6	36 203:25	241:5
1893 279:1	7 -		

[60s - addressing] Page 331

60s 162:15 267:15	76:21 77:17 84:21	accompanied	activities 22:5 23:24
291:15	108:3 128:4,16	227:20	25:6 29:13 105:14
6100 298:13	132:13 137:14	accomplish 84:22	125:14 128:15
63 117:14	145:23 149:22	91:11 97:17 130:7	161:24
65 299:8	165:14 200:5	accomplished 5:25	activity 15:13
7	252:25 260:1,2,9	40:20	211:23 283:24
7 89:13	304:2,9	account 24:23 27:6	actors 140:13 277:9
70 2:9 74:12 171:22	ably 6:17	27:13,18 28:6 31:8	actual 210:2 300:10
241:9	absence 133:5	166:17 204:24	adam 2:6,17 5:8,13
700 270:20	absent 195:15	accountability	6:17 17:9,9,18 32:2
70s 162:15 267:15	236:24,25	62:23 63:5,11	33:8 41:17 102:10
291:15	absolute 300:8	accountable 62:25	103:5,16 130:18
720,000 109:17	absolutely 175:11	98:21	188:18 189:1,5
73rd 136:9	196:14 255:5	accredited 118:5	192:12 197:13
75 247:9,9 249:17	absorbed 46:18	accrue 16:20	198:11 199:7,25
8	abstract 307:18	accurate 202:15	254:9 258:19
	abuse 220:21	204:4 255:19	260:21 262:16,17
8 2:4,5 325:15	abused 73:13,16	257:10 295:1	263:9,15 276:5
80 65:18,19 68:8	abusers 73:14	accurately 110:19	327:15,21
80s 185:4	abusive 116:10	258:5	adam's 6:20 8:12
83 159:24	academia 77:2 81:7	achieve 321:4	add 85:5 95:17
85 107:16 204:24	academic 64:4	acknowledge 68:15	148:20 156:9
88 284:1 288:2	106:13,20 110:13	131:6 159:13	182:13 216:25
299:10	110:14 111:4	199:15 262:23	257:22
9	116:13 144:3	acknowledging	added 206:5 216:1
90 107:16 311:10	145:22 146:17,22	159:14	248:21 291:2
90s 34:11 178:25	150:14,22 151:15	acre 288:2	addition 107:21
179:11 292:6	175:22 190:2	acres 284:1	120:11 300:12
93 171:14 176:13	academicians 68:18	act 22:19 27:4 130:1	328:3
95 174:11	106:23 145:24	130:3,6 131:7 133:8	additional 24:3
96th 136:9	academics 63:19	133:22 175:8 176:8	27:19 76:13 85:5
98 249:10	150:11	222:8 279:18	90:17 202:21 218:5
99 199:16	accept 211:6	324:21	additionally 290:18
a	acceptable 141:14	acting 25:8 176:7	address 2:14 23:3
	accepted 11:3 204:4	263:22	25:4 26:23 28:15
a.m. 102:17,17 aback 221:19	accepting 202:14	action 25:3 38:10	36:8 64:11 65:9,23
	access 13:16 45:17	56:7 108:18 157:10	80:14 100:16
abatement 100:2,8	87:17 98:6 108:3,11	182:18 222:18	111:16 154:2
179:13	147:24 148:7	233:8,8 239:7	157:11,15 181:20
ability 74:20 148:3	168:12 252:25	active 118:10 271:6	265:3 297:4 300:24
165:14 264:14	253:9 278:11	activism 93:15	301:15,17
267:25 283:13	297:25 317:24	activist 80:24 93:12	addressed 28:4
304:5,15	accessible 213:2	93:18 99:18	40:16 193:18,20
able 24:19 34:19	accessing 300:21	activists 59:25 77:4	addressing 35:20
60:3 61:9 67:7 76:1			68:10 86:16

[adds - allusions] Page 332

adds 217:20	advise 128:5	76:2 81:17 161:17	airport 162:10
adequate 288:18	advisory 23:19	164:24 165:1 203:8	alabama 159:20
adieu 31:20	125:5 169:13,14,19	211:5 223:11 231:3	240:11,13
adjacent 144:4	224:1	267:10 275:12	alan 2:22 265:14,20
164:6 168:23	advocacy 22:20	288:24	265:24 270:9,13
175:23 177:9	120:13 139:23		275:4 293:20
206:22 207:1		agency 23:12,15,15	
	185:16 186:21	161:23 164:22,22	311:13 312:17
211:22	194:20 283:7	169:15 211:1 223:4	313:4,9 316:17
adjourned 329:1,6	advocate 46:21 48:9	223:5 225:2 233:15	317:9 321:23
adjunct 200:21	128:20 157:20	235:19 236:6,12,13	325:10
adjust 271:18	169:18,24 287:11	agenda 2:2 139:19	alan's 305:18
adjustment 300:18	advocate's 304:14	147:13,14 169:25	alarming 91:22
administration 26:8	advocated 119:14	284:7	alaska 219:18
75:14 150:5,12,14	advocates 125:8	agendas 186:19	alcatraz 114:25
155:17 165:15	236:12 264:3 286:9	ages 313:9	alcohol 220:21
321:12	287:19	aggregate 211:24	alerted 117:17
administrative	affect 23:8 31:9	aggregation 212:9	alex 2:5 8:5,9,10
26:21 187:23	84:18 235:12	aggressive 93:18	17:18 19:24 26:3
administrator 71:2	affirmation 133:25	ago 39:10 138:18	41:17 324:11
224:20 321:11	affluent 22:8 126:13	165:18 168:1	alexander 24:25
administrators	afford 12:2,3 168:16	173:17 179:19,19	alice 125:8 157:18
83:19	affordable 247:24	221:12 262:25	157:20,23,25
admirers 154:11	248:2,4 250:17,25	285:11	192:14,25 193:2,9
admitted 249:23	251:2,5	agree 43:24 64:20	193:13,21 197:7
259:14,14,16	afraid 131:21	65:2,3,4,11,11	198:15
260:20	133:23 134:3	147:10 160:22	alice's 192:13
ado 200:22 263:10	143:23,25	257:3 304:8	alive 172:1 278:1
adopt 120:9	african 30:8 53:3	agreed 287:21	allan 239:19 245:8
adopted 182:5,8	56:12 115:23 116:3	agreement 65:8	248:20
adult 123:18	138:15 139:1	166:22 269:17	alley 21:20 115:19
adults 18:25 19:10	162:25 163:17	ah 138:21	115:20
19:14,19	240:13,17 241:6,11	ahead 54:22 65:1	alliance 159:19
advance 75:6	241:25 242:18	66:8 98:12 189:5	allies 150:16 187:18
137:25 260:6	243:16 249:16,17	236:21	allocating 262:8
advanced 36:7	252:4 298:16,17,19	air 15:11 20:9,14	allow 132:18 138:13
advancement	299:17	27:23 77:18 82:15	229:14 251:20
146:22	afternoon 153:16	83:2 118:2 180:20	252:7 315:12
advancing 137:25	157:14 200:25	188:5 204:2 205:10	allowed 166:22
advent 295:24,24	237:9 263:19	206:10 209:24	182:3 260:16 313:1
adverse 22:9 23:4	265:24	210:16 215:9	allows 312:20,21
76:12	afterthought 92:25	230:10,17 258:8	allston 149:4
advertisements	318:15	290:10,18,21	alluded 19:24 26:3
52:11	age 215:12 281:18	306:16,18,23	222:5
advertising 52:16	agencies 23:2,13	307:19 310:8	allusions 104:7
	25:22 27:17 62:11		
	20.22 27.17 02.11		

[altruistic - area] Page 333

altruistic 138:10	andre 3:23	apart 233:11	appointments 271:5
amazed 41:10	angeles 21:21	apiece 84:3	appreciate 34:23
amazing 34:7	200:11 208:1,2,17	apologize 270:3	85:10 113:6
130:17 149:1	214:10,13	apologizing 167:1	appreciative 4:10
260:25 296:5	angle 48:4 49:22	app 66:8	5:13 113:2
304:20 323:10	53:16	appalachia 113:15	approach 56:8,24
ambassador 113:19	angles 42:19 44:18	appalling 19:14	57:12,14,14,23
ambler 30:8	95:24 257:20	apparently 174:18	58:13 59:14 65:5,17
amenities 22:7	258:16	appeal 28:10 300:18	66:15 69:18,25
america 72:15 121:9	angry 66:2	appeals 79:25	80:16 98:8 99:8
160:18 249:12	animals 242:4	179:19	107:9 128:24 129:4
278:2 280:15	animous 10:16,17	appearance 68:1	186:23
american 30:8 54:7	ann 239:20	applaud 112:14	approached 241:3
56:12 115:23 116:3	annex 245:5,25	138:5	242:8
118:10 138:15	annexation 245:4	applause 7:3 17:16	approaches 57:6,20
139:1 162:25	247:3	31:25 35:2 41:6	58:7 60:12 98:14
163:17 240:17	annexations 245:15	54:14 70:6 85:17	99:9 187:16,17
241:6,11,25 242:18	247:12	96:20,22 103:25	appropriate 93:24
243:16 249:16,17	annexed 245:13,17	122:8,19 129:22	99:12 234:10,12
252:4 298:16	247:18	143:10 153:19	appropriately
americans 173:7	annie 177:4,20	154:8 156:2,14	236:18
240:13 320:23	180:7 181:3,12	157:2 188:16	approval 272:1
amiss 168:22	286:3	197:11 198:9	approximately 92:6
amount 9:25 10:19	annoyed 62:13,15	199:20 221:7 237:7	arbitrarily 231:21
13:18 14:8,10,15	62:17	254:7 261:4 263:7	arch 1:14,15
15:14 21:9 39:20	annual 14:4	275:6 291:7 303:24	architect 265:19
59:24 151:17	answer 47:17	315:6 322:7 323:13	266:11
162:16,23 180:12	134:20 221:3 237:2	325:19 326:15	architectural 319:6
220:10 223:13	259:10 266:4 270:5	327:3,12,18 329:3	area 5:5,9 19:2 24:2
225:16 272:12	311:2	applicable 219:14	39:21 48:21 92:5,7
280:10 287:13	answering 158:15	application 29:7	99:5 106:6 107:20
297:2	answers 137:22	76:9 228:2	109:15 113:5
amounts 289:10,15	anthropologist 54:8	applications 212:8	114:11 115:8
289:17 292:8	anticipate 53:11	227:7,8 229:21	123:15 162:7
ample 289:17	anticipating 4:12	232:2 234:9,11	204:23 208:1,1,3,17
amplitude 304:12	anxiously 216:8	applied 57:13	210:15 211:16
amy 120:25	anybody 27:15	140:12 203:18	213:13 214:5,10,13
anacostia 115:6,12	73:12 176:1 188:21	228:9 234:6	215:23 216:22
analyses 135:12	249:20 266:10	applies 23:23 27:6	218:4 220:3 223:2
analysis 28:1 97:1	323:4	27:15	223:10 229:16,16
98:10,15 233:20	anymore 270:24	apply 139:1 228:23	231:16 233:24
235:11 316:2	284:16	274:19	236:15 240:2
analyzing 219:21	anyplace 280:14	appointed 99:19	241:19 250:20
anchor 301:11	anyway 157:13	appointment 271:4	258:2 274:1,1
302:7			287:23 308:18

[area - back] Page 334

309:23	260:6 266:21	114:16 126:8,11	authoritative
areas 4:16 24:3	267:23 276:17	281:15,15,16,17	309:25
45:15 88:7 107:17	286:14 319:5	astonishing 95:8	authorities 28:20
113:11,13 202:8,20	asking 74:11 264:13	astounding 39:19	231:22 252:23
204:6 205:5 206:17		atchison 206:19	
	aspect 252:12		authority 232:15
208:7,11,12,14,24	aspects 64:22	athlete 163:11	authorized 323:22
211:21,22 214:17	ass 173:23	atlanta 163:25	323:24
215:19 216:14	assemblywoman	atlantic 1:24	auto 114:8
217:24 223:14,17	120:23	atsdr 119:12	automatically
227:10,11,13,17	assess 50:25 53:22	attack 118:20	255:17,21
228:9,9,12,25 229:7	287:9	attacking 290:11	automobiles 295:24
229:9,15,25 230:1,2	assessment 27:11	attempts 148:7	available 22:13
230:5 236:10 246:2	44:2 109:25 135:9	201:16	26:18 189:13 252:3
246:5,11,14 248:10	135:11 201:21	attended 40:7	259:23 309:17
248:22,23 294:8	202:18 207:9	attendees 49:3	311:4 314:18
300:13 313:24	218:10 223:19	attending 167:7	avenue 136:8,9,9,11
arena 190:2	234:1	attention 38:15 74:6	176:15
argue 129:16 220:14	asset 314:4,7	74:8 79:8 80:6	awaiting 216:8
arguments 126:18	assets 59:8 313:6,13	86:23 157:25	award 38:8 40:23
arizona 114:21	314:2	217:25	40:23,25
arkwright 163:16	asshole 196:10	attentive 35:19	awards 40:22
armed 137:12	assist 36:3,5,7	attitude 193:16	aware 134:19
army's 266:15	187:21 289:3	attorney 121:1	316:17
arrow 219:3	assistance 96:3	200:17 304:23,24	awareness 52:9
arsenic 127:13	187:14 188:2	attract 149:22	awful 307:7
art 172:8 175:18	194:25	301:10	ayanna 2:8 37:3,19
arteries 87:12	assistant 121:1	attraction 301:7,10	41:4,8 53:1 60:18
arthur 2:13 123:1	assists 153:2	attributes 302:14,14	66:9 86:19,25 92:16
123:11 146:12	associate 32:4	audience 7:1 8:8	95:17 101:1 102:9
324:22	105:14 138:18	36:23 54:17 85:20	125:6 324:18
article 6:11,12	324:4	99:22 112:12 131:3	b
articulately 69:15	associated 71:6	131:18,22 132:2,5	
ascertain 273:10	106:14 210:16	134:6 138:20,22	b 71:15
274:6	association 121:9	152:4,6 153:15	baby 78:8
asian 29:21 298:21	182:12	158:15,17 188:19	back 15:17 37:7
aside 168:7 264:14	associations 182:11	222:1 258:21	63:8 66:5,20 67:13
asked 36:3 48:2	318:22	262:24 263:12	80:1,12 88:3 95:23
80:9 91:5 104:18	assume 276:25	279:3 316:5,10,21	104:24 116:21
123:13 127:20	assurance 207:19	318:14 326:12	117:7,9 118:25
135:22 142:15	assurance 207.17 assure 264:17	aunt 137:6 171:13	121:2 125:21
143:14 157:14	323:18	176:13	129:12 131:11
164:17 166:13,19	asthma 18:24 19:7,9	authenticating	146:2,3,23 147:12
167:10 169:19	19:13 20:12 76:7	190:21	162:14 167:17
170:24 171:6,7	97:23 98:2 100:2,8	authored 25:2	168:10 171:15,22
· ·		authoreu 23.2	178:14 179:21
174:3 179:2 243:20	100:12 109:6		

[back - bernard] Page 335

183:5 185:4 195:10	176:4,10,11,15	177:21 178:6	becky's 117:19
195:10 217:21	177:5,6,8,21 178:2	179:20 186:22	began 20:21 86:16
220:9 227:9,16	178:8 179:10,20	202:11 204:7	112:23 163:14,21
228:3 233:1 236:5	181:4 182:5,7	213:21,23 219:22	163:23,24 164:11
240:4,8 243:18	275:21 278:20,23	274:15 279:8	185:5 279:23
247:16 249:14	279:2,8,17,24 280:3	281:24 285:6	beginning 58:14
251:19 264:8 266:5	280:3,4,5,8,9,16,19	288:23 291:13	243:25 277:11
268:22 272:19	280:23,25 281:4,9	295:10	295:25
275:3 294:3 295:20	281:12 282:1,9,16	basic 10:12 60:7,8	begun 23:14
297:12 310:24	282:20,22 283:2	127:6 134:11	behalf 43:6 89:14
316:20	284:8,10,11,13	141:14,15 152:24	behavioral 55:19
backbone 296:17	285:6,7,22 286:3,5	259:10 278:10	behold 120:18
backed 206:9	286:6,13 291:11	300:13	168:22
backfire 257:5	292:9	basically 45:3,25	beings 70:22
background 29:4	ban 141:2,3	46:21 70:17 71:24	belief 309:7
36:18 45:11 295:15	band 115:1	120:8 122:15 205:8	believe 158:21
297:16 299:25	bank 166:17	207:7,10 210:18	160:19 170:2
310:23	bankrupt 74:23	223:11 273:15	181:12 182:13
backlash 76:22	banned 140:3	302:15,15 303:6	217:15
backward 175:6	bar 25:8	313:10 327:22,25	believes 307:18
bad 18:12 116:9	barber 299:20	basis 25:20 34:4	bell 2:12 105:10,11
121:17 145:1	barometers 296:11	68:6 74:13,21 82:9	105:13,16,20
181:24 184:2	barrels 162:23	134:14 139:2 179:2	111:16 147:10
231:20 232:19	barrier 96:11	204:13 239:8,9	150:20
251:17 268:23,24	barriers 59:16	303:18 326:4	beloved 132:25
282:2 310:12	288:18	baton 115:21	ben 327:5
315:24	base 100:5 101:18	battle 81:23 160:9	benefit 28:1,21
bag 79:4	115:12 146:23	251:25 277:18,19	77:24 78:12 84:1
bahs 37:6	207:10 209:12	293:8	89:5 111:2 144:17
bakery 299:18	264:20 267:14	battles 170:13	146:19,20 169:23
balance 288:5	baseball 84:11	bay 281:3,5	170:5 183:8 218:5
314:16	based 38:16 56:6	beach 21:22	317:10 318:25
ball 53:2 56:11 72:7	57:5,20 58:12 59:1	bear 17:1 77:22	319:21
289:22	59:14 60:12 61:19	266:5	benefited 16:23,25
balter 6:9 21:18	63:15 65:17 67:22	bearing 22:4	17:2
34:9,9 41:22 112:5	68:11 69:24 91:23	bears 224:17	benefiting 15:13
125:3 130:17 154:6	93:20 99:8 108:12	beat 184:2	benefits 15:19 16:7
156:18 161:6	109:11 110:11	beautiful 174:24,25	16:20 22:6 31:5,15
197:16 221:11	111:10 131:10	238:9 254:4	142:6,8 161:15
264:4	135:12,19 139:14	beauty 299:17	186:12 313:12
baltimore 21:22	139:22 147:18	becker 2:9 38:7,7	315:2
144:20,21 171:6,9	149:21 150:10,23	54:16,18 91:2 94:24	berger 4:4
171:11 172:9	152:13 153:1	97:10,18 324:14	berkeley 206:20
173:11 174:15,17	163:25 172:10	becky 117:17 119:2	bernard 249:3,9,19
174:19,21 175:3,25	173:9 175:10,17		250:1,19 251:1,4,20

	1	1	T .
berrigan 251:15	bisphenol 140:20	120:3 125:5 148:6,8	breakfast 102:13
best 4:23 5:17 36:16	bit 9:15 10:9 12:25	154:25 190:12	breaking 305:24
36:25 50:3 54:3	13:4 17:4,10,11	209:25 300:18	breathe 20:8
60:19 83:4 93:11	24:6 35:7 54:24	boards 100:22	breezed 190:11
196:13 265:11	55:24,25 66:20 86:1	142:21	bridge 108:21
319:20,22 320:15	94:17 98:18 107:3	bob 32:9,14 41:19	113:19,22 317:17
bet 134:24	116:6 133:25	43:16 102:11	brief 87:9
better 36:5 38:21	147:13 171:6	263:24	briefly 99:15 200:6
46:3 75:25 94:25	190:19 192:25	bodies 129:10	218:15
95:1 108:15 111:7	213:24 221:17	body 132:16,20	briefs 108:18,19
137:9 140:9 142:10	222:16,19 223:24	bombings 160:7	brightest 68:12,13
157:24 190:4	227:22 228:3,4	bone 135:9	brilliant 74:7
213:24 227:18	245:8 252:11 266:6	bones 82:24	bring 31:19 35:17
230:7 265:13	275:19,20,21 293:2	book 114:24 180:2	42:19 79:15 80:19
304:24 311:11	295:8,18 300:7	255:25 270:20	80:22 81:7,7,8 90:3
321:21,25	318:7	271:20,21 274:19	119:25 128:16
beyond 27:18	black 2:24 133:24	318:1	129:1 154:15
bias 233:8,8	168:10 173:6	booklet 200:8	164:14 166:14
biases 10:17	182:12 298:16	boos 37:6	169:8 188:12
big 15:25 46:10 59:8	304:3,18 320:21	boot 237:4	194:22 195:1
62:3 63:11 71:14	325:14	border 15:11	196:21 197:8 200:5
87:6 113:1 166:14	blackberry 69:2,2	boring 272:3 312:17	232:21,22 259:19
166:15,21 181:8	blacks 168:11 173:6	born 54:7 136:16	264:24 293:9
189:16 219:23	blatant 117:10	158:7 298:22	297:14 315:25
249:1 267:25 271:6	bless 171:13	borne 10:1	317:14 320:7 321:1
273:10 295:25	blessed 43:15	borough 29:24 30:1	bringing 63:25 89:2
297:10	116:16 219:15	boston 21:22	165:4 187:13 318:9
biggest 115:15	blight 299:9	bother 162:24	brings 16:6 155:8
197:23	blind 40:4 86:7,7	bothering 197:18	304:13
bill 118:22 120:15	196:17	bottom 134:24	broad 86:20
120:17 121:23	block 29:9 92:5	285:22 291:17	broadening 17:4
122:2,4	209:4,14 289:2	320:20	broader 17:24
billion 284:2 314:7	298:12,13	bought 292:2	134:23 269:14
billions 29:12	blocked 78:21	boulevard 297:25	broke 226:1
bio 210:5 265:15	blocks 209:8 295:4	298:1,12	broken 26:24
biographies 200:8	blood 181:24 250:3	bound 27:24	brokers 77:7
biological 215:5	250:4 281:13	boundaries 142:25	bronx 21:21
biology 127:6	bloody 308:9	box 295:25	brothers 135:14
biomedical 177:1	blow 166:15	boy 121:11	brought 25:23 43:15
bios 104:22,24	blows 214:8,11	boys 84:12 110:1	45:15 48:11 117:7
biostatistics 55:18	blubbering 165:8	bpa 140:19 141:1	159:4 161:18 174:5
birmingham 159:20	blue 208:19,22	brain 107:8	294:19
159:21,25 160:7	blueprint 155:17	break 102:11 195:6	brown 247:9
birth 136:17 215:10	board 23:19 41:23 48:10,23 113:4	195:8 244:19 254:2	brownfields 223:10 253:14

[brunt - case] Page 337

brunt 77:22	burdens 22:4 29:3	222:22 259:12	capital 71:15 162:12
bryant 146:5 222:25	30:15 78:14 186:13	called 24:12,25	303:2
bubbles 310:8	burial 168:12,13,14	38:19 107:5 108:20	capitalism 93:1
buckets 98:24	burn 95:19	109:2 114:3 129:6	capitalistic 125:13
budget 89:4	burning 82:23,25	155:16 157:12	capitol 195:1
buffer 210:19	bus 44:23 45:4,9,10	159:24 162:4,5	capping 289:25
buffers 210:23	165:7 253:6,7 312:1	163:15 164:19	capture 215:6,16
build 16:5 43:7	buses 197:2 257:9	167:9 168:8,20	216:13
44:17 46:3 49:25	311:20,22,24,25	173:21 174:7	captured 233:25
50:6 51:22 52:3,21	business 16:22 73:5	175:24 177:6 178:5	car 166:3 174:14
59:18 66:16 72:9	74:1 162:21,22	181:5 223:18	carb 120:9
94:24 133:11	183:23 270:22	235:11 241:4,15,15	card 247:11
141:14 142:10	300:20	241:18 242:7 256:1	care 95:2 98:3,7
177:1 186:18	businesses 283:20	273:1 279:24	99:10 107:10,15,17
187:10 250:19	288:7 293:10	293:19 303:5	107:17,18,19 108:1
building 16:5 49:18	299:14,15	calling 74:15 112:21	108:9,9,11,14,25
50:13,20 53:18	buy 14:20	calls 4:16 170:20	109:12,17,22
66:10 113:21 131:9		192:21 194:6	110:12,20,21 111:9
133:10 139:13,17	C	226:23	116:20,23 119:23
149:7 159:9,11	c 2:5 8:5,9	camaraderie 328:7	138:14 147:17,25
187:10 251:16,18	cain 74:5	camden 21:23 25:23	148:1,3,9 178:20
251:22 266:1	cal 203:7	cameras 176:5	205:12,12 211:10
285:10,12 289:10	calculated 213:23	camp 308:6,13	285:5 305:23 306:9
289:15	calculus 256:3	campaign 52:10	307:5
buildings 180:13,22	california 4:25 34:6	153:2	cared 222:2
181:9,11 285:15	120:2,21 200:12	campaigns 131:10	career 123:19
289:11,16 290:1	201:6,20 203:8	139:14,23 150:10	124:17 130:8
300:5 313:15	204:23 205:8	152:13	careers 138:1 150:2
builds 12:5	206:13,15,18	campus 149:8	careful 234:25
built 28:16 89:9	207:25 209:24	canada 113:20,24	235:1 289:20
185:19 281:24	211:1,3,16 213:13	canal 249:15,21	cares 306:1
284:3 326:19	213:21,23 214:4,7	cancer 21:19 115:19	carolina 20:22
bull 160:5	215:23 216:22	115:20 163:19,20	162:4,7 163:8
bullard 34:1 43:16	217:12,12 219:16	173:17,20	164:18 165:5,21,24
bulldozing 289:22	220:3,6 245:22	candidate 306:7	169:11
bullet 92:15	325:7	canon 150:4	carpenter 86:5
bumped 294:3	call 9:19 16:8 47:17	canopy 280:25	carpets 121:4
bunch 16:18 58:5	56:9 82:19 96:2 107:7 108:18 114:3	313:20	carries 124:10
62:12 209:8	107:7 108:18 114:3	cap 14:2,13 53:2	carry 34:25
bunyon 146:5	141:18 149:18	capability 207:16	cars 113:20
222:25	162:8 170:24	capacity 29:8 49:18	case 13:1 16:12
burden 5:10 28:21	172:14 173:1	49:25 187:11 293:9	19:12 24:25 36:13
76:13 77:25 85:5	197:19 199:8	314:20	61:22 70:2 87:3,3
292:18,21,24	201:10 209:9,11	capita 91:23 136:13	135:8 145:20 146:1
	201.10 207.7,11		150:25 171:5,6

[case - children] Page 338

	T	T	
173:11 179:21,21	146:18 148:19	chain 163:4	chapters 117:15
179:22 186:2 239:6	152:12 158:7 171:7	chains 160:6	characteristic
240:3,3,7,25 241:3	171:7 185:2 222:4	chair 105:14 123:1	239:10
242:9 245:2,20,20	324:21	224:5	charcoal 310:13,15
245:21 247:22	cedar 239:20	chairman 40:15	311:7
248:12 250:10,15	cemeteries 208:25	41:2 72:15 78:17	charting 280:20
252:13 257:13	208:25	113:4	cheaper 14:20
260:9 275:23	census 23:25 202:23	challenge 75:4 76:9	check 166:14,15
279:13 300:19	202:24 209:4,7,14	77:7 81:16 136:23	233:3
302:8 327:7	211:25 212:4	136:23,24,25	checks 166:16
cases 23:17 25:22,25	214:21,23 215:6,14	142:19 144:24	chemical 133:22
237:22 238:18,20	294:3 298:11,18	149:12 151:21	134:4 138:17
239:1,5,22 247:23	center 1:8 33:13	180:24 192:10	140:18,20,25
281:13	81:22 108:20,21,23	314:3 320:25	162:16,21 165:11
casey 177:4,20	111:5 112:6 127:23	challenges 142:7,14	207:4
180:7 181:3,12	154:15 156:19	142:16,24 146:17	chemicals 132:20
195:25 196:6,13	157:5 177:1 200:21	295:12 300:1,11,24	140:2,25 162:18
286:3,17	211:10 216:19	chambers 271:5,7	chemistry 121:12
casino 29:17 89:10	263:17,20 265:10	champions 38:9	cheryl 1:20
89:13	266:16 295:22	chance 58:18 154:12	chesapeake 115:9
casual 288:19	305:2,6 328:6	315:1	281:3,5
cat 79:3	center's 5:5 25:20	change 14:4 54:10	chester 6:25 9:22
catalina 96:8,9	293:5	57:19,21,22,23,25	19:13,21 21:23
catch 8:18	centers 107:23,24	60:22 80:6 93:24,25	25:23 29:14 34:16
categories 18:13	110:15	101:21 107:2 115:4	37:19,20 40:2,7,15
20:11 28:2 205:17	central 48:21	122:24 130:23	40:19 41:2 73:2
272:11 318:2	216:11	137:14 138:12	74:25 78:19 80:16
category 209:22	centrally 92:3	139:13,23 149:16	84:25 89:10 92:3
263:5	century 160:21	150:4 192:23 204:2	95:25 158:1 161:3
cater 299:15	cep 78:15 80:10 81:6	205:10 206:5	183:13,16 292:20
catholics 173:6	certain 10:19 14:9	207:23 215:9 216:2	324:16
cause 206:7	16:7 23:23 27:19	216:5,15 267:4,16	chesterfield 39:13
causes 10:9,9 88:23	64:1 67:1 95:14	267:19 268:12	chicanos 173:7
caving 284:19	106:19 151:17	274:8 304:11	chief 38:11 105:11
cbpr 61:1 62:24	152:20 210:22	308:25 322:1	106:4 266:21
66:25 95:4	211:21 220:10	changed 21:15	child 107:10 108:5
cdc 117:23 119:12	301:11,11 302:6,6	56:20 79:19 96:17	205:12 211:9 308:5
119:17 120:12	certainly 153:15	142:9 228:20	childhood 20:12
ceases 39:15	158:2 161:11	changes 22:21 57:8	286:14
cecil 2:13 129:25	235:14 238:17	58:21 128:17 129:2	children 18:25
130:8,12,14 131:4	266:24 305:12	287:12	19:15,21 72:5 73:10
131:19,23 132:3,6	certificate 37:11	changing 20:16	92:9 107:9,16 108:9
134:7 138:21,23	certified 82:8	93:25 94:5 129:16	108:16 109:5,15
141:23,25 142:4	cetera 11:9 114:17	193:10 262:7	111:2 114:15
143:22 144:10	172:18		136:15 150:25

[children - codified] Page 339

179:12,14 246:18	100:22 136:13	199:14,22	clinical 32:6 105:14
children's 105:12	140:7,10,12 158:8	clash 305:15	110:14,25 147:20
106:4	171:11,12,15,16	class 25:10 163:17	147:23
china 121:18	172:9 177:5 182:5,6	175:10 179:3	clint 317:15,20
chinatown 29:19	183:24 184:2,11,15	218:25 319:18	clinton 23:1
chinese 121:21	208:2 240:12,15	320:12	close 72:11 80:8
chloride 127:13	241:4,8,23 243:13	classes 25:5 189:10	83:12 153:14
chlorinated 141:2	244:8,9 246:13	217:3 303:14	183:11,14,17
chlorpyrifos 140:1	249:4 264:19	classic 173:11	closed 271:22
choice 5:10 77:23	265:17 266:12,22	classified 204:17	closer 224:2 230:2
choking 87:13	267:9 268:13,17,21	classroom 191:19	closes 272:2
cholera 279:1	269:15 270:18,23	classrooms 14:1	closing 2:25 142:5
chore 106:6,7,16	271:1,3 272:14,24	cle 323:19,21	271:19 323:2
107:18,21 108:23	273:3,6,9,11,12,20	clean 20:8,14 27:23	closings 253:21
107.18,21 108.23	274:24 278:14,21	27:23 77:18 100:11	cloud 214:17
chose 301:14	279:2,8,11,16	307:18,19 311:24	club 84:12 90:6
christ 21:5	280:14,16,20,22,25	311:24 313:8,14	111:23 112:24,25
christian 64:18	282:16 284:13	314:9	113:8 117:14,20
church 21:4 40:13	285:22 286:3	cleaned 20:15	168:8,10 194:21
87:15 92:2,4 133:24	288:24 294:4 295:5	270:24	199:23 324:20
churchill 2:22 96:24	297:2,2,12,14	cleaners 172:18	326:22
263:17,18 270:7,11	300:15,20 304:21	cleaning 14:19 94:3	clustering 22:2
275:2,8 288:10	305:13 306:1,5,17	154:18 164:13	25:17 40:18 80:2
291:9 292:15 293:1	307:23 313:8 314:6	290:5	314:24
302:9 303:21 304:1	314:8,9 316:14,24	cleanups 100:9	clusters 100:5 312:7
315:8 321:20	317:2,24	165:10 303:15	coal 114:6,22 124:22
ci 211:15	city's 265:22	clear 66:24 67:11,16	124:22 188:4 196:7
cigarettes 318:12	civic 215:13 314:20	135:24 140:22	196:15,16,18 241:7
circle 242:14 264:8	civil 3:16 21:1 22:18	142:8 243:7 257:15	241:11,19 242:19
circuit 79:25	26:18 28:25 120:7	276:3 318:3	244:4,22,25 260:14
circular 87:19	160:3,16,20,22	clearer 277:15	260:15
circumstances	188:11 200:17,19	clearly 13:17 61:18	coalition 120:14
179:15 269:20,22	237:17,20,22 239:1	63:10 66:7 95:12	121:10 286:14,18
cisterns 242:2,3	254:20 256:17	124:7 268:9	286:20 287:2
cities 243:12 267:1,4	304:25 325:8	click 55:3	coarse 228:25
citizens 24:16 25:3	claim 256:21	clicking 271:23	229:13 231:18
26:2,19 54:10	claims 22:17 26:20	client 100:4	coast 5:1
100:11 191:14	26:23 222:2	clients 265:10	code 114:4,11,15
197:19 271:1	clarke 2:11 103:23	climate 14:4 115:4	271:2,12 311:15
328:18	104:2 111:13	204:2 205:10 206:5	313:5 315:16
city 24:21 37:18	122:21 129:24	215:9 216:2,5,22	316:23 317:8,19
80:15 81:13,15	141:23 142:2	217:20	codes 312:15 315:25
83:10,15,24,24 84:7	143:12 146:8	clinic 8:12 32:8,10	codification 194:7
88:23 89:4,11 91:19	150:18 151:22	116:18 262:24	codified 172:3,5
91:23 92:6 93:11	153:13 154:4,10	263:1	

	170.00	110.0.20.110.6	107.1
coexist 264:11	combine 179:23	112:8,22 113:6	communicate 107:1
coffee 102:12	180:1 211:17	116:21 121:17	108:3
cold 206:14 308:9	combined 185:15	147:6 157:13	communicated
cole 34:5	combustion 196:7	179:21 199:15	63:10
colfax 200:18	come 5:11 31:21	251:6 268:16	communicating
collaboration 81:21	37:6 41:11 45:14	327:21	50:9
198:12 256:16	47:21 48:23 52:5	commanding 14:7	communication
collaborations 2:11	58:16 59:10 64:25	14:11	50:13 60:13 127:3
30:25 103:3 130:11	73:20 75:17 77:6	commencing 44:12	communications
161:24	80:18 82:13 83:23	commend 112:14	66:8 68:3
collaborative 38:21	84:6,23,25,25 85:4	130:17	communities 1:5 2:7
56:22 65:16 68:8	89:22 100:18	commended 96:18	9:20 10:23 11:7
84:22 303:5	103:11 107:18	comment 97:4	12:21,24 13:2,13,18
collapse 46:11	109:10 117:9,24	150:21 229:14	13:23,24 15:1 18:3
colleague 157:8	120:8 127:2 133:24	316:8	18:7,11 19:3,6,17
276:15	137:23 140:8	comments 15:9	19:24 20:5,19 21:25
colleagues 82:2	141:17 143:17,18	19:25 198:15	22:1,8,13 24:18,19
113:3 129:17	144:9 147:5 151:23	275:23	25:14,23 26:7,7,11
145:20,22,23,24	156:23 157:25	commerce 271:6,7	27:10 28:8,22,24
157:6 160:21 201:5	159:21 164:1,17	325:11	30:16 31:9,15 33:3
206:21 216:7 221:3	166:13 168:14	commercial 21:7	35:8,13,16,18,24,25
262:25	170:18 177:24	29:19 172:16 208:9	36:4,8 37:1,17
collective 36:22,22	178:14 180:21	294:9,17 295:17,25	38:25 46:2,22 48:5
152:2,3,4 319:21,22	183:18 188:22	297:7 298:3,4,7	48:15 50:6,6 51:3
320:15	196:9,11 199:13	301:4 313:18	52:23 53:22 57:13
collectively 44:9	206:15 214:20	commission 265:18	58:14,15 59:10
47:25	215:14 220:17	271:2 317:6 318:17	61:24 64:14 70:1
college 84:4,8	228:24 241:22	commissioners	72:19 73:3 75:1
189:19 190:10	256:24 258:9,17,23	253:25	76:25 86:2,22 87:23
200:11	275:3 277:23	commitment 309:8	89:21 90:16 91:3,8
colon 173:16	280:18 296:6 302:1	314:13	92:19 93:3 95:24
color 9:7 22:1 24:19	308:17 319:6	committed 6:6 54:9	97:24 98:6,16,25
25:14 26:7 126:12	325:17 328:16	328:18	101:18 105:19
135:1 137:2 149:4	comes 5:4 45:10	committee 3:16	114:22 118:14
209:17,17 280:19	46:11 88:11 115:8	155:13 169:20	123:7,8,22,25
282:10 319:11	125:25 199:13	237:20,25	124:11,23 126:12
colors 144:6	292:8	committee's 21:14	126:21 127:12,15
columbia 144:12	comfortable 199:10	committees 88:9	128:10,25,25
149:7 162:11,13	274:17	common 21:25	132:23 133:3,10,11
165:20 177:2	coming 11:24 17:19	60:16 168:5 172:11	133:12 134:23,25
185:18 276:18	23:15 33:6 41:14	255:12 320:14	135:1 137:1,1,15
columbus 240:25	44:21 47:7,8 53:9	321:7	138:9 139:11,16,20
241:5 243:9 253:24	55:21 75:7 83:24	commonalities	140:4,9,16,24
combat 121:8	84:5 92:9 96:15	304:7	142:11,13,17,18,23
	99:15 102:8 104:18		144:18 146:20

147:1,4 148:18	62:13,15,16 63:15	187:11,15 189:17	complete 251:23
149:3,4 156:20	63:19,21 64:1,5,7	190:15,16 192:8,16	288:20 328:22
157:23 161:14	64:18,19 65:17	204:7 218:6 224:9	completed 287:7
164:6 165:5 169:4	67:18,18,22 68:11	231:4,6,8,17,21	completely 66:21
172:15 177:16	68:18,19,21 69:24	232:6,23 241:11,12	183:19 247:17,19
186:17 187:22	70:16 71:19 73:20	258:3,6,14 262:3,20	276:8
192:3 193:15	73:21,21,22,25 75:9	264:9 266:16 269:1	complex 127:4
194:15,16 195:12	75:15,18,18 76:13	272:14,21 274:5	128:14 150:6,15
196:3,7,19 200:4	76:23 77:8,13,22,24	275:25 277:8,8,9,22	195:6
202:6,7 203:15	79:11,12 80:18,25	278:17 283:1,14,17	complexities 148:21
206:25 210:7	81:9 82:6 83:9,25	286:8 287:5,11,18	complexity 270:21
217:11 221:22	84:16,19,20 85:1,7	287:20 288:17,23	compliance 226:14
225:4,24,24 227:14	86:8,10,24 87:3,5	289:2 290:9,22	complicated 212:24
231:24 239:21	88:6,6,12,24 89:4,6	291:21 292:1,25	213:8
245:5,6,12,15,16,25	89:7,24 90:9,11,13	293:4,8,9,10 295:10	complies 131:3,18
246:1,3,8 247:13,18	90:15,24 93:20 94:8	295:23 296:6,12,22	131:22 132:2
247:24 248:6,16,19	97:2,7,13,15 99:2,8	297:1 299:1 303:5	components 56:2
249:12 250:25	99:17 100:1,5,9,17	303:15 312:20,25	289:10,15
254:17,24 256:8	100:21 104:5,6,14	314:17,19,21	comprehensive
264:4,18 265:1	107:12,13,14	316:16 317:18	273:2
269:13 275:11	110:11,12,16,19,22	318:4	compromise 84:18
277:16,17 278:2,9	110:22 111:10	community's 51:1	computer 69:3,9
282:9,10 292:6,19	113:10,14 114:2	63:6	computerized
292:23,25 304:15	115:3,23,25 116:4	companies 71:14,15	109:18
305:8,10,12 312:14	116:22 118:7 120:7	71:16,17 73:18	computers 68:24
319:10 320:3	126:4,21,23 133:25	125:13 139:4	69:1
community 2:21	135:11,25 137:4	162:20	concede 95:18
6:16 11:4,23 12:5,7	139:22 141:7,17,20	company 1:23	conceive 14:5
16:10,15 19:9 20:23	144:5,5,9,21 147:2	165:11	concentrated
24:22,23 28:12,15	147:7,7,12,16,22	comparative 218:22	211:21,22
29:2,5,24 30:8,11	148:12,13 149:5,21	compare 219:8	concept 8:21 38:14
31:13 34:16,17 35:5	150:23 151:6,6	comparison 78:10	137:18 201:11
35:9 36:13,14 37:16	153:3 160:25 161:8	compensated	220:13 295:20
38:16,16 40:19,23	161:20 162:6	166:11 167:21	concepts 292:5
40:25 41:14 42:15	163:15,17,24 164:2	compensation 166:2	concern 70:13 76:22
42:23 43:3,9,11	164:14 165:11,12	283:10	223:17 225:25
44:1,2 45:1 46:15	165:13,16,19	competent 326:10	227:11,13 229:1
46:24 47:5,11,22	167:11,17,20 168:4	compiled 234:19	230:1,3,5 287:18
48:25 49:1,5,19,20	172:17 177:5,8,20	complained 308:16	316:15
49:20 51:18,23	178:6,8,11 179:10	complaining 308:19	concerned 40:15
52:18 53:10 54:4	179:11,25 180:3,13	310:15	70:21 78:19 81:4
55:19 56:6,7 57:3,5	180:25 181:17,21	complaint 26:22	89:3 92:8,10 227:3
57:20,24 58:12,22	181:25 182:2,23,24	complaints 26:24	concerning 24:21
58:25 59:1,7,14	183:8,10,22 185:3,9	30:10	concerns 27:12 28:6
60:12 61:19 62:3,6	186:22,23 187:10		28:16 81:15 97:21

101.10 21 240.1	composted 244.10	congultants 20.12	contribute 05.7
181:18,21 248:1	connected 244:10	consultants 38:12	contribute 95:7
286:9 316:12	connecting 45:6	consultation 287:11	233:19
concluding 51:16	98:2 99:9	consulted 236:1	contributed 199:16
conclusion 256:25	connection 97:8	consulting 38:13	contribution 228:5
326:9	111:15 197:17	50:23,24	contributions 4:7
condition 109:7	276:3 282:4,12	consults 23:20	control 14:7,11
110:5	315:13,17	contact 277:23	57:15 60:15 164:20
conditions 80:7	connections 97:6	containing 289:10	181:10 197:25
88:22,22 97:2	121:14 275:24	289:15	207:19 289:23
114:19	connects 87:16	containment 162:18	controversial 71:8
conducted 18:17	connor 160:5	contaminated	convened 155:13
27:11 286:20	consensus 88:4,12	168:25	286:7 287:8
conducting 137:20	consequences 15:15	contamination	convening 102:14
conference 3:7,19	consequential 283:5	180:9 230:20 258:9	convenor 155:12
4:16 17:12 33:7	conservation 184:18	258:10	convention 15:3
37:25 49:3 90:8	conservative 243:11	contempt 251:13,14	conversation 302:23
237:24 238:7,8,8	consider 11:14	251:21	320:23
263:21 276:5	57:16 110:18	content 4:19	conversations
315:12 321:25	135:22 294:22	contention 135:10	105:23 276:7
326:21	consideration 72:10	268:8	converted 220:23
conferences 328:15	271:25	contest 263:3	convey 189:13
confidence 296:12	considerations 28:5	context 11:16 14:22	convince 256:19
configuration	232:24	15:21 106:10	convinced 243:24
240:16	considered 71:7	125:10 178:7	252:1
confluence 274:15	100:12 299:6	221:17 223:21	convoluted 27:1
conformed 28:20	considers 293:11	232:11 233:7,16	cookie 209:5
confrontational	297:8	contingent 64:17,18	cooling 216:19
71:4	consistent 212:1	continual 68:6	copy 323:5
confronted 71:10	219:14 225:3	continually 140:3	corbin 2:13 129:25
91:21	228:23 234:8,19	236:13	130:14 131:4,19,23
confused 276:21	consistently 18:12	continuation 33:17	132:3,6 134:7
319:19	234:5 267:14	continue 20:9 51:22	138:21,23 141:25
confusion 18:15	consists 271:2	149:11 167:7 278:3	142:4 143:22
congested 274:9	consolidations	continued 34:15	148:19 324:21
congress 119:14	273:21	166:5 321:14	core 185:9,20
120:19 122:2 194:4	constantly 292:5	continuing 34:13	corner 53:8 176:4
194:8 195:2 196:11	constituent 101:18	287:18	284:16
congressman	constituents 122:12	continuity 44:17	corporation 18:18
119:15 120:20	constitution 27:22	contraband 82:25	177:6,7 286:5
263:24	constrained 125:10	contract 203:7	287:21
conjunction 287:10	construct 320:24	contractor 284:24	corps 40:9
connect 62:10,11	construction 29:8	contractors 182:11	correct 217:9
87:8,11 160:13,14	182:10	182:12	292:12
192:8	consultant 42:16	contracts 283:21	correlating 50:9
1,2.0	51:4 271:11 305:6	201111111111111111111111111111111111111	2017
	31.7 2/1.11 303.0		

[corridor - data] Page 343

	1	1	
corridor 294:18	78:22,23 79:5,18	52:9 148:16 283:18	current 250:10
295:3,13,17,18	89:13 126:6 215:23	303:3,4	264:23
296:13,15,24 297:7	243:14,14,14,15	creating 12:10	currently 24:10
299:13 300:3,24,25	244:4,10 245:7	51:17 98:7 101:14	41:1 92:21 265:16
302:8 303:2,4	252:17 253:24	130:23 186:12	cut 29:16 36:20
corridors 208:9,12	260:11 281:20,21	187:15 272:22	87:12 142:1 209:7
214:16 294:9 295:5	county's 29:15	273:1 313:11,12	cutler 2:6,17 5:8
295:25 296:2,8,10	couple 56:2 66:23	318:16	17:9,18 32:2 102:10
299:24 300:11	161:13,20 186:8,8	creative 22:16	103:5,16 188:18
301:4 313:18	196:2 214:6 248:2	112:17 187:16	189:1,5 192:12
cost 98:23 182:14	253:24 262:22	192:5	197:13 198:11
197:23 198:1 291:1	271:8 294:18	credible 33:18	199:7,25 254:9
291:3	course 9:21 10:15	credit 250:22 292:4	258:19 260:21
costs 108:13 224:25	10:21 55:9 65:20	credits 250:18,21	262:17 263:9,15
coterie 318:20	72:14 79:6,22 86:2	crime 20:5 87:18	cutter 209:5
council 40:25 72:2	96:7 158:10 183:3	91:21,25 92:22	cutting 160:19
72:18 78:22,23 79:6	206:9 209:16	251:6 300:10 301:7	192:2
100:22 121:12	220:22 232:15	crisis 182:21	cycle 20:6 268:1
140:7 169:13,14	245:19 250:24	critical 44:4 47:9	271:19 293:23
224:1 271:4,22,22	277:9	49:14 88:8 130:23	294:21,21,22
271:24 272:2	court 1:23 22:16	225:11,18 229:2,9	cycles 267:3,4
304:21 305:13	25:1 27:25 79:25	229:20 231:13	293:22
311:15	80:1 179:19 240:3	251:1	cycling 297:19
counsel 140:10	240:20 279:10	critically 235:18	czar 327:6
counsel 140:10 183:25	240:20 279:10 325:25	critically 235:18 cross 2:10 103:3	
			d
183:25	325:25	cross 2:10 103:3	d d 2:1 129:25
183:25 counselors 100:7	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8 175:14,23 186:4	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1 180:16,23 185:11	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15 culture 303:14	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16 darryl 115:24 119:2
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8 175:14,23 186:4 195:1 222:24 245:4	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1 180:16,23 185:11 186:15 256:23	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15 culture 303:14 cumulative 2:16	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16 darryl 115:24 119:2 data 98:10,15 110:7
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8 175:14,23 186:4 195:1 222:24 245:4 263:4 277:23 319:2	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1 180:16,23 185:11 186:15 256:23 271:2 300:20 303:8	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15 culture 303:14 cumulative 2:16 31:8 199:4 200:3	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16 darryl 115:24 119:2 data 98:10,15 110:7 126:2,3,17 128:23
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8 175:14,23 186:4 195:1 222:24 245:4 263:4 277:23 319:2 319:9	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1 180:16,23 185:11 186:15 256:23 271:2 300:20 303:8 308:1 314:1,8	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15 culture 303:14 cumulative 2:16 31:8 199:4 200:3 201:11,17 203:2,11	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dame 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16 darryl 115:24 119:2 data 98:10,15 110:7 126:2,3,17 128:23 202:12 206:6
183:25 counselors 100:7 count 131:5 210:21 211:15 counties 18:24 72:14 126:10 counting 298:11 country 21:19 22:11 30:4 34:14 78:11 113:11 128:17 129:5,15 134:24 135:2,4 136:14,18 136:24 161:1 168:4 169:4 172:10 173:8 175:14,23 186:4 195:1 222:24 245:4 263:4 277:23 319:2 319:9 counts 212:18	325:25 cover 4:17 227:22 covered 4:17 109:16 117:7 204:23 coverings 290:2 cozen 4:4 craving 126:21 crazy 94:22 285:13 crcql 78:16,18,19 create 4:22 14:12 57:6,24 75:11 76:13 98:1 142:17 147:21 147:22 149:16 150:3 151:7 169:1 180:16,23 185:11 186:15 256:23 271:2 300:20 303:8 308:1 314:1,8 318:25	cross 2:10 103:3 253:22 254:1 crossed 170:23 249:20 crow 159:23 crowd 254:13 crozer 100:3 crucial 68:5 crux 307:15 crying 308:19,24 culpable 119:20 cultural 52:23 54:8 64:11 65:6 300:14 300:15 culture 303:14 cumulative 2:16 31:8 199:4 200:3 201:11,17 203:2,11 204:1 205:17	d d 2:1 129:25 d.c. 115:5 200:19 325:8 daddy 191:6 daily 74:13,21 134:13 damage 82:18 damage 82:18 damages 244:24 damn 173:25 damper 267:25 dane 200:18 dark 208:4 darker 208:11 darling 166:16 darryl 115:24 119:2 data 98:10,15 110:7 126:2,3,17 128:23

[data - depicted] Page 344

219:17 226:6,15	deaths 169:2	defeated 182:10	289:1 290:1,15
229:2,9 234:16,18	debating 272:13	defend 73:22	demolishing 289:11
257:7 259:6,15,19	debris 100:11	defense 115:15	289:16
259:22 297:11	288:21 290:5,17	183:25	demolition 29:8
database 226:17	debt 32:12	deficit 89:17	180:10,19,20 181:6
databases 204:3	decade 26:16 124:21	defies 302:3	182:4,14 282:24
226:1 234:8	decades 132:25	define 34:3 86:20	283:24 284:6,14,24
dave 3:23 326:10	146:14 186:8	229:23 312:8	285:19,24 286:1,10
327:23	deceased 34:5 72:17	defined 9:5 87:4	286:16,19 287:4,7
david 151:25	december 6:7 272:1	172:21 173:8 238:3	287:10,14,19,22,24
day 3:13 17:14 18:7	306:19	defines 291:12	288:15,20,20,25
30:5 31:10 42:3	decent 300:9	defining 9:2 62:21	289:3,6,20 290:4,7
46:6 74:16,17 82:21	decide 43:19 312:21	definitely 57:13	290:16 291:2,3
113:20 128:23	decided 104:11	95:12 135:15	292:9 309:19
168:11 172:3	237:17 294:17	211:20	demonstrate 76:11
173:21 175:13	305:4	definition 9:4,12	122:16 203:13
182:1 183:23	deciding 54:3	24:1 55:5 56:19	207:20 312:25
184:19 191:15	315:24	188:5 194:10 205:7	demonstrated 9:22
205:11 206:14	decision 10:19 18:10	definitions 9:3	212:6
226:12 244:2	25:24 28:10 31:4	definitive 231:25	demonstrating
253:25 304:20	76:24 83:20 100:25	definitively 231:15	248:17 260:8
309:22 311:20	187:14 195:11	degrade 320:3	demonstrative
323:9	197:5 211:2 215:16	degree 14:9 37:8	259:12 260:10
days 22:14 90:24	215:20 256:20,21	106:19 215:16	278:9
178:19 221:25	305:17	225:9 293:25	denial 245:25
222:5,13 266:9	decisions 24:21	304:10	denied 241:12
289:5,7 295:20	45:23,24 100:23	degreed 189:20	dennis 3:24
dead 82:24 129:10	192:19 204:12	delaware 18:17	densely 298:3
129:12 138:19	207:24 210:25	29:14 72:15 115:9	density 301:21
165:19 285:16	231:23	delay 27:10 32:14	dep 27:24 41:23
deal 28:11 38:22	declare 178:17	287:24	46:21 82:8
46:5 73:4,6 83:7	328:25	delcora 83:15	dep's 80:3 82:13
86:23 89:11,19 97:5	decline 280:22	delineate 61:16	department 23:20
98:2 100:2 139:25	281:2 296:1	delineating 66:7	23:22 37:23 105:15
148:21 176:8 181:8	declining 280:18	deliver 108:9 109:22	106:1,11,13,14
dealing 38:25	deconstructing	244:19	107:25 115:14
124:22,23 152:16	286:21	deliveries 30:5	123:2 127:1 157:18
152:18,20 245:4	deconstruction	delivering 110:21	164:18 184:15,17
295:12	182:3,14 291:2	delivery 107:11	317:11
dealt 146:25	decrease 14:8	108:25	depended 81:12
dean 32:4	dedicated 96:6	demand 76:3	dependent 238:22
dear 173:14,14,14	148:14	demarcate 173:4	depending 173:7
death 3:13 233:11	deep 241:20	demolish 177:11	326:2
281:17	deeply 4:10 249:2	demolished 178:13	depicted 257:19
	265:10	180:14 284:4,5	

depiction 217:16	detroit 113:17,18	develops 207:11	191:3 202:4 203:1,2
depth 69:6	145:6,7	deviate 301:14	203:25 204:11
deputy 130:1 265:16	devastates 30:7	deviations 212:25	205:2,17 208:18
266:22 325:10	devastating 264:23	dhec 164:19	212:17 218:18
descendant 160:16	develop 23:2 31:7	diagnose 163:14	219:11,20,21
describe 97:15	38:21 44:13 62:9	dialogue 165:16	223:14 224:11
107:3 284:14	94:9 135:8,11	302:25	226:8 238:20
described 78:10	201:16 202:15	diane 120:20 189:5	243:12 250:13
88:22 322:3	203:11 234:3	189:6,6,22 192:11	252:10 254:15
describes 210:4	285:19,25 301:11	198:15	257:19 258:16
descriptions 104:22	303:9	die 163:5,6	270:21 272:14
desert 230:4	developed 15:6 81:6	died 138:2 159:15	273:8,20 275:15
deserve 161:16	201:5 203:7,25	159:18 160:10	284:12 297:20
193:16 255:22	223:20 231:24	163:19 168:6,16	299:16,22 301:3
307:18	235:19 286:12,25	173:16	302:20 315:23
deserving 202:8,20	developer 10:25,25	diego 21:22	differently 55:24
design 13:25 44:22	developers 18:10	diesel 30:5 311:24	80:17 87:4 213:24
57:17 88:6 283:5	250:17 271:8	difference 45:15	262:8
designed 236:17	275:11 277:7	62:22 63:12 81:19	difficult 123:6,7
277:2	developing 76:7	82:10 85:13 94:14	125:24 128:22
designing 14:23	88:5 178:10 201:20	110:2 196:20 213:2	145:1 151:18
desisting 328:23	225:20 236:9	215:7 286:24	178:24 217:9 259:7
despair 94:16	254:24 256:9	291:17 307:4	difficulty 300:21
desperate 166:4	development 2:21	311:25	dignity 77:9
desperately 251:3	9:8 11:1,5 12:18	differences 14:25	dilapidated 281:22
despite 268:7	15:19 16:23,25	52:23 97:14 219:23	diligently 46:14
destination 165:21	24:22 28:11 31:14	different 30:21,22	diminishing 124:13
destroy 183:19	41:15 51:23 53:11	30:22 35:11,12,12	diminution 319:14
detail 201:4 202:3	61:25 116:1 119:8	36:11 41:18 42:11	direct 98:1 160:16
210:4 272:9	177:5,6 181:5	42:19 44:18 45:22	188:8 319:13
detailed 200:8	199:18 231:21	48:12 49:17 50:15	directed 26:10
213:25	254:21 262:4 264:9	50:17 51:5 52:22	directing 23:2
details 225:12 304:6	264:17 265:17,21	53:6,25 55:7,23	direction 53:25
317:22 318:7	266:23 269:18	58:2,5 60:4,10	95:10 226:19
detector 79:19	272:23 274:16	63:15 65:7,19 67:24	directions 46:9
determinants 206:2	275:22,24 276:10	69:19 87:20,24 88:1	directly 98:3 132:20
determination	276:10,12,20 277:5	88:7 90:12 95:22,24	134:15 195:16
185:1	277:12,20 278:23	96:7 101:25 106:11	196:22 197:6
determine 286:21	282:23 286:5,7,13	108:2,18 116:13,14	224:23 268:19
319:21	287:21 293:5	123:21 136:7,11,24	director 37:15 46:20
determined 42:10	295:17,18 300:2	150:13 154:16	56:13 78:24 103:22
42:14,17 166:10	301:22 302:5	155:5 162:18,19	111:23 130:1
168:20,24 175:16	309:10	177:22 178:23	157:10 199:18
177:3,21 179:20	developments 37:10	179:15 186:17	265:18 293:4 328:4
180:15	264:20	187:19 190:4 191:3	

[directs - dragged] Page 346

directs 32:7	disobedience 21:1	divide 170:24	dollar 134:24
dirty 14:18 220:17	disparate 22:17	214:21	dollars 244:24 280:7
242:3	25:5 26:1,23	divided 273:20	282:15
disabilities 327:6	disparities 108:12	division 105:12	domain 178:18
disability 25:11	137:16	106:2,3,9	domestic 73:9
265:7	dispersed 118:14	divisions 106:11,12	dominant 320:6
		· ·	dominic 173:16
disadvantage	disposal 289:9,14	dixon 158:11,13,14	
136:19	disproportionate	158:20	don 262:17 322:5
disagree 65:12	9:25 13:11 23:4	doctor 105:8 163:13	donald 2:3,25 3:4
151:2 312:18	39:20 203:13 206:3	doctor's 285:9	103:15,16 262:6
disagreement 65:13	252:5	doctors 77:3 285:5	323:4,15 325:2,21
76:22	disproportionately	document 155:15	326:17 327:14,20
disallowing 94:17	12:23 133:4 252:4	254:1,2	donation 4:8
discharge 40:9	disproven 11:17	documentaries	door 44:24 45:2
disciplinary 2:10	disregard 231:7	160:2	50:12 66:12 163:3
103:3 128:24	dissected 165:13	documentary 39:11	185:6,8 307:10
discipline 55:14	distance 210:19,22	documents 181:6	doors 44:25 170:12
86:3	210:23 211:15	240:22 243:19	187:14 289:13
disciplines 30:21	distinction 298:17	doers 130:21,22	doorstep 29:18
35:12 55:7,16,23	298:18	dogs 160:5 221:24	dot 248:22
58:17 69:19 154:16	distinguished 200:7	doing 6:1 9:14 17:10	double 29:7 230:24
discouraging 95:13	distort 226:11	33:14 34:11,24 44:1	234:3
discover 275:12	234:22	44:10 45:7 46:1	doubly 134:25
discovered 110:6	distress 114:16	48:19 50:19 52:10	doubt 54:8
discriminate 252:2	distressed 305:8,10	61:19 66:15 77:12	downloadable
discriminating 25:9	305:11 313:24	80:24 83:10 84:9	210:11
discrimination 13:3	314:10 315:2	85:12,23 90:12	downtown 199:23
23:7 26:20 179:24	distributed 126:9,12	93:13 94:16,20 98:9	326:22
239:5 321:4	227:10	98:21 99:20 101:15	downward 111:6
discriminatory 14:6	distribution 10:13	101:16,16,17	dozens 115:21,21
discuss 35:7	92:17 213:4 312:13	104:12,14 108:20	dr 2:9 33:25 36:14
discussed 149:19	distributional 14:25	118:4 123:19 127:7	38:6,7 39:3,3 40:6
226:3	15:15	128:13 147:4	43:16 56:11,19 57:4
discussing 318:6	district 40:8 88:4	161:16 166:1	70:8 86:19 88:11,21
discussion 2:48:2	89:15,15 243:10	167:24 191:16	91:18 92:22 94:6
14:3 31:19 104:20	252:2 273:19 297:7	217:18 221:18	96:1,12 99:14,23
143:17 309:6	297:9,15 318:2	223:7 228:16	105:10,11,13,16
discussions 103:7	320:16	235:22,25 236:13	111:16 123:1,4
233:19 269:13	districts 273:21	270:6,19 272:20,22	125:6 180:18 200:9
disease 55:12 78:9	298:7	272:25 273:15,15	200:10
disenfranchised	diverged 303:3	288:15 295:9	draft 271:21,25
124:1,2,12	diverse 48:12	305:22 313:4 314:8	drag 182:19
disgusting 242:4	298:25	317:6 318:3,21	dragged 173:25
disinvestment 25:17	diversity 53:4 64:11	321:14 327:23	174:2
	64:12,22		
	··-=,==		

[drain - elements] Page 347

drain 246:17	e	economically 77:25	194:22 202:2
drainage 30:10		274:24	270:25 313:8
drains 246:16,21	e 2:1 224:23	economics 71:23	efforts 26:10,12
dramatically 57:8	eager 4:18	108:12	59:3 64:20 202:9
178:23 228:19	ear 16:24 305:18	economy 251:17	egregious 158:24
draw 210:18	308:24	267:24 306:8,20	eight 92:4,5 113:11
drawing 36:11	earlier 113:4 117:14	ecosystem 279:24	113:13 151:10
drawn 271:3	125:20 156:7 279:7	280:8,9	163:22 165:25
dream 228:5 235:13	282:21 315:22	eddystone 29:25	169:21,22 306:17
dreams 3:14	327:21	74:25	eighty 306:17 307:3
drexel 6:21 8:10	early 22:14 179:11	edgar 263:25	eileen 2:18 187:3,3
123:3 189:8 324:3	267:7,15 308:10	edge 160:19 192:2	199:13,14 200:13
324:11,22	earn 146:14	230:24 234:3	218:12 221:9
drill 241:20	ears 48:17	edges 288:2	254:15 257:21
drilling 202:20	earth 37:16 191:22	edited 263:2	325:4
drinker 4:4	earthjustice 194:20	educate 46:14 50:1	eiseman 327:7
drinker 4.4 drinking 168:25	easier 90:2	53:22 164:11	either 10:18 19:20
278:11	easily 11:2 213:3,3	educated 5:22 101:3	59:17 74:19.19
drinks 323:17	east 5:1 21:22 116:3	101:5	133:5 143:19
drive 10:19 230:14	144:21 171:6	educating 51:19	146:10 163:18
278:3 284:14	175:24 176:3,10	101:17	208:20 209:13
285:23 297:24	177:6,8 178:1,8	education 32:7	284:19 323:16
309:18	179:10 181:3	71:24 89:8 109:3	ej 18:2 21:2 22:14
driven 133:16	208:16 214:9,11	154:18 185:1,12,16	23:14,16,21 24:23
181:16 282:24	241:5 275:21	190:14	26:11 27:5,12,18
drives 135:5 137:8	278:23 282:19	educational 303:15	28:5,21 144:4
driving 134:4,18	283:2 284:7 286:5,6	efacts 83:6	152:14 169:3 202:1
drove 131:25	286:13	effect 14:6 16:8 25:9	202:6 203:24 220:8
drug 92:10	eastern 214:13	72:10 80:2 87:20	226:11 235:12
drugstores 139:8	216:11	89:7,8 115:4 206:7	264:24 316:12,16
172:18	easy 90:14 94:2	252:4 260:19 307:1	ejseat 201:18 212:25
dry 172:18	307:20 312:4,4	effective 88:2	213:21 218:10
due 96:10 272:17	eat 174:1 302:1	121:23 239:16	219:2,5,13 220:2,5
dumped 183:3	ebdi 286:6 287:3	257:2 318:8	235:7
dumps 172:24	ec 264:25	effectively 257:1	elder 114:24
306:20	economic 2:21	305:14	elderly 100:11 215:8
dumpsters 100:10	10:23 11:8 16:9,20	effects 20:9 22:9	elders 3:23
dust 180:12 181:10	16:21 22:6 31:13	23:5 76:17 120:23	elected 165:23
285:1,21 289:19,24	61:25 64:16 68:22	216:9	169:10 195:22
290:2,12	78:12 89:21 124:3	efficiency 290:10	electrical 143:3
dying 92:14 165:19	262:4 263:23 264:9	306:24	electronic 109:19
dynamic 60:21 64:9	264:17 265:17	efficiently 305:14	element 284:7
dynamics 57:8	266:23 296:12	effluent 127:14	elements 203:2
91:19	300:2 301:8,22	effort 36:5 38:18,21	215:5 235:12
	302:4	56:22 65:16 84:22	

[elevated - epa] Page 348

		T .	I
elevated 119:13	enforcement 9:9	ensuring 304:14	111:22 112:24
281:13 285:20	12:18 13:19 26:4,10	enter 190:2 281:4	113:1,8,9 122:6
elite 16:21	133:6 223:9,18,20	290:13	123:2,16 124:17
ellicott 158:8 171:11	227:17 234:1	entire 81:23 174:15	125:4,7 126:15
171:12,15,16	engage 30:23 35:8	213:5 328:5	130:2,5 131:8 133:9
eloquently 255:14	35:17,24 36:25 45:9	entirely 282:25	133:14 135:10
else's 184:10	46:13 47:5 48:22	entirety 317:23	141:18 152:21
embarked 256:9	139:18 141:17	entities 280:12	155:6,21 157:9,19
embedded 113:13	151:7 152:25 164:2	entrepreneurs	157:20 160:15
emergency 107:17	314:20	296:20	161:14 164:19,20
118:20 165:14	engaged 35:18	entry 288:19	164:21,24 165:2
299:7 327:24	45:25 48:14 49:4,20	environment 23:8	166:9 169:12,14
eminent 104:23	91:8 142:9 149:24	76:18 94:4 108:2,7	173:15 182:18
178:17	194:16,19 210:6	124:5,7,8 133:13	183:7 184:16,17,22
emission 86:9	295:16 302:17	141:21 145:13	186:3,24 187:2,4
emissions 183:18	engagement 2:7	151:16 192:18	188:12,13 189:7,11
emitting 82:14	11:4 24:10 33:3	263:21 278:7 306:2	189:14 191:24
emphasis 108:23	34:16 35:6 43:2,20	306:10 307:6,9,14	192:21 194:3,5,20
empirical 223:6	53:18 90:13,25	307:19 324:15	197:18 200:10
233:12 257:7	148:17 215:13,20	environmental 2:5	201:3,4,8 202:17
258:13 259:6	314:19	3:19 6:17,25 8:3,14	203:6 204:14
empower 73:21	engaging 49:12	9:1,3,5,9,16,25	206:22 209:10
75:24 77:24	133:11 141:4	10:10,13 11:16	211:1 217:14 218:9
empowered 100:15	147:22 150:22	12:10,17,19 13:9,15	219:1,17 222:8,23
100:21	engineer 310:5	13:20,25 15:3,20	223:18,25 225:24
empowering 51:20	engines 183:3	17:6,25 18:5,8 19:3	227:14 229:16
51:20 54:2	311:23	20:20 23:3,5,19,21	231:6,16 233:25
empowerment	english 18:19	24:2,12,20 25:16,22	235:23 236:11
51:18	298:24	26:5 27:3,5,11,17	252:12 255:6
empty 31:16 247:21	enhance 84:15	28:25 29:3 30:19	263:22 264:3,9
enabled 317:14	309:9,13 314:13	31:2 32:8,10 33:12	275:25 277:17
encounter 135:25	enhanced 23:22	33:22 34:2,11,23	278:4 292:21
encourage 100:20	enjoy 31:5 102:12	35:8,20 36:9 37:23	315:14,18 316:15
152:1,5 225:13	enlighten 320:9,10	37:25 38:9,10,25	324:17 325:7
316:25	enlightening 319:3	39:8 40:23 41:2	environmentalists
encouraging 49:20	enlisted 40:8	43:16 46:2,20,22	307:8
endeavor 70:12	enormous 63:13	48:9 51:9,24 55:17	environmentally
112:18	156:17 180:11,23	55:22 56:14,21	40:18 98:5,5 265:21
ended 169:17 325:3	182:25 272:12	61:25 67:6 70:15,16	293:11
energy 306:24	297:2	70:18,25 73:18	environments 109:3
enforce 25:3	enormously 326:6	74:24 80:15 83:8	epa 99:19 100:6,15
enforceable 24:11	enroll 40:10	86:4,14,16,21 87:7	120:5,8 157:24
enforced 13:22	ensure 24:18 27:12	87:23 89:19 91:20	163:25 164:9
132:18	28:18 283:12	92:11,14 97:24	184:18 194:5,6
		100:17 105:5	201:19,20 203:8

[epa - experiences] Page 349

205.0 210.10	esteemed 54:19	223:22	arramet 26,10
205:8 218:10	esteemed 54:19 estimate 243:11		exempt 26:19
221:13 224:22		exaggerating 79:13	exercise 20:8 42:2
233:23 235:4	et 11:9 114:17	81:2	42:12,14
epa's 26:21 263:2	172:18	examination 254:1	exhaust 311:24
epicenter 282:1	ethnic 124:4	examine 253:22	exhibit 259:13
epidemic 279:1	ethnicity 173:10	example 23:18	exist 49:1 124:14
epidemiologic	175:10	24:15 63:4 67:13	126:17,22 133:7
141:16	euclid 279:11,11	68:21 96:13 97:22	270:20,23 314:22
epidemiologists	european 129:5	108:19 109:24	existed 164:10
104:9	evaluate 53:23	122:22 129:6 132:8	existence 71:4
epidemiology 55:18	57:21 180:18	139:25 147:23	existing 13:19,21
127:6	181:17	205:11 206:19	26:21 27:24 31:13
equal 22:17 57:7	evaluated 290:22	226:14 229:24	76:14 211:11,11
222:14 321:2,3	evaluation 38:12	231:4 232:2,5	264:5 309:14
equality 64:8 160:17	evaluations 323:20	234:15,16 246:24	exists 32:10 73:17
equally 126:9,11	323:21	272:12 316:24	91:20
250:13 292:23	evaluator 292:3	examples 61:14	exit 174:9,9,10
equitable 56:24	event 17:22 112:4	97:11,12,20 155:7	216:15
283:9 305:7,9	166:14,21 199:24	161:20,20 188:6	expand 13:8 142:25
312:12	events 30:13	238:25 239:15	152:19 180:5
equitably 305:13	eventually 74:9,18	240:1 264:12 317:3	186:19
equity 38:2 42:21,25	101:23 184:24	excellence 5:3 327:6	expanded 28:16
44:14 52:7 87:10	everybody 14:14	excellent 327:8	expanding 150:11
101:4 324:19	15:8 32:2 62:23	exception 5:7	176:25,25,25
equivalent 164:7	77:13,14 94:13,14	324:24	expansion 149:6
era 166:18	94:15 103:14,18	excited 74:4	152:18 276:18
eradicate 66:25	112:3 118:11 170:9	excitement 4:12	expect 57:9 63:2
erickson 78:24	186:18 199:10	exciting 293:21	76:20 274:13
79:10	241:15 265:25	294:11 309:5	expectancy 74:4
err 129:8	277:6,14 304:19	312:19,19 313:8	expectation 67:16
errors 207:21	323:11	315:11	expectations 61:21
226:16	everybody's 141:14	exclude 231:24	62:21
escape 183:20	141:15	240:17	expected 102:5
escaping 301:8	everyone's 319:20	excluded 222:11	expel 173:2
especially 52:6	evicted 177:14	exclusion 222:6	expense 177:25
90:15 191:1 198:3	evidence 131:10	exclusionary 231:14	expensive 286:24
205:9 319:9	139:14 150:10	exclusions 304:6	experience 101:2
esplanades 175:1	152:13 153:1	excuse 14:4 158:16	130:13 144:2,3
essentially 242:20	256:19 257:2 259:1	213:14 218:2	169:24 170:5
260:7	259:7	excuses 243:17	174:23 190:22
establish 43:25	exacerbating 14:24	executive 23:1,2,11	191:10 193:14
239:7	314:24	24:14 38:11 78:24	198:5
establishing 318:1	exactly 3:17 60:18	103:22 157:10	experienced 171:20
estate 277:8	101:16 171:12	265:18 328:4	experiences 30:22
	196:1 222:14 223:6		36:24 38:24 111:24

[experiences - feel] Page 350

			1
123:10	external 126:15	facility 14:8,18,20	family 73:10 107:10
experiencing 190:20	148:5	16:6 29:9,15 72:9	108:6 119:1 132:24
258:6	externalizing 15:7	72:12 76:12 82:11	158:8 168:17
experiment 143:13	extra 212:13	82:22	171:10,17 237:25
experimental 57:14	extraordinaire	facing 3:13 139:20	250:2 283:7
57:17	173:23,24	fact 15:5 68:25 78:9	famous 33:20
expert 104:23	extraordinarily	86:8 93:6 104:7	fan 176:1
180:16 252:7	136:5 191:13	110:3 115:10 124:4	fantastic 61:7
259:16,20	extraordinary	127:14,15 131:12	112:15 114:23
expertise 5:4,9 64:1	166:12 178:4,15	132:15 146:22	117:15 246:24
64:2 71:1 73:19	179:22 180:2,8	151:12 211:22	250:18 251:24
74:14 75:3,16 76:19	181:15 185:15,16	213:20 238:19	far 12:12 28:5
84:23 96:16	185:22,22 187:4	260:10,11 264:24	132:18,23 134:20
experts 11:8 35:11	192:7 194:22	266:12 277:2,25	159:17 206:20
45:15 49:8,9 180:17	238:21 239:22	279:9 301:18	253:7 274:21
180:17 287:2,9	275:10 278:16	306:23 314:24	farfel 179:4
explain 53:22 195:9	280:13 284:10	factions 186:17	fascinating 282:11
227:15,21 259:21	285:3,17	factor 64:3	fashion 209:5
295:17	extrapolate 278:23	factored 66:19	fatalities 216:9
explained 228:6	extremely 42:17	181:19	father 39:4 163:5
explanatory 186:14	43:21 47:11 252:6	factors 278:3	favorable 101:7
209:25	eye 156:17,17	facts 309:16 311:4	favorite 304:4
explode 291:1	196:23	314:18	fears 292:1
exploitive 116:11	eyes 48:17	factual 9:16	feasible 48:20
chiproret C 110.11	- Cy CB IO.17		
explore 30:20	eyesore 299:12	faculty 146:6 149:23	federal 22:24 23:7
_	•		federal 22:24 23:7 23:12,13,17 24:11
explore 30:20	eyesore 299:12 f	faculty 146:6 149:23	
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14	23:12,13,17 24:11
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12	eyesore 299:12 f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14 173:1 279:16,20	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2 114:8,11 116:15,20	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23 fall 230:12 233:10	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22 feedback 327:8
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14 173:1 279:16,20 280:21	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2 114:8,11 116:15,20 163:1 164:6 183:12	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23 fall 230:12 233:10 318:11	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22 feedback 327:8 feel 42:25 60:1 66:3
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14 173:1 279:16,20 280:21 extensive 104:21	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2 114:8,11 116:15,20 163:1 164:6 183:12 183:15 205:12,13	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23 fall 230:12 233:10 318:11 familiar 148:13	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22 feedback 327:8 feel 42:25 60:1 66:3 73:24 75:11 77:12
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14 173:1 279:16,20 280:21 extensive 104:21 290:24	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2 114:8,11 116:15,20 163:1 164:6 183:12	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23 fall 230:12 233:10 318:11 familiar 148:13 162:9 208:2 228:12	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22 feedback 327:8 feel 42:25 60:1 66:3 73:24 75:11 77:12 88:24 91:11 94:12
explore 30:20 exporting 15:7,13 expose 193:7 exposed 29:2 128:10 132:19 140:6 205:4 exposure 140:24 179:7 205:23 206:3 213:14,19 214:12 exposures 124:18 127:16 128:11 214:3 expression 315:9 expressly 175:8,11 expulsive 172:14 173:1 279:16,20 280:21 extensive 104:21 290:24 extent 10:3 11:6	f fabric 29:16 fabulous 95:9 324:25 face 18:7 90:17,24 300:11 faced 29:5 faces 263:2 facially 25:6 facilitate 164:17 facilities 14:10 21:7 22:3 40:19 71:20 75:9 80:3,8 82:8,13 83:5 88:24 89:2 114:8,11 116:15,20 163:1 164:6 183:12 183:15 205:12,13	faculty 146:6 149:23 failed 89:14 failure 67:14 245:5 failures 61:4,5,10,13 95:3 fair 9:5,6 19:20 20:2 59:24 161:7 175:8 196:12,14 239:3,4 279:18 fairly 216:5 243:10 282:11 295:19 298:2,5,22 299:4 faith 40:11,12 170:16 288:23 fall 230:12 233:10 318:11 familiar 148:13 162:9 208:2 228:12 families 46:2 111:3	23:12,13,17 24:11 24:17 25:8 26:4,10 26:17 27:3,16 117:23 118:6 119:11 164:11 165:15 167:19 169:13,19 187:23 188:3,7 192:21 193:25 195:11,23 250:21 280:12 316:11 321:15 federally 27:6 107:22 feedback 327:8 feel 42:25 60:1 66:3 73:24 75:11 77:12 88:24 91:11 94:12 94:21 97:16 128:4

[feel - font] Page 351

216:4 217:13	figuring 98:13 137:8	firehoses 160:6	flipped 79:16
272:16 274:17	file 300:16	firm 4:2 38:13	flipping 247:14
307:10 328:12	fill 44:5 76:8	200:19 252:15	flooded 251:3
feeling 11:15 121:18	filled 139:2,9 309:4	323:25 324:4	flooding 30:7
219:7	film 9:21 262:19,19	firms 4:9	floodplains 253:17
feels 265:10 276:6	263:1,10	first 5:11,16 6:12,12	floor 285:21
feet 311:10	filter 229:22 310:13	10:13,15 11:24	flora 328:11
fellow 324:5	310:15,16 311:7	31:21,22 35:14 37:2	florida 121:22 168:9
felt 50:6 52:13 63:6	filthy 242:3	37:24 40:15 42:22	327:7
120:23 179:11	final 102:1 129:24	55:1,4 56:12 61:15	flowers 168:18
272:15,21	252:9 271:25	70:8,24 90:9 109:20	flush 77:14
fema 117:18,24	finally 11:13 15:16	115:18 119:22	fly 162:10
119:17	23:10 39:2 68:10	125:5 126:1 148:10	focus 26:9 36:6 56:2
fence 163:4	92:13 120:12	172:10 178:13	75:14 86:3 97:22,25
fences 289:23	165:16 200:16	179:5 196:18 200:9	108:5,6 109:22
fencing 288:18	205:1 206:4 216:1	200:24 201:7 203:5	111:1 142:23
fetid 183:21	216:16 219:19	205:18 209:21,21	203:24 233:23
fiction 176:2	238:1 251:16	221:9 227:5 238:5,6	286:7
field 34:3 57:7 74:14	287:17 294:13	239:24,24 242:11	focused 26:6 37:17
104:23 151:2,3	328:4	244:2 248:12	105:25 212:14
173:16 286:20	finance 277:8	249:25 270:17	230:10,17
fields 2:12 111:22	financial 166:4	273:6,17 274:2	fold 318:9
112:1,13 122:10	find 19:7 53:24	276:2 279:8 280:2	folded 227:25
138:7 145:4 324:20	54:22 74:2,15 82:11	283:14 294:24	folk 258:3 321:1,8
fifth 294:3	87:4 100:16 119:13	295:14 306:6 308:5	folks 22:10 69:5,23
fight 30:19 71:23	138:3 145:21 166:5	308:7 312:18	73:6 77:16 98:4
73:22 77:5 81:4	167:18 172:1	317:21	121:12 125:2,16
99:24 117:23	277:18 304:6	firsthand 81:13	147:2 151:9,20
125:21 159:23	307:24,25 310:25	fit 125:23	152:10 168:11
161:6 186:24	finding 20:3 137:21	fitting 6:9 238:9	173:3 188:9 195:9
251:20	304:7	five 46:7 55:16	223:1 225:17
fighting 74:24 118:6	findings 141:11	65:20 67:3 104:16	235:22 236:15
249:1 277:18	142:17 184:21	107:19,21 108:2	241:24 248:15
fights 259:3	252:8	109:13 122:11	250:25 251:1
figure 9:14 36:15	finds 15:12	212:22 213:19	252:24 253:7
53:25 87:25 96:5	fine 65:5 175:18	217:4 262:12 271:5	288:15 307:22
98:10 132:13 174:8	251:11	271:17 298:24	320:21
180:19 181:13	finger 59:9 156:11	fix 59:12,22 186:11	follow 3:14 26:14
184:8 191:8,22	finish 302:10	fizzle 74:8,9,10	75:23 213:5
192:10 240:17	finished 80:13	flash 21:16	followed 38:6 156:9
269:5 321:7 326:6	190:10	flawed 135:3,4	279:14,18
figured 8:21 147:8	finite 91:12	flexibility 53:11	following 6:17
328:15	fire 65:3 183:2	flexible 53:14	follows 214:15
figures 306:13	fired 83:2 114:6	flip 220:9 247:1,10	font 37:14 326:25

[food - future] Page 352

		1	
food 8:17,25 13:17	formerly 265:17	157:9 200:18	137:16 166:24
93:12,13,15 117:4	325:14	founders 101:14	170:23 186:16
253:9 303:14	forms 214:17	four 31:17 82:7 84:4	′
317:24 318:5	323:19	105:1 179:18	250:6 251:15
foods 20:4,7	formulate 111:8	180:17 205:16	271:21,24 293:7,15
foot 171:15,22	formulating 286:15	216:24 217:5	frosch 223:1
football 84:11	forth 56:20 139:8	240:11,12 270:25	frustrated 62:19
footing 222:14	146:2,3 205:14	271:11 273:18,22	frustration 67:17
footsteps 156:10	207:4 208:13 220:9	274:4 285:11	233:17
forbidden 175:9,11	225:1 226:4,23	287:15 289:5	fulcrum 304:10
force 37:16 45:23	228:1 272:19	298:11	full 28:1 49:5
49:5 235:8,9	fortunate 43:10	fourth 263:16 301:8	220:25 272:4 291:9
forced 283:8	fortunately 119:15	fracking 29:11,12	fully 284:2
forces 77:8 177:4	145:17	frail 160:4	fun 237:23 302:25
296:7	fortune 189:19	frame 160:20	function 296:24
forcing 84:10	forty 118:13	framework 13:7	functioning 80:11
ford 114:7,12	forward 59:4 68:5	17:25 22:12 24:16	328:13
155:20 166:7	96:6 99:11 106:8	26:16	functions 297:23
167:10,23	107:4 150:2 162:1	frank 2:13 123:1,4	fund 153:7 279:23
foreclosure 281:20	164:4 223:2 251:21	123:11 146:12	fundamental 276:14
foreign 298:22	261:1 282:22	324:22	276:21 277:5 278:7
forest 163:16 168:8	fostering 111:7	frankly 86:15	278:11 279:13
168:9 280:11 281:1	fought 78:21 170:13	fray 201:24	303:18
forethought 58:22	found 18:21 20:19	fred 159:18 160:10	funded 27:7 106:25
forever 320:22	21:5,14,19 22:16	free 52:11 159:3	111:6
forget 118:8	54:6 69:6 90:25	188:21 328:23	funders 66:11
forgot 117:13	117:13 140:5,24	freedom 40:22	187:15
forgotten 115:7	146:25 163:17	159:10	funding 46:9 58:23
187:8	168:23 187:19	freeway 208:4	302:16 306:21
form 62:7 98:9	213:1 226:5 227:6	frequent 89:10	fundraiser 326:19
163:20 172:8	228:13 234:16	frequently 109:25	funds 25:8 27:16
202:23 303:17	243:22 251:5	164:24 165:1	75:3
formaldehyde	284:10 286:23	168:21	funeral 168:10,19
117:18,25 118:2,18	302:22 303:1 307:6	fresh 317:24 318:5	funeralize 168:16
118:19,25 119:7,14	foundation 155:20	fresher 13:16	funerals 167:6,7,7
120:3,16,19,22	166:8,21 167:10,11	friday 37:7	168:3,15,21
121:5,17 122:1	167:23 177:4,20	friend 173:14	further 24:6 31:20
138:13,16,19 139:3	180:7 279:23 280:6	friendly 113:24	32:14 200:22
format 36:17	282:14 284:21	friends 154:11,25	263:10
formative 237:18	286:4,18	158:5 159:5 237:14	furthermore 251:9
formed 25:20 88:8	foundations 155:19	237:19	future 34:25 261:2
109:12 224:4	founded 40:12	friggin 174:20	271:14 303:19
former 37:15 293:3	113:1	frightening 183:14	
326:19	founder 38:1,7	front 65:10 66:19	
	40:14 78:16 131:7	79:16 95:23 110:21	

[gallon - going] Page 353

~	geographically	194:24 195:10	225:12 232:16
g	202:10 295:1	212:21 219:7	233:1 235:15
gallon 162:23	geography 4:24	221:10 226:24,25	236:20 240:21
gallons 29:12 183:4	212:2 220:16	229:3 234:15	242:20,23 243:18
183:21 184:9	geologists 104:9	239:15 240:1	244:3 246:8 247:5,6
gambling 29:22	0 0	253:19 255:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
game 218:8	george 40:22		247:10,15 248:13
gap 115:9	georges 281:21	293:17 295:8	249:14 251:20
gaps 44:5	georgetown 200:20	302:13,24 307:22	254:3 260:11,14,14
gardens 313:17,21	germane 266:7,20	308:25	260:15 262:12,13
garrison 184:1	germantown 237:14	given 56:19 85:11	267:4 269:2 270:6
gather 110:8	237:19	170:3 183:8	270:18 274:20
gauna 2:18 187:3,5	gerrymandering	gives 24:2	277:3 284:15 285:8
200:13,14 221:9	240:7	giving 18:15 36:19	285:9 295:22
257:21	gestational 215:12	96:16 178:11 266:6	300:15,17 303:17
geffen 327:5	getting 18:13 20:12	glad 37:4 41:16	304:21 305:4,12
geisinger 2:5 8:5,9	20:13 22:7 30:1	glasses 37:12 302:24	308:2 309:17 311:2
8:10 324:11	52:11 80:12 81:5	global 14:3,23 15:5	311:3 315:18
gem 327:5	90:25 98:11 99:24	15:8	326:21 328:7
gender 108:12 110:1	118:15 122:24	gloves 79:5	goad 321:13
general 12:16 16:9	124:11 139:15	gm 114:8	goal 62:9,18 203:10
26:5 58:3 67:7	145:25 149:25	go 3:9 4:22,24 5:12	255:7,12 271:24
105:12 106:4 107:5	191:18 237:3 259:1	6:14 8:24 12:1	304:16
107:8 109:1 121:1	270:24 323:16	14:12 16:14 20:7,8	goals 66:17,24 67:11
123:18 220:17	327:9	24:6 42:8,22 45:2	67:16 68:10 91:14
268:13 269:17,24	ghetto 251:7	47:4 53:12,13 54:1	91:15 147:3 219:10
302:3	giant 34:10 173:15	54:21 55:2 58:20	god 79:1 115:16
generally 9:24 55:6	183:1 184:22	61:7,11 62:22 63:8	171:13
61:20 98:20 124:1	giants 33:21,23	65:1 66:8 69:25	god's 71:6 174:24
129:14 201:3 212:3	gifted 163:10	73:23 74:22 75:18	175:2 328:22
234:18 270:23	gillette 117:17	80:21 82:21 84:4	godfather 34:2
272:17	girls 84:12 109:24	86:1 87:15 93:23	godfathers 32:9
generated 21:11	110:3	99:13 104:11,12,20	goes 5:6 11:21 15:17
214:10	gis 209:9	106:7 107:4 114:12	39:19,20 88:12
generates 77:13	give 8:13,22 17:10	114:13 121:10	136:8 141:10 144:6
generation 170:17	17:24 18:15 34:3	129:12 131:11	240:3,3,8 241:9
271:15 320:1,2	36:18 39:5 51:16	139:4 146:23	247:4 267:20
	61:14 63:1 64:4,6	147:25 148:10	281:20 284:9 301:5
generational 267:3	66:22 77:8 80:5	149:9 158:19 162:1	313:23
generations 156:23	84:3 87:9 96:19	167:8 177:22	going 3:6 4:8,17
gentleman 40:21	97:11,18 104:16,21	178:19,20 189:5,19	6:19 9:23 10:5,6
geographic 207:8	105:24 106:9 112:9	189:19 191:15	11:1,2,3 14:15 17:1
210:21 319:25	130:12 142:15	194:10 195:4 196:6	17:4,8,9 18:9 31:18
320:16	143:20 151:24	196:13 197:2	31:20 33:16 35:16
geographical 70:19	155:7 157:11,14,17	199:11 201:1	37:12 39:2 40:3
	170:4 192:9 194:5	216:19 224:21	42:3,5,13 43:25
	170.1172.7171.3	210.17 22 1.21	12.5,5,15 15.25

[going - group] Page 354

48:17,20,21 53:13	321:13 324:1,9	307:24 309:8	182:15 196:5
54:21 57:1,2,15	326:21 327:9	governmental 25:6	213:14 224:16
58:23,24 59:3 60:18	golan 3:24	125:11 225:21	306:5 313:20 328:6
60:19,20,25 61:3,4	goldfarb 1:20	239:8 252:22	greater 159:17
61:11,12 62:4,5,7,8	good 8:7,8 9:23	275:12	208:1 214:10 225:9
62:24,25 63:1 65:11	17:13 41:8,8 50:8	governments 88:23	249:17
66:5,11,25 67:2,2,3	51:17 54:16,17	277:7	greatest 191:12
67:4,5,7,9,13 68:4,5	60:13 66:2 89:7	grab 8:25	197:7
72:1,20 73:15 74:19	90:21 96:8 97:19	graduate 40:11	greed 125:14
76:12,24 79:7,23	99:7,13 112:3 116:9	189:19 190:10,13	green 77:18,18
85:20 86:22 93:25	120:9 138:1 144:9	237:13	211:19 213:15,15
94:24 97:5 98:12	145:4,9 147:2	graduates 237:15	222:22 246:7,11
99:11 103:17,22	148:23 151:13	graffiti 300:8	313:8,12 314:9,9
104:21 105:16	152:11 154:25	grandma 130:21	greenberger 2:22
111:24 116:6	189:18 200:25	grandmother 137:6	265:15,24 270:9,13
119:20 122:25	206:7,8 213:16	grandparents	275:4 316:17 317:9
141:24,25 148:2,23	215:3 217:15 222:9	328:12	325:10
148:25 149:5 151:5	223:1 224:8,11	grant 63:20 93:22	greener 313:15
151:23 153:14	225:19 229:3 235:8	100:6,14 166:22	greenmount 176:14
154:24 162:13	236:4,15 237:9	317:11,14	greenville 162:8,10
164:16 165:3,20	241:20 248:10	granted 28:17 120:5	greetings 157:17
167:6 168:2 173:20	256:5 263:18	grants 62:8 223:10	grew 40:1
174:21 176:12	265:24 268:23,23	227:10 286:18	groceries 87:15
178:5 182:13,19	269:14 270:14	granular 204:18	grocery 20:1 117:5
187:2 188:7 192:6	277:13 288:13	granularity 212:7	139:7
194:12 195:21	301:19 302:18	graph 39:17	gross 61:20 62:20
196:17,23 198:2	303:12 313:4,5	grass 77:18	69:11
200:3 208:21 210:1	315:13 318:24	grassroot 75:2	grossly 60:1
212:3 218:12	328:18	grassroots 22:25	ground 9:15 43:7
223:14,23 225:12	goodman 199:17	42:11,20 50:18 74:3	71:17 93:3 139:16
229:4 234:11	326:18	166:9 263:3	204:5 227:22
236:20 245:9 247:1	goods 296:21	grateful 206:16	228:11 230:19
247:4,5 248:3,10	goofy 302:24	gratitude 32:12	254:25 297:11
250:10,23,24 251:5	google 191:21,21	gray 208:9,11	313:12
252:5 257:5,10	gotten 174:11	great 3:7 15:14	groundbreaking
260:9 262:12 272:6	governance 49:19	32:12 34:5 41:21	25:21 34:7,17 179:6
273:10 274:13,18	government 37:10	54:6 58:12,17 59:4	grounded 10:4
274:25 275:10,18	42:16 48:7,8 50:5,7	59:19 67:21 69:7,18	22:18
275:18,20 282:13	50:23,24 117:24	70:10,12 73:24	grounding 10:5
286:23 288:13	118:6 119:11,16	106:23 112:13	12:20
291:24 293:2,21	164:12,12 167:19	122:22 125:1 135:2	groundwater
294:22 302:23	192:22 195:11,23	137:6 144:14 145:6	258:10
307:25 308:1,13	197:24,25 257:22	146:5 149:20	group 5:23 23:12
310:2,20,21,24	267:10 269:18	159:15 171:13	48:13 52:1,12 54:9
312:18 315:23	274:16 292:2 297:9	175:21 176:13	57:16 62:16 67:14

[group - health] Page 355

67:18 68:22 88:4,13	260:21 262:17	191:21 196:3,19	harvard 149:4
110:9,16 147:19	263:9,15	230:18 257:18	hat 239:17
148:7 166:24 210:6	hair 131:20 138:14	267:11 276:24	hats 90:12
214:23 224:4,5,11	139:2 302:2	288:25 294:9,11,15	haul 186:10
224:19 225:10,17	haitian 299:19	296:8 304:7 319:2	hauled 242:1
226:19 236:25	half 23:25 65:25	happens 11:25	haven 149:6
258:4 265:6 269:8	117:1 162:11	14:17 46:8 47:3	hazard 201:21
271:10 281:18	244:23 253:25	144:2 152:14	211:15 213:12
306:5 311:17	317:16	175:12 247:7,11,25	hazardous 21:7,10
groups 63:19 64:5	halfway 165:7	267:17	127:10 164:9
64:12,19 65:4,19	hall 297:12 300:16	happily 269:21	165:10 194:11
68:18 111:10	hammer 86:5	happy 11:18 32:11	hazards 206:23
126:21 128:10	321:17,18,19	48:6 67:25 118:11	209:22 210:14,15
148:15 212:22	hampton 92:21	154:5 157:15 184:3	210:21 212:12
214:22 215:7 286:7	hand 52:20 96:19	221:3 222:13	283:23
299:16 301:3	110:13 207:22	316:20	he'll 305:19
grove 239:20	296:4	hard 12:13 26:19	head 83:17 99:19
grow 166:8 177:24	handed 224:19,20	60:17 68:16,17,18	272:7 308:12
177:25 274:24	handful 222:22	68:19 81:21 98:16	headache 76:7
grows 312:22	handle 286:10	112:16 117:19,23	headed 199:23
growth 309:12	handlers 151:23	121:15 124:6	heading 159:10
312:22 314:14	hands 31:10 112:12	126:25 176:20	305:6
grumbling 52:17	131:2,12,17 150:7	185:9 191:1 259:9	heads 150:13 215:2
guana 325:4	279:3	270:21 277:21	health 18:11,17,18
guaranteed 89:12	hang 12:14 247:6	307:21 319:20	19:20,23 20:9,18
89:13	hanyok 326:10	323:5	22:9 23:4,8 29:3,20
guarantees 27:22	happen 58:23 64:25	hardening 140:21	31:8 34:17 35:9
guess 88:3 93:11	101:9 178:15	harder 91:5	36:12 38:8,10,13
317:12	185:11 189:9	harlem 21:20 99:3	55:5,8,10,12,16,17
guidance 182:6	195:15 230:25	132:25,25 137:7	55:18,19 56:4,11,14
guide 211:2	231:3 264:14	149:8 157:9 158:7	56:15,22 57:2,3,24
guinea 179:12	268:22 269:19,20	176:19,20 182:18	59:9 66:15 69:19,20
gulfport 118:10	270:2 310:11	182:23 184:11	78:9 90:18 91:9
152:18	happened 45:19	186:9 189:18	97:14,20 98:3,6
guy 75:13	161:24 163:24	276:19 277:21	99:10 104:10 105:6
guys 73:3 230:14	165:6 174:4 175:14	harm 12:22 15:14	105:6,18 106:25
254:4	179:24 243:18,21	76:12 77:11	107:10,23 108:9,16
h	245:15 250:9	harmful 264:5	108:25,25 109:3,5,9
h 2:6,17 17:18 32:2	257:14 267:6,8,13	harms 10:13 15:8	109:19,23 116:20
102:10 103:5,16	278:20 279:22	28:1	116:23 117:11
188:18 189:1,5	285:12	harold 165:22 169:8	119:23 120:23
192:12 197:13	happening 100:20	169:8,17	123:3,16 124:14
198:11 199:7,25	164:3 165:17 166:6	harrah's 89:9	127:2 133:6,7,14
254:9 258:19	170:6 175:6,13,19	harrisburg 21:23	134:15 135:18
20.17	176:3,9 180:21	197:3	136:19 137:16

[health - hope] Page 356

141.10 21 142.12	haaring 76.0 110.17	halmin a 01.0 04.20	historically 20.4
141:18,21 142:12	hearing 76:9 119:17	helping 91:8 94:20	historically 28:4
145:11,16 146:3	197:5 231:10 260:8	94:21 111:8 150:8	30:7 241:6
150:24,25 154:18	hearings 120:6	265:20	history 43:10 158:9
164:18,21,25 165:1	271:22 286:8	helps 54:23 257:13	159:13,14 163:23
169:1 180:23 182:3	hears 29:6	henrietta 180:1	171:25 266:6 267:1
185:18 192:17	heart 87:13 107:8	henry 114:12	hit 72:6 198:12
205:12,23,25	118:20 149:3	182:25	227:5
213:19 214:4,12,15	hearts 144:15	hepa 290:10	hitting 93:2
214:20 216:9 219:4	heat 216:6,14	hero 187:4	hobbles 219:13
219:6 226:16	heavier 5:10	heroine 187:5	hold 98:21
234:16 258:15	heavily 226:10	hesitate 144:13	holding 122:14
275:25 280:18	246:3 247:23	hi 93:10 189:6	159:1
281:10 282:7	248:23 249:16	293:16 304:19	hollow 27:2 241:17
283:23 288:6	height 110:2,4 314:2	316:21	242:7
292:25 315:13,18	held 49:2 119:17	high 19:6 20:4 29:22	holy 40:12
316:1,24 317:5,7,10	251:12,14,21	113:21 119:9,13	home 21:9 73:9 92:9
317:12,18 318:4,12	263:20 273:8	136:5 172:8 204:18	100:8 116:5 126:16
319:14,15 324:11	284:20 286:8	210:16 211:23	163:12 183:22
324:14	hell 178:19 181:23	213:13,14 214:12	250:2 281:20 288:3
healthier 264:25	hello 103:5 138:21	217:5 231:5 238:4	289:4 299:8 311:10
healthy 1:4 13:16	154:12	289:10,15,23	311:10
18:2 20:3,7 133:10	help 37:1 42:17 44:3	290:10 295:21	homecoming 237:12
133:11 135:13	47:19 49:24 51:11	298:23 301:19	homes 29:10 74:23
221:21 234:18	52:5,9,20 55:11	higher 19:7,12 69:9	100:7 117:21 140:3
264:17 268:21	62:7,9 70:13 71:5	126:13 134:8	179:16 183:18
274:24 296:13,14	71:12 73:21 75:15	136:25	286:22 288:7
304:14	75:19,20,23 80:21	highest 78:4,6,6,7,8	290:13,20
hear 33:16 35:25	84:15 89:7,8 91:8	91:25 212:20	honchos 83:17
36:21,24 49:22	93:9 95:24 96:3,16	281:12,15,17,19	honest 47:11 60:6
86:25 89:25 90:11	98:1,20 100:10	highly 19:2 289:1	honestly 61:5
91:1 95:11 104:13	112:18 126:17	highway 165:20	honor 41:22 70:11
105:2 106:7 125:2	145:20,23 146:5	174:9 182:24	112:5,19 123:12
154:5 179:20 187:2	149:16 151:8	183:16 297:23	125:3
270:8 307:9 309:3	159:22 166:8	hill 88:4 195:2	honorable 40:9
310:21 311:10	167:20 180:19	hire 11:8	honored 33:10,11
326:12,13	183:24 188:1,9,10	hispanic 245:25	34:18,20 112:4
heard 14:3 15:24	191:22 193:24	246:3,4,8,12 247:7	honoree 21:18
49:13 88:18,20	197:1 230:7 232:11	298:20	honoring 6:8
90:21 104:4,6	254:17 283:17	hisses 37:6	hoo 61:8
124:25 125:19	314:10 316:23	historic 174:25	hook 151:23
126:15 138:16	helped 17:21 117:20	175:5 177:18	hope 30:20 103:7
151:1 201:19 236:5	167:18 226:20	179:24 322:2	111:14,19 112:17
262:9,21 264:11	helpful 146:4 232:9	historical 243:20	123:4 154:10
275:9 294:14	232:10 326:6	319:23 326:25	156:22 272:1 293:6
308:20 316:3 324:9			322:1

10.14	100.4.117.6		100.0 100.4 200.2
hopefully 18:14	housing 109:4 117:6	i	188:8 199:4 200:3
21:23 77:15 95:9	117:7 118:12 119:7	idea 11:25 56:5 66:6	201:17 203:3,11
271:15 274:15	165:9 175:8,18	66:9 104:3 125:22	204:1 205:17 206:3
305:14 321:10	185:3,9 205:12,19	158:14,19 215:3	206:24 209:11,18
hopelessness 94:9	239:3 248:2,4,9,18	253:19 280:6 303:6	210:20 233:24
94:16	250:17,18,23 251:1	303:12	252:2 290:16
hoping 123:9 130:12	251:2,3,6,10,20,23	ideal 297:8	impacted 26:6 98:5
hopkins 144:22	252:19,22 279:18	idealogical 268:8	131:1,14 133:4
173:19 174:7	281:22,23 286:11	ideas 267:10 272:19	134:19 135:7
175:21 176:23,23	houston 21:20	274:14 302:19	137:16 139:20
177:9,19,24 178:25	hudson 182:25	identified 100:5	141:4 194:14 202:7
179:1,25 180:4	183:5	identifies 59:6,7	impactful 26:13
181:24 286:4	huge 56:23 62:22	identify 141:7,8	impacting 46:1
horace 2:9 39:3 70:8	63:17 64:9 116:21	161:23 202:6,8	132:14,22
88:21 91:18 94:6	117:5 214:11	207:21 223:17	impacts 20:18 25:5
96:12 99:14,23	272:23 307:4	identifying 88:5	25:16 31:2,8 34:17
324:16	human 23:4,8 70:18	202:20 225:4	87:19,20 97:15
horribly 144:6	70:21,22 77:4		139:15 184:22
horrors 291:14	135:20 142:22	ignore 3:10	196:19 201:12
hoses 290:1	161:17,23	ignored 184:16,18 ii 2:10 39:4 71:15	203:13 205:11
hospital 105:13	humanity 70:20		208:15 215:10
106:5 107:17	94:22	103:2 184:19 222:8	216:4 264:22
114:11,15 116:19	humid 216:10	267:7	272:16 311:6
116:25,25 118:22	humming 328:6	iii 2:15 199:2	314:14,25
hospitalization	hundred 15:6	illness 136:6,7	impede 231:21
281:16	118:13 224:21	163:13 197:23	implementation 9:9
host 169:1	270:21	ills 77:16,23 78:13	12:19 283:6
hosted 237:24	hundreds 188:6	image 296:14	implemented 235:8
hot 85:1 216:10	hung 60:6	images 297:20	implications 222:19
hotspots 218:1,2	hunter 96:8,9	imagine 156:12	226:4
hour 162:11 262:13	hunting 2:20 19:8	300:1	implicit 10:17 13:3
hours 74:11,12,16	29:5 262:20 263:13	immediately 81:25	implosion 289:22
74:16 83:7	hurdle 27:8	177:9,14	importance 70:15
house 1:14 99:18	hurricane 115:24	immemorial 144:7	134:12 203:2
120:20 154:6 158:5	249:13	immigrant 282:10	important 5:21 43:1
235:20 284:15,17	hurricanes 116:20	294:7 296:5,6	43:21 51:6 52:14
284:19,21	118:14	299:14,16 320:19	58:19 70:2 90:10
household 18:16	hurting 85:14	320:20	95:5 111:18 116:8
households 163:18	122:15	immigrants 173:6	121:13 122:23
179:12	husband 174:13	294:5 298:20	134:11 135:23
houses 174:25	176:12,16 285:4,12	immigration 294:1	142:19 145:21
177:11 178:13		impact 2:16 22:17	154:21 159:12
	hypersensitivity	26:1,23 27:5 48:17	
180:11 182:4	180:3	96:5 109:2,5 134:14	160:13,14 170:2
242:17,20 281:23	hysterical 231:9	134:15 184:22	186:1 187:11
284:3,18 289:1			189:12 194:1,2

195:3 204:12 225:4	137:1 149:3 178:11	221:1 225:23 226:1	202:23 204:6,10,16
227:23 229:5	194:16 247:24	226:2,6,7,14,16	207:8 209:4 211:24
232:24 233:9 234:3	248:3 250:16,21	228:8,13,19 281:11	213:23,25 215:11
235:2,19 256:15	252:19 282:9	indice 280:16	216:8 217:8,10
258:2 264:16	incorporate 237:1	indices 280:24 281:6	222:6,15 224:16
265:22 273:5	incorporated 162:5	individual 296:25	227:21 229:18
291:21 292:16	169:10 219:5	314:17	230:17,21 232:3
296:10,21,24	incorporates 204:10	individuals 10:2,18	234:13 235:20
298:18 312:16	increase 283:19	71:19 72:18,22 82:7	informed 279:6
313:2,24	306:24 314:19	290:13	infrastructure
importantly 145:12	increased 48:10	indoor 242:6	51:18 89:8 278:6,18
impossible 252:24	increases 16:9 58:18	indulgence 8:17	293:9
imprimatur 255:18	increasing 304:12	industrial 16:6	ingram 93:10,11
improve 86:24	increasingly 38:15	172:15 208:12	initial 287:6
108:13 292:25	109:1	211:23 214:17	initially 58:15
313:16	incredible 185:17	248:22,23 249:14	235:20
improved 314:22	185:17 239:13	249:21 273:14	initiative 43:22
improvements	240:20	industries 76:10	116:5 133:9 181:4
301:6 313:6 315:1	incredibly 62:19	83:16,23 84:5 89:6	275:22 282:20
improving 108:10	256:14	89:22	286:7,14 294:15
inadequate 86:11	inculpated 190:3	industry 81:9 82:6	injured 128:1
inaudible 96:10	incumbent 328:22	84:24 85:3,8 121:15	injustice 3:19 9:17
inception 59:2	independent 180:16	182:10 194:12	10:10,20 11:17
incest 73:11	287:9,15 290:14	196:18	12:11,17 13:10,15
inches 290:6	291:22 292:3	inequality 321:5	15:4 17:7 19:3
incidents 281:15	independently	inequitable 63:23	24:20 34:6 71:9
incinerator 114:9	290:21	inevitable 268:24	186:24 278:4
incinerators 196:8	indianapolis 114:18	inevitably 267:20	injustices 18:9
include 123:9 206:9	indicate 219:4	inexperienced 71:1	35:20 36:9 73:23
included 108:17	indicated 217:3	infant 78:7	inner 91:19
188:10 275:14,15	indicates 209:17	infants 215:12	innovative 264:3
287:5	indicating 3:22	infested 242:4	input 49:21 88:13
includes 19:1,2	72:20 208:3 242:19	influence 6:16 24:21	88:15 272:15,21
27:16 59:24 113:19	242:20 243:2 244:5	influenced 215:17	287:1
including 17:20	245:14 250:20	influential 155:10	inquirer 79:11
25:10 40:22 113:25	252:20 298:1	155:14 255:15	inside 149:15
117:11 197:19	indicator 217:21	inform 108:16	150:16
290:1	219:20 226:10,14	232:12 297:12	insight 294:8
inclusion 57:5	234:20	informal 279:19	inspect 82:8
inclusive 248:16	indicators 203:11	information 31:10	inspector 82:21
income 9:8,19 10:1	203:14,18 204:1	46:18 50:22 51:12	inspectors 82:5
10:18 11:7,23 12:3	205:18,23,24	69:8,13 76:11 81:5	inspire 318:23
12:23 13:12,22 21:8	207:23 209:22	81:13 109:21	inspiring 90:11
23:6 98:20 105:18	218:17,18,25 219:2	126:22,24 129:8	instance 5:11 89:9
134:25 136:13	219:4,9,9 220:15	139:15 202:13,14	100:1 317:23
		l .	

[instance - issues] Page 359

210.12 221.10	:to	:to	:
318:12 321:19 instances 27:4 278:4	interconnections	interviews 69:6	involves 56:23 58:13
	256:15 276:11	112:15	148:9 153:3,3
instigator 73:6	interdisciplinary	interwoven 276:1	229:22 269:12
institute 38:3 45:14	32:8	intrigued 137:21	274:5
239:20	interest 1:8 33:13	introduce 31:22	involving 247:23
institutes 56:13,15	50:3 54:3,19 55:14	103:21,22 111:21	irb 148:7
317:12	81:22 89:24 112:6	200:6 262:19 304:2	iron 66:17
institution 106:20	112:20 127:23	introduced 93:14	irrelevant 4:24
144:3,8 145:2	154:14 156:19	introduction 41:20	islands 216:14
158:23 159:10,11	157:5 161:1 170:11	70:11	isolate 19:5 209:2
177:23 179:4 327:1	196:24 200:20	introductions 2:3	isolated 73:10,14
institutional 142:20	218:3 274:16,17,23	3:2 199:11	215:18 216:18
144:2	305:2 319:20	introductory 129:25	isolation 216:17
institutions 116:13	320:15 321:7	intruders 264:6	issue 6:12 35:6
116:14 146:9,18	interested 15:17,18	invading 183:22	42:25 43:20 45:16
149:2,16,17 150:17	42:24 43:4,19 67:24	invariably 39:22	46:10 50:1 51:22
175:23	72:22 108:10,11,24	invented 267:10	52:9,19 59:8 65:21
instructive 162:1	109:9 143:16	inverse 319:13	70:19,19,20,20 72:2
instrumental 122:23	167:11,23 203:16	invest 14:19	72:8,13 80:2,15,25
insulted 8:24	206:10 225:14	investigation 242:12	82:10 89:20 97:23
insurance 168:12,12	231:1,2 327:9	257:13	97:23 101:19 115:3
integrate 188:11	interesting 54:23	investing 314:1	115:19 117:18
integrated 82:2	69:4 92:20 121:8	investment 269:18	118:17 119:16
282:25	171:9 181:7 226:3	309:12 313:23,23	140:1,19 149:19
integrating 2:16	245:12 246:23	investors 71:13	150:6,21 155:18
186:21 199:4	264:12 279:22	251:17	182:2 196:15
integration 276:6	299:21,22 301:24	invests 197:25	232:18 265:3
intended 25:7	304:22	invitation 112:7	278:24 305:23
135:18	interests 314:16	221:10	317:7
intense 22:24	interface 255:1	invited 28:10 34:21	issues 23:21 29:22
171:21 269:13	interfaced 256:7	159:20	30:10 35:8 43:14
272:8,18 274:5	interference 275:19	inviting 33:8 52:12	44:14 45:5 46:4
intensify 286:19	internal 123:17	157:7	51:24 55:22 62:1
intensive 283:7	internalized 58:25	involve 283:4	64:16 68:3 83:8
intent 252:1	international 15:3	involved 5:9 34:22	87:7,7,24 92:11,14
intention 80:12	120:2	64:6 70:25 80:12	93:7,8 100:17 109:4
intentional 10:17	interns 324:3	91:1 93:12,20	109:23 110:23
13:3	interpret 123:6	119:11 128:8 137:9	113:18 114:17,22
inter 23:12	interrupt 141:24	147:16 197:4 202:2	115:6 117:12
interact 195:22	interstate 207:2	241:4 245:20	119:18 120:1
303:9	intervening 264:1	247:23 249:2	124:15,17,23
interactions 127:17	interview 52:13	254:25 282:17	125:17 126:14,16
255:11 303:7	interviewed 48:11	328:17	127:4,21 128:3,22
interconnected	69:5	involvement 9:6	133:14 145:16
161:10,11		12:18	186:11 196:5,11
101.10,11		12.10	100.11 170.3,11

[issues - key] Page 360

254:20 263:22	jersey's 25:22	journey 182:17	217:15 218:9 219:1
	•	"	222:8,23 223:18
268:5,10 278:7	jesus 134:8	joyner 239:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
289:6 311:14	jews 173:6	judge 239:13 250:6	224:1 225:24
316:15 318:4,10	jim 2:18 159:23	251:15 252:1 253:3	227:14 229:16
items 2:2	200:9,23,25 222:21	260:7,8	231:6,17 234:1
iv 2:21 163:25 262:2	222:24 224:5 225:6	judges 260:3	235:23 236:11
j	225:22 226:19	judiciously 69:22	252:12 255:6 264:3
jackson 99:20	228:6 229:25	julia 121:24	264:10 275:25
321:11	254:14 255:3 257:3	juliana 224:7 228:7	277:17 278:7
jacksonville 168:9	327:7	julie 2:9 38:6 54:16	315:14,19 316:15
jacobs 297:8	jim's 228:11	54:18 91:2 93:5	321:3
jamaican 299:18	jlep 6:9 323:5	94:24 97:10,18	juxtapositions
james 118:9 325:6	job 5:14 17:3 33:8	324:14	299:23
jane 297:8	49:23 74:20,20	july 122:5	k
janet 180:18	99:20 106:23	june 328:1	k 2:3,25 3:4 103:15
january 286:17	108:15 111:7 127:7	junior 149:22	262:6 323:4,15
· ·	166:1 201:2 256:18	jurors 243:25	325:2,21 326:17
jean 187:5,6 jeans 42:12	283:16 305:18	jury 239:12 243:10	327:14,20
· ·	317:17 318:8 323:8	244:12	'
jeff 3:24	jobs 3:12 16:7,14,16	justice 2:5 6:17,25	karen 2:24 304:2,13
jefferson 115:11	44:16 78:13 86:13	8:3,14 9:2,3,5 15:20	304:17,18 315:9
jennifer 2:11 103:23	90:18 124:5 138:2	18:1 20:20 23:3,19	323:9 325:14
104:2 111:13	159:16 263:21	24:2,13 25:2 30:19	karl 93:10,10
122:21 129:24	264:21 283:17	33:12,22 34:2,11,23	karma 170:15,16
141:23 142:2	293:10 296:20	35:9 37:25 38:25	katrina 115:24
143:12 146:8	john 2:19 6:21	39:9 43:16 46:2,22	118:15 249:13
150:18 151:22	173:19 179:5	51:9,24 55:22 56:21	keep 4:8 5:15 16:2
153:13 154:4,10	181:24 200:16,17	61:25 64:16 67:6	17:5 50:19,19,20
199:14,22	237:9 254:18	70:15,16,18,25	52:1 73:9,11,14
jenny 105:24 106:3	255:14 256:11	73:18 74:24 80:15	85:12 92:24 96:6
109:10 123:12	259:8 325:8	83:8 86:21 87:23	101:22 150:15
130:15 327:16	johns 144:22 174:7	89:20 91:20 97:24	200:22 212:7
jerome 34:9,9,19	175:21 176:23,23	100:17 105:5	222:17 236:12
156:18 161:6	177:9,19,24 178:25	111:23 112:24	260:22 274:5
197:16 221:11	177.3,13,24 178.23	113:8,9 125:5 130:2	284:25 319:24
jerry 6:9,10,15	286:4	130:6 131:8 133:9	320:5
21:18 41:22 79:22	join 30:24 177:4	135:10 141:18	keeping 311:23
79:23,24 112:5	joined 201:24	155:6,21 160:15,17	327:9
125:3,5 130:16	268:17	161:7,14 166:9	kenneth 56:12
154:6,11 156:6,7,25		169:13 173:15	kentucky 124:21
197:15 198:12,14	joseph 2:3,25 3:4		kept 167:1 302:20
264:3 292:4	103:15 262:6 323:4	186:4 187:2,4,16,17	324:1
jerry's 156:11	323:15 325:2,21	188:13 189:7,11,14	key 49:11,21 51:13
jersey 16:1,2 109:15	326:17 327:14,20	192:9,21 201:3,4,8	56:2,17 85:6 122:23
201:23	journal 6:3,10,11,13	202:17 203:6	269:4 280:24 284:7
	210:8	204:14 209:10	

[keynote - land] Page 361

154:2 155:3 157:11 157:14 263:24 159:20 324:18 141:4,8 86:25 92:16 151:1 252:4 325:3 159:20 324:18 145:10:10:3 113:25 113:21 113:15:14 113:16:14 113:16:26 113:23:15 113:13:14 113:16:26 113:23:15 113:13:14 113:16:26 113:23:15 113:23 113:13:14 113:14:13:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:15:14 113:16:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:13:14 113:16:1	keynote 2:14 31:18	king 2:8 37:3,19	136:3,4,6,15,18,20	316:11,13,25
157:14 263:24 324:13 234:13 159:20 324:18	_			
324:24 325:3 159:20 324:18 144:10,23 145:1,9 47:16 148:12 73:19 141:15,16 146:23 164:9,25 149:20,24 150:14 149:20,24 150:14 146:23 164:9,25 152:4,12,17,20 152:4,12,17,20 152:4,12,17,20 152:4,12,17,20 152:4,12,17,20 152:4,12,13,15 166:14 168:21 153:4,5 158:1,11,23 166:14 168:21 170:21,23 171:1 170:12,23 171:1 170:12,23 171:1 174:16,19,22 175:7 84:7 308:15 311:20 185:6.8 170:21,23 171:1 174:16,19,22 175:7 175:3 189:22 175:3 189:22 175:12,15 177:14 174:16,19,22 175:7 175:3 189:22 175:12,15 177:14 180:18 180:11 180:19 170:18 180:19 190:12 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 180:19 294:3,5,7,23 294:3,5,7,23 295:50:23 298:5,6,12 284:11 284:11 294:2 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 260:19 255:73 19:16 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15 284:10 266:15		· '	, ,	'
Resystone 100:3 Riobuchar 120:25 Rine 115:1 149:20,24 150:14 146:23 164:9,25 168:16 19105:4 168:21 152:4,12,17,20 153:4,5 158:1,11,23 191:9,18 192:17 170:24 133:14 133:4,5 158:1,11,23 170:21,23 171:1 170:21,23 171:				
13:25			, , ,	U
kick 61:19 105:4 knees 230:12 152:4,12,17,20 165:2 190:18,19,22 191:9,18 192:17 kidding 72:25 167:3 244:13,15 43:12 44:24 62:15 166:14 168:21 170:21,23 171:1 172:10,12,13 171:1 173:12,15 177:14 knowledgable 101:10 known 21:11 21:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 11:12 12:12 11:12<	•			
kid 249:21 knew 40:1,2 43:11 153:4,5 158:1,11,23 191:9,18 192:17 knowledgeable 244:13,15 62:17 69:2 81:4 170:21,23 171:1 101:10 knowledgeable 84:7 308:15 311:20 175:3 189:22 175:12,15 177:14 knocked 185:6,8 186:13 187:25 knows 96:1 115:16 knows 96:1 115:16 knows 96:1 115:16 korean 293:34 korean 293:34 korean 293:34 korean 293:34 krow a 32:22 20:11 32:17 knows 96:1 115:16 korean 293:34 korean 293:34 krows 96:1 115:16 korean 293:34 krows 96:1 115:16 korean 293:34 krows 26:19:15:16 korean 293:34 krows 29:115:16 korean 293:34 krows 29:15:16 29:115:16 korean 293:34 krows 29:15:16 29:115:16 korean 293:34 krows 29:15:16 29:11:12 20:11:12 20:11:12 20:11:12 20:11:12 20:11:12 20:11:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>			*	
kidding 72:25 167:3 43:12 44:24 62:15 166:14 168:21 knowledgeable 244:13,15 62:17 69:2 81:4 170:21,23 171:1 knowledgeable kids 19:10 72:6 76:7 84:7 308:15 311:20 knocked 185:6,8 186:13 187:25 known 93:11 241:6 kill 249:20 knocked 185:6,8 186:13 187:25 known 93:11 241:6 kill 249:20 knocked 185:6,8 186:13 187:25 known 93:11 241:6 kill 229:20 233:3,7,16 302:11 325:12 8:11,24 11:15 15:23 191:20 192:17 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:113:3 kroc 266:15 knows 96:113:3 kroc 266:15 knows 32:21:20 299:20 303:14 krome 299:20 303:14 krome 299:20 303:14 kroc 266:15				
244:13,15 kids 19:10 72:6 76:7 84:7 308:15 311:20 kill 249:20 kill 249:20 killing 78:14 kim 2:23 293:3,7,16 302:11 325:12 8:11,24 11:15 15:23 30:11 325:12 69:3 4 33:19,20 60:3 65:1 66:7 69:10,20 57:7 60:3 65:1 66:7 69:11 81:20 182:12 112:20 118:12 112:20		· /		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
kids 19:10 72:6 76:7 118:19 174:19 174:16,19,22 175:7 known 93:11 241:6 241:13,16,16 241:13,16,16 241:13,16,16 known 93:11 241:6 241:13,18 24	C			C
84:7 308:15 311:20 175:3 189:22 175:12,15 177:14 241:13,16,16 knowed 185:6,8 knocked 185:15,68 186:13 187:25 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:1115:16 knows 96:115:16 knows 96:115:16 <th< td=""><td>*</td><td></td><td>*</td><td></td></th<>	*		*	
kill 249:20 knocked 185:6,8 l86:13 187:25 knows 96:1 115:16 killing 78:14 knockers 44:24 45:2 l89:25 190:1,6,24 knows 96:1 115:16 302:11 325:12 know 3:6 4:19 6:8 193:13 195:18 kroes 293:4 299:20 303:14 56:9,10,20 57:7 34:4 35:21,23 40:1 20:3,4 33:19,20 204:13 219:17 kroe 266:15 kudos 326:23 69:12 81:20 88:23 42:4,6 43:3 44:4,17 223:6,6,8,8,10,22 35:4 37:20 85:19 89:25 102:1 324:12 89:18 90:13 92:12 45:10 47:1 48:20 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 40:4,5 41:10,12,14 222:122:22:22:22:20 228:13 229:15 35:4 37:20 85:19 89:25 102:1 324:12 11:20 199:22 122:1 51:2,12,16,19,21 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 11:20 11:20 11:20 120				
killing 78:14 knockers 44:24 45:2 189:25 190:1,6,24 korean 293:4 kim 2:23 293:3,7,16 know 3:6 4:19 6:8 191:20 192:17 korean 293:4 kind 12:20 55:11,14 20:3,4 33:19,20 204:13 219:17 kroc 266:15 56:9,10,20 57:7 34:4 35:21,23 40:1 200:19,22 221:20 kroc 266:15 69:12 81:20 88:23 42:4,6 43:3 44:4,17 221:12 22:3,24 kroc 266:15 89:18 90:13 92:12 45:10 47:1 48:20 224:1,10 225:13 221:12 22:1 35:4 37:20 85:19 19:22 122:1 130:11 131:16,20 15:2,12,16,19,21 228:13 229:15 229:25 102:1 324:12 17:15,17,22 55:2,19,19 53:6,8 23:19 23:3,15,21 76:10 23:19 23:3,18,29 11:20 18:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 23:19 23:3,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 25:14 25:24 238:16 244:13 236:7,7,11 237:14 226:7,91 224:3 297:16 99:25 302:3 309:4 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 25:5,8,14 256:13 25:8,9,12,13			*	' '
kim 2:23 293:3,7,16 know 3:6 4:19 6:8 191:20 192:17 299:20 303:14 302:11 325:12 8:11,24 11:15 15:23 193:13 195:18 kramer 90:5,6 113:3 kind 12:20 55:11,14 20:3,4 33:19,20 204:13 219:17 202:19,22 221:20 204:3 21:21 20:0,57:7 40:4,5 41:10,12,14 221:22 22:3,24 222:23,24 222:23,24 223:6,6,8,8,10,22 224:1,10 225:13 225:13 224:4 32:4 33:5 235:4 37:20 85:19 235:4 37:20 85:19 89:25 102:1 324:12 11:20 113:13 13:13:16,20 13:11 13:16,20 12:21,21,6,19,21 228:13 229:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:13 239:15 233:14 329:15 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 235:17 710 221:19 223:7,19 224:3 226:18 251:4 252:24 235:20 254:16,23 230:13 230:13 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 233:18 234:2,25 235:20 254:16,23 230:13 230:13 <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td>		·		
Sind 12:20 15:11,14 20:3,4 33:19,20 204:13 219:17 20:19,22 221:20 20:19,22 20:19,	S		, ,	
kind 12:20 55:11,14 20:3,4 33:19,20 204:13 219:17 kroc 266:15 kudos 326:23 60:3 65:1 66:7 40:4,5 41:10,12,14 22:124 22:3,24 22:24 22:3,24 22:36,6,8,8,10,22 32:66.8,8,10,22 35:4 37:20 85:19 89:18 90:13 92:12 45:10 47:1 48:20 224:1,10 225:13 222:11 20:11 222:11 20:11 222:11,10 225:13 222:11 20:11 222:11 20:11 228:13 229:15 222:13 229:15 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,7,16 235:13,13,22 236:3 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 233:18 234:2,25 236:7,7,11 237:14 235:13,13,22 236:3 230:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 235:13,13,22 236:3 230:13,11,32 231:9,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 235:13,13,22 236:3 230:13,11,32 231:9,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 230:16,17 233:7,16 235:14 252:24 236:7,7,11 237:14 235:14 252:24 236:7,7,11 237:14 235:14 252:24 236:7,7,11 237:14 235:8,14 256:13 258:8,14 2	· ·			
56:9,10,20 57:7 34:4 35:21,23 40:1 220:19,22 221:20 kudos 326:23 60:3 65:1 66:7 40:4,5 41:10,12,14 221:24 222:3,24 221:24 222:3,24 69:12 81:20 88:23 42:4,6 43:3 44:4,17 223:6,6,8,8,10,22 35:4 37:20 85:19 89:18 90:13 92:12 45:10 47:1 48:20 224:1,10 225:13 226:15 227:10 112:20 118:12 49:18,19 50:8,15,22 226:15 227:10 228:13 229:15 130:11 131:16,20 51:2,12,16,19,21 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 176:18,19 177:12 69:13,14 70:14 71:8 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 177:15,17,22 72:23 73:15 74:18 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:4 24:13 224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 251:4 252:24 236:4 24:16,23 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,4 256:13 258:8,9,12,13 266:25 267:1,2,19 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 66:19 258:7 319:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 109:10,24 110:21 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 299:2 137:22 141:		,		,
60:3 65:1 66:7 69:12 81:20 88:23 89:18 90:13 92:12 112:20 118:12 112:20 118:12 119:22 122:1 130:11 131:16,20 170:24 174:23 177:15,17,22 177:15,17,22 179:23 73:15 74:18 221:19 223:7,19 224:3 226:18 230:24 234:14 237:12 239:5 259:3 237:21 239:5 259:3 237:21,25 274:15 239:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 40:4,5 41:10,12,14 221:24 222:3,24 223:6,6,8,8,10,22 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 224:1,10 225:13 226:15 227:10 228:13 229:15 228:13 229:15 229:15 229:15 227:10 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 231:10 232:11,13 231:9,9 231:10 232:11,13 231:9,9 231:10 232:16,17 233:7,16 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 251:4 252:24 253:20 254:16,23 251:4 252:24 253:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
69:12 81:20 88:23	, ,	· / /	*	
89:18 90:13 92:12 45:10 47:1 48:20 224:1,10 225:13 89:25 102:1 324:12 112:20 118:12 49:18,19 50:8,15,22 226:15 227:10 1 119:22 122:1 51:2,12,16,19,21 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 170:24 174:23 54:5 68:15,15,16 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 177:15,17,22 72:23 73:15 74:18 72:23 73:15 74:18 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 220:17 278:6 300:8 224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 25:14 252:24 238:16 244:13 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 230:13 24:12 237:12 239:5 259:3 88:21 89:19 90:14 25:18,814 256:13 25:8,8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 264:10 266:15 264:10 266:15 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 27:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 27:19 18 25:18 28:11 172:4,11,19 29:19 18 25:18 28:11 172:4,11,19 29:19 18 25:18 28:11 172:4,11,19 29:19 18 25:18 28:11 172:4,11,19 20:19 209:2,7,23 20:19 209:2,7,23 209:23 210:15,25 209:23 210:15,25 209:23 210:15,25 209:23 210:15,25 209:23 210:			· ·	
112:20 118:12				
119:22 122:1 130:11 131:16,20 170:24 174:23 176:18,19 177:12 177:15,17,22 185:10,11,12,12 191:25 195:4 200:1 221:19 223:7,19 224:3 226:18 230:24 234:14 237:12 239:5 259:3 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 273:21,25 274:15 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 129:2 137:22 141:8 14:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 151:2,12,16,19,21 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 237:12 239:5 259:3 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 116:19 117:3 118:4 129:2 137:22 141:8 14:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 228:13 229:15 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 232:16,17 233:7,16 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 248:16 244:13 248:18 25:18 255:8,14 256:13 255:8,14 256:13 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 277:22 278:8,13,25 278:2 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2			*	89:25 102:1 324:12
130:11 131:16,20 52:2,19,19 53:6,8 230:3,11,13 231:9,9 labor 124:13 170:24 174:23 54:5 68:15,15,16 231:19 232:3,5,8,9 labor 124:13 176:18,19 177:12 69:13,14 70:14 71:8 232:16,17 233:7,16 laboratory 147:20 177:15,17,22 72:23 73:15 74:18 233:18 234:2,25 laborers 271:7 185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 235:13,13,22 236:3 92:17 278:6 300:8 191:25 195:4 200:1 76:15,16,17,17 77:5 236:7,7,11 237:14 92:17 278:6 300:8 221:19 223:7,19 77:5,15,17 78:2 238:16 244:13 251:4 252:24 300:13 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 253:20 254:16,23 lacks 133:6 180:1 lacks 133:6 180:1 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 lacks 133:6 180:1 lacks 133:6 180:1 266:25 267:1,2,19 88:21 89:19 90:14 258:8,9,12,13 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 28:11 172:4,11,19 299:5 302:3 309:4 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 28:11 172:4,11,19 28:11 172:4,11,19 291:21 294:2 291:21 294:2 205:9,20 208:7,17 299:2 137:22 141:8 113:23 115:14,20 284:18 285:10,11 205:9,20 208:7,17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l</td>				l
130:11 131:16,20				1 1:20
176:18,19 177:12 177:15,17,22 185:10,11,12,12 191:25 195:4 200:1 221:19 223:7,19 224:3 226:18 230:24 234:14 230:24 234:14 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 129:2 137:22 141:8 147:20 185:10,11,12,12 176:15,17,72: 233:18 234:2,25 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 255:24 255:24 253:20 254:16,23 255:4 252:24 253:20 254:16,23 255:8,14 256:13 255:8,14 256:13 255:8,14 256:13 266:19 255:7 319:16 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:13 230:13 247:20 249:8 247:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 251:4 252:24 253:20 254:16,23 255:8,14 256:13 255:8,14 256:13 258:8,9,12,13 266:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2 213:12 220:4 229:2	· ·		· · ·	
176:18,19 177:12 69:13,14 70:14 71:8 232:16,17 233:7,16 177:15,17,22 72:23 73:15 74:18 72:23 73:15 74:18 185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 233:18 234:2,25 191:25 195:4 200:1 76:15,16,17,17 77:5 236:7,7,11 237:14 221:19 223:7,19 77:5,15,17 78:2 238:16 244:13 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 251:4 252:24 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 266:25 267:1,2,19 88:21 89:19 90:14 258:8,9,12,13 268:7,8 271:23 90:17,18 93:15,17 268:4,15 269:8 274:23 297:16 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 299:5 302:3 309:4 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 318:10 11:4 112:25 279:5,6,12 284:11 129:2 137:22 141:8 121:21 126:7,9,10 295:23 298:5,6 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20				laboratory 147:20
177:15,17,22 72:23 73:15 74:18 233:18 234:2,25 lack 25:15 87:16,17 185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 235:13,13,22 236:3 236:7,7,11 237:14 221:19 223:7,19 236:7,7,11 237:14 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 230:11 237:14 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 230:11 237:14 238:16 244:13 248:18 285:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 248:19 29:13 249:8 249:8 <td>· ·</td> <td>· '</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td>	· ·	· '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
185:10,11,12,12 75:3,3,15,21 76:10 235:13,13,22 236:3 92:17 278:6 300:8 191:25 195:4 200:1 76:15,16,17,17 77:5 236:7,7,11 237:14 236:7,7,11 237:14 236:7,7,11 237:14 221:19 223:7,19 77:5,15,17 78:2 238:16 244:13 238:16 244:13 230:13 224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 251:4 252:24 233:6 180:1 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 255:8,14 256:13 246:10,23 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 258:8,9,12,13 268:7,8 271:23 90:17,18 93:15,17 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 274:23 297:16 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 299:5 302:3 309:4 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 28:11 172:4,11,19 309:11 312:22 111:4 112:25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 284:18 285:10,11 205:9,20 208:7,17 205:9,20 208:7,17 208:19 209:2,7,23 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 14:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20 213:12 220:4 229:2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
191:25 195:4 200:1 76:15,16,17,17 77:5 236:7,7,11 237:14 221:19 223:7,19 77:5,15,17 78:2 238:16 244:13 224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 251:4 252:24 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 253:20 254:16,23 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 266:25 267:1,2,19 88:21 89:19 90:14 258:8,9,12,13 268:7,8 271:23 90:17,18 93:15,17 264:10 266:15 273:21,25 274:15 93:22 94:3,5,7,23 268:4,15 269:8 274:23 297:16 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 299:5 302:3 309:4 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 309:11 312:22 111:4 112:25 279:5,6,12 284:11 318:10 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 29:2 137:22 141:8 121:21 126:7,9,10 295:23 298:5,6 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20		/ / /	· ·	,
224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 251:4 252:24 lacking 303:1 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 253:20 254:16,23 lacks 133:6 180:1 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 lady 99:19 266:25 267:1,2,19 88:21 89:19 90:14 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 lake 249:8 273:21,25 274:15 93:22 94:3,5,7,23 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 299:5 302:3 309:4 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 309:11 312:22 111:4 112:25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 284:18 285:10,11 205:9,20 208:7,17 318:10 113:23 115:14,20 295:23 298:5,6 209:23 210:15,25 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 209:23 210:15,25 1125,11,17 212:8 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20 213:12,20:4 220:4 229:2		/ / /		
224:3 226:18 79:1,2,13 80:3 251:4 252:24 230:24 234:14 82:17,24,24 83:1,3 253:20 254:16,23 237:12 239:5 259:3 83:25 86:5,11 88:18 255:8,14 256:13 266:25 267:1,2,19 88:21 89:19 90:14 258:8,9,12,13 268:7,8 271:23 90:17,18 93:15,17 264:10 266:15 273:21,25 274:15 93:22 94:3,5,7,23 268:4,15 269:8 274:23 297:16 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 272:7 276:9 277:7 299:5 302:3 309:4 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 318:10 111:4 112:25 279:5,6,12 284:11 13:23 115:14,20 284:18 285:10,11 299:2 137:22 141:8 121:21 126:7,9,10 295:23 298:5,6 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20	,	' '		lacking 303:1
230:24 234:14 237:12 239:5 259:3 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 26125 2137:22 141:8 129:2 137:22 141:8 162:19,24 268:2 253:20 254:16,23 255:8,14 256:13 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 162:19,24 268:2 253:20 254:16,23 255:8,14 256:13 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20				
237:12 239:5 259:3 266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 274:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 2613 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 273:21,25 274:18 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 299:5 302:3 309:4 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:10 318:4 119:21 126:7,9,10 116:19 117:3 118:4 129:2 137:22 141:8 129:2 137:2 20:4 229:2			· ·	
266:25 267:1,2,19 268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 274:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 269:2 137:22 141:8 11:4 112:25 129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 88:21 89:19 90:14 90:17,18 93:15,17 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20 258:8,9,12,13 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20			*	
268:7,8 271:23 273:21,25 274:15 274:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 111:4 112:25 318:10 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 264:10 266:15 268:4,15 269:8 272:7 276:9 277:7 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20				
273:21,25 274:15 274:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 93:22 94:3,5,7,23 96:4,18 99:25 103:6 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20 1and 2:21 9:18 25:18 28:11 172:4,11,19 175:16 190:14 204:10,12,16 205:6 205:9,20 208:7,17 208:19 209:2,7,23 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2	· ·	·		
274:23 297:16 299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 kinds 13:11 76:25 129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 196:4,18 99:25 103:6 109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 128:11 172:4,11,19 175:16 190:14 204:10,12,16 205:6 205:9,20 208:7,17 208:19 209:2,7,23 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2	· ·		·	
299:5 302:3 309:4 309:11 312:22 318:10 111:4 112:25 113:23 115:14,20 116:19 117:3 118:4 129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 1109:10,24 110:21 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 284:18 285:10,11 291:21 294:2 295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20 277:22 278:8,13,25 279:5,6,12 284:11 204:10,12,16 205:6 205:9,20 208:7,17 208:19 209:2,7,23 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2	274:23 297:16	· /	272:7 276:9 277:7	
309:11 312:22	299:5 302:3 309:4	109:10,24 110:21	277:22 278:8,13,25	
318:10 113:23 115:14,20 284:18 285:10,11 kinds 13:11 76:25 116:19 117:3 118:4 291:21 294:2 129:2 137:22 141:8 121:21 126:7,9,10 295:23 298:5,6 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20	309:11 312:22	111:4 112:25	279:5,6,12 284:11	
kinds 13:11 76:25 116:19 117:3 118:4 291:21 294:2 208:19 209:2,7,23 129:2 137:22 141:8 121:21 126:7,9,10 295:23 298:5,6 209:23 210:15,25 141:9 161:23 127:10,11,17,21,25 300:19 306:2,3 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20 213:12 220:4 229:2		113:23 115:14,20	284:18 285:10,11	′ ′
129:2 137:22 141:8 141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2 121:21 126:7,9,10 127:10,11,17,21,25 128:2 132:10 133:1 1295:23 298:5,6 300:19 306:2,3 308:9,13 310:11,20 209:23 210:15,25 211:2,5,11,17 212:8 213:12 220:4 229:2	kinds 13:11 76:25	116:19 117:3 118:4	291:21 294:2	
141:9 161:23 162:19,24 268:2	129:2 137:22 141:8	121:21 126:7,9,10	295:23 298:5,6	
162:19,24 268:2 128:2 132:10 133:1 308:9,13 310:11,20 213:12 220:4 229:2	141:9 161:23	127:10,11,17,21,25	300:19 306:2,3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
318:5 134:1 135:14 136:1 311:9 312:2 314:15 213.12 220:4 229:2	162:19,24 268:2	128:2 132:10 133:1	308:9,13 310:11,20	
	318:5	134:1 135:14 136:1	311:9 312:2 314:15	213.12 220.4 223.2

[land - liberty] Page 362

231:22 262:3	146.2 22 154.14	leads 10:20 65:15	logislation 22:21
	146:2,22 154:14 156:19 157:5 182:9	169:9	legislation 22:21 120:16
273:14 274:8,14,19			
275:12,24 276:11	188:12 194:3	learn 63:7 71:6	legislative 45:17
276:23 277:20	195:13 200:14,15	198:4 214:6 255:25	169:11 182:8
279:13 306:16,18	200:20,21 221:21	learned 46:12,18	legislature 165:24
306:25 318:24	238:7,7 249:25	49:17 50:18,25 52:6	lending 239:4
319:13,14	254:20 263:17,20	61:5,8 68:23 80:24	lengths 73:24
landfill 20:24	265:9 266:8 279:14	121:16 186:2	leonardi 72:16
landfills 172:25	294:13 304:10	189:16,17 190:8,8	leslie 2:12 111:21,22
landscape 217:14	305:1,2 312:23	191:12 239:14	112:1,13 122:10,22
lane 242:14	321:1 323:5,25	245:3 254:14 264:2	138:7 145:4 222:4
language 44:19 76:2	324:4,13 325:5	277:20,24 278:22	324:20
76:5 96:10 190:21	328:5	278:22 280:1	leslie's 138:17
302:21	laws 13:20,22 26:5	291:14	lessons 189:16
languages 302:20	28:25 29:1 122:6	learning 5:24 38:4	278:22 280:17
large 24:24 68:7	133:17 140:9 141:1	47:14 107:1 192:2	lest 206:12
86:9 133:16 145:17	194:4 195:17 252:2	leave 58:24 121:2	letters 76:21
212:11,12 303:18	306:15	128:6 129:3 152:6	level 4:5 22:24 23:12
largely 19:8 27:7	lawsuits 25:21	267:23 274:25	23:17,18 27:14 28:3
226:19 230:9,16	lawyer 34:6 112:20	319:5 328:21	57:7 69:9,10 84:13
294:4	237:18 293:3 304:4	leaves 58:24 141:10	91:22 100:13 120:1
larger 106:12	325:9	led 22:25 159:19	120:2 125:4 126:4,5
296:16	lawyers 11:8 21:14	161:21 169:2	132:10 134:12
largest 4:2 30:3	22:16 30:16 77:3	180:17 245:19	141:2,3 190:15
114:9 207:3 294:4	119:18 127:24	282:23	202:24 204:19
laser 86:3	128:15 129:1	left 5:10 28:9 30:9	209:18 211:25
lastly 54:5 68:14	133:16 153:4	30:17 94:22 125:17	212:1,4,9 214:24
84:24 98:19 143:2	155:13 161:1	159:9 162:22	223:4,5 225:5,6
182:16 195:18	185:17,22 187:1,7	171:11,14 179:14	228:17 229:6 234:4
late 37:7 309:21	237:20,25 253:20	206:14 219:2	267:5,9,9,9 281:12
lately 15:22 131:2	258:14 265:6	247:17,19 251:17	281:14 297:1,12
latest 18:16	266:10 326:23	266:17,19,20 274:7	299:3 301:22
latino 19:8 138:15	lax 265:8 304:4,23	293:18 294:13	316:14
139:1 320:21	lay 209:3	305:4	leveling 286:22
latinos 173:7 294:5	layering 314:25	legacy 114:7,8	levels 24:17 69:7
laugh 42:7	layperson 76:21	171:24	119:13 136:6 140:5
laughing 52:24	lead 159:22 179:7,7	legal 5:5 17:25	210:16 215:4
launching 21:2	179:13 180:9,9,9,12	22:12,15 24:11,16	225:20 281:15,17
law 1:4,8 3:16 4:1	274:14 281:13,13	26:15 28:14 127:25	285:21
5:5 6:2 8:10 9:10	281:24 285:21	128:15,19 129:17	leverage 28:13
12:19 13:25 24:8	286:15,15 287:13	142:24 160:25	304:10
25:20 32:5 33:13	289:5,11,16 292:8	232:14	liability 314:4,6
54:19 81:22 85:6	leaders 287:8,19	legalese 41:18	liable 119:21
112:6 116:18 122:5	leadership 141:19	legally 279:15	liberty 206:19
127:23 145:11	320:11		

[license - looking] Page 363

license 300:16	listed 3:21 201:15	183:15,17 219:16	98:14 128:11
licensed 82:7 284:24	listen 39:2 50:7,25	250:1 268:12 272:6	144:11 157:6
licensee 29:17	105:23 316:20	273:24 276:25	164:15 169:6
lie 256:1,2,3 301:18	listened 49:6	280:2,3 281:21	182:17,19 186:10
life 55:13 71:3 74:3	listening 48:24	282:25 285:6	188:25 224:21
84:16 127:24 131:2	50:19	297:24 319:25	235:23 268:5
131:15 132:14,22	literally 73:3 75:5	lived 8:16 132:24	279:23 295:4
161:15 170:7	139:3 149:2 163:3	158:22 163:3 166:3	303:17
174:15,20 183:19	184:13 248:9 285:4	166:6 171:20 172:2	longer 75:9 80:11
184:23 191:1 238:3	literature 206:1	179:17 180:24	91:5 124:14 259:9
282:7 307:2 309:9	litigating 237:22	184:11	longest 184:5
309:14 311:3	252:14,15	lively 31:19	longitudinal 12:8
314:13	litigation 200:19	lives 8:16 18:6 113:5	279:23
lifetime 268:16	245:9 254:19 259:4	113:14 134:14	look 42:1 65:1,25
lift 122:16 157:22	litigators 232:19	137:10 188:8	72:20 76:23 77:20
159:15 169:7 191:8	255:1 256:17	206:21 268:12	81:2 92:7 93:5 95:6
196:3	265:10	272:6	107:9 109:23 110:2
lifted 156:8 208:24	little 9:15 10:9 12:25	living 40:16 78:20	124:9,12 125:9,9,17
light 208:8	13:4,7 17:4,9,11,24	78:20 80:7 168:23	125:17 128:16
lighteners 139:6	21:15 28:13 35:7,23	172:2 175:9 209:1	129:12 136:21
lihtc 250:21	36:11 54:24 55:9,24	216:14 287:22	143:6 155:6 196:23
limit 14:15 270:5	55:25 60:14,21,24	288:3	209:21 211:14
288:19 314:15	62:13 64:4,6 66:20	lo 120:18 168:22	213:18 222:2 224:2
limitation 232:9	80:16 85:11,25	lobbying 22:25	224:3,12 225:11,18
limitations 232:1	87:10 98:18 105:24	120:15,15	227:9,16 230:2
limited 225:16	107:3 113:4 116:6	local 24:17 28:3	236:14,16 250:8,8
238:11 295:11	133:25 147:13	37:10 48:7 100:20	253:11 257:17,20
limiting 108:11	171:6 192:25 205:2	175:16 186:17	258:16 259:10
limits 10:22 240:12	209:8 213:24	187:23 190:12,14	261:1 262:11
line 37:22 40:1	221:17 222:1,16,18	195:23 211:5 212:8	285:10 302:18
132:4,9,11 137:16	223:24 227:22	215:16,17,20	310:5
158:12,13,14,20	228:3,4 245:8	231:22 277:7 279:9	looked 34:12 42:18
242:13 281:9	252:11 266:6	279:14 283:20	71:10 126:17
291:17 293:7,15	267:20 275:19,20	301:20	155:18 168:2 215:4
311:20	275:21 293:2 295:8	locally 9:18 269:14	225:25 228:8
lines 110:21 208:4	295:15,18 304:21	located 23:24 92:3	242:12,17 244:12
246:6,7 260:11,13	304:24 308:7	212:12 242:18	266:25 273:6 278:5
linguistic 300:13,15	livable 304:14 317:2	location 21:7 320:1	317:22
linguistically 215:18	live 3:12 14:10	320:16	looking 36:8 42:18
link 163:4	15:25 18:7 48:23	locations 203:1	44:7,15 45:8 50:2
links 141:20	73:25 110:14	205:20 212:18	55:21 66:19 83:11
lisa 99:20 321:11	127:12,15 133:2,12	lofty 91:14,15	87:18 99:8,11 110:6
list 149:9 186:1	135:2 138:9 162:25	long 6:7 8:16 21:21	123:20,21 124:17
220:25 326:20	173:1,3,5,9 175:10	23:15 26:25 43:10	125:6 127:21
	178:12 181:17	53:18 67:4 82:1	140:19 142:22

[looking - mapping] Page 364

175:6 205:18,22,24	280:4 282:2 285:8	lulu 10:4 11:1,15,22	270:18 311:4
210:13 214:19	293:21 296:8	11:24 12:1,6,6	313:23
216:21 225:3	299:22 300:5,11	16:23,25	male 135:13
245:13 254:5	305:21 307:7,11	lulus 9:19 15:19	malek 119:3
256:25 304:6	310:23 311:1 312:5	16:14	man 159:25 160:4,4
looks 86:6 150:19	312:6 317:21 318:9	lunch 153:10,17	160:8 165:22
211:18 214:5	321:8	230:12 275:10	173:16
215:23 216:23	lotion 131:17 132:16	luncheon 153:21	management 18:18
217:6 220:2	132:20	lung 107:8	37:11 38:22 71:16
loose 60:14	lots 192:5 226:23	luther 159:20	300:25 303:4
lord 96:13	229:6,7 243:17	m	mandate 27:4
los 21:21 200:11	256:6 264:15 285:5	m 2:12 105:20	maneuvering 188:1
208:1,2,16 214:10	313:14 317:2	147:10 150:20	mangos 174:4,6
214:13	lou 105:10	169:17	manhattan 126:10
lose 74:19,20,23	loud 310:16 311:22	ma'am 316:9	manifestations
320:13	louder 103:15,17,17		13:15 268:18
loss 280:25 282:5	138:22	maantay 224:8	manipulated 295:2
318:7	louis 2:12 5:1 32:6	mad 181:13,23	mann 113:5
lost 116:19 146:14	37:5 105:20 147:10	magic 28:14	manner 231:15
277:19	150:20 324:13	mail 117:1 224:23	manning 71:16
lot 9:13 11:4 16:13	louisiana 21:20	main 29:15 40:1	manuel 222:25
37:5 38:3,4 42:11	34:10 119:4	183:2 295:21	manufacturing
49:17 58:7,8 59:25	love 254:4 270:7	maine 40:12	162:16,17
60:7,16,23 64:20,21	315:8 318:21	maintaining 306:24 maintenance 300:6	map 167:17 205:3
66:1 67:8 68:2 69:8	321:23,24		207:10 208:5,21,25
69:23 71:12 80:5	loved 51:9	major 64:13 90:24	209:12 211:18
90:21 91:5,5,19	loving 318:19	97:23 144:3 146:9	214:3,5 216:21
95:3,3 97:24 98:6	low 9:19 10:1,18	162:7,20 270:19	217:6 220:2 240:7
99:4,24,24 109:6	11:23 12:3,4,23	272:15,16,16	240:15 242:13
111:5 113:24	13:12,22 16:16 23:6	maker 196:20	244:15 245:11
119:24 121:16	78:7 98:20,23	256:20	248:17,21 250:13
123:22 127:20,25	105:18 134:25	makers 18:10 83:20	257:4,8,8,17 259:12
136:3 145:9 147:17	137:1 149:3 194:16	187:14 195:11	259:13,21 260:2,4
151:8,9,19,20 152:1	217:5 247:24 248:3	197:5 305:17	260:10 272:10
152:3,4,14 160:21	250:16,21 252:19	makeup 20:18 243:1	276:12 281:7,8
169:2 182:13	282:9	243:3	294:25
186:16 199:16	lower 11:6 108:13	making 10:19 28:10	mapped 207:17
207:5 211:19 213:1	116:4,24 215:19	31:4 45:23,24 85:13	208:10,15 280:20
221:13,18 226:22	249:15 311:5	94:14 100:25 118:4	317:23
230:17 231:1	lowest 136:17	121:14 132:7	mapper 259:21
235:13,14 238:17	212:19	133:13 134:12,17	mapping 205:5
239:4,18 255:22	lucky 105:1,9 110:8	135:23 137:9	207:11 208:8 209:3
258:8,16 266:12	311:13	140:21,22 211:2	212:5 215:22 220:4
267:7 268:10	luke 34:5	215:16,20 234:24	220:5 238:17
269:25,25 270:10		256:22 260:8	246:24 255:25
-,			1

[mapping - meter] Page 365

259:6 280:16	massive 279:1 280:7	meant 157:21	meltzer 117:19
317:21	283:1	215:15 216:13	member 64:7 271:4
maps 191:21 205:3	massively 281:10	246:18 282:21	316:10,21 318:14
208:14 216:25	master's 37:8	measurable 63:2	326:12
238:14,17,21,25	masters 69:1	measure 63:11	members 16:15
239:18,18 247:21	match 74:12,21	98:24 180:20	41:23 47:11 48:23
251:25 252:6,9	212:2	217:10 223:12	48:25 63:21 64:1
253:1 254:3,18	material 150:9	290:16	120:18 154:25
255:13,16,22,22	materials 127:10	measurement 98:23	195:2 201:14 271:3
256:1,4,14,17,23,25	155:15 265:15	measures 213:18,22	279:3 287:18
259:1 278:5,8	291:11	289:4	memorandum
marc 4:1	maternity 121:2	measuring 202:12	61:16 66:6
marcellus 29:13	matter 24:8 56:8	202:19 287:13	memory 160:1
margaret 54:7	72:21 76:17 77:23	mechanism 12:10	270:14
marine 39:5 40:8	78:9 80:10 98:12	media 52:10 79:6	memphis 114:19
mark 2:13 129:25	195:21 239:5	medians 313:17	men 131:21
130:14 131:4,19,23	310:17	mediates 296:25	mentality 73:8,17
132:3,6 134:7	matters 39:1 152:16	medicaid 198:1	94:10
138:21,23 141:25	195:21,24	medical 77:3 104:8	mention 117:14
142:4 143:22	mayfield 39:14	105:8 110:5,15	mentioned 99:15
148:19 324:21	78:17 161:4	111:5 116:14	108:8 193:24 204:3
marker 168:3 169:3	mayor 265:16	124:17 127:25	205:21 225:22
169:5	266:21,22 267:23	128:9 175:22	229:25 262:21
market 1:24 11:14	271:5 293:19 306:7	medicare 198:1	275:22 279:7
11:16,20 12:5 13:4	319:4 325:10	medicine 123:17,18	282:21
121:19 252:3	mcglaughlin 6:21	123:19	mentioning 292:14
253:10	mead 54:7	medium 98:14	merchant 297:4
marketing 301:6	mean 9:13 48:8	meet 39:8 69:23	merchants 296:25
markets 175:2	82:17 88:17 94:2	138:6 196:6,21	300:12
301:12	117:10 122:4	meeting 1:14 33:11	mercilessly 184:3
marriage 138:12	134:10 147:11	42:23 43:14 45:2,3	mercury 76:18
marrying 140:15	192:20 193:5,16	48:25 72:2,18	139:9,10 188:4
martin 159:20	222:12 253:8,24	127:22 158:4	merge 274:22
mary 72:16 116:2	256:12,18 257:24	164:17 165:4	merits 286:21
maryland 158:9,17	260:12 272:5 297:2	309:22 328:8	mess 165:8
158:20,25,25 159:3	297:21 298:5	meetinghouse 238:8	message 190:2
159:5 171:12,16,17	meanies 184:13	238:9	316:2
172:7,8 175:15,19	meaningful 9:6	meetinghouses	messy 60:17 108:7
281:14,18	12:17 22:5 26:17	238:2	met 125:5 144:14
mash 277:12	210:6 303:8	meetings 4:22 52:13	172:16 195:25
mason 158:11,13,14	meaningfully	74:17 121:11	279:17
158:20	187:22 188:10	melissa 2:23 293:3	metal 30:3 79:19
mass 273:12	means 53:15 64:3,5	293:16 302:11	metals 76:18 82:14
masses 77:17	98:15 223:19 234:9	313:19 325:12	meter 271:23
	288:19 321:2		

[method - morning] Page 366

method 201:5	midst 144:4 175:20	29.9 100.0 245.4 5	model 45:19 130:6
		28:8 109:9 245:4,5	139:14 164:23
202:16 203:6,19,25	mike 90:3 188:22	245:12,16 247:12	
204:15 205:16	258:24 275:19	247:18 248:5,9,19	182:15 282:22
206:6 207:22 213:6	mile 23:25	248:24 283:20	models 88:2 135:9
219:1,23 220:9	miles 115:20 171:12	minors 318:13	135:12 227:25
223:16,16 224:2	241:5 285:7 295:4	minute 8:14 53:1,2	moderate 263:16
225:3 229:13	301:25 302:1	85:21 227:15 262:7	moderating 199:8
256:10 289:21	307:13	288:11 293:18	moderator 2:8,11
methodology 233:11	miller 2:14,23 131:7	302:10,12 310:18	2:17,22 31:22 70:10
235:24	138:7 143:24 155:4	326:4	modern 166:18
methods 51:5 58:5	155:23 156:4,16	minutes 8:22 36:19	172:3
202:5,25 204:11	157:4 158:16,18	104:16 138:18	modesto 245:21
212:17 219:8,10,19	188:23 189:3,15,24	238:24 248:2	246:5 247:3
219:23 220:15	192:24 193:8,12,19	262:13,14 270:12	mohai 146:5 222:24
228:10 289:22	193:23 275:9,17	288:13	224:7 226:20 228:6
metrics 207:12	279:4 288:12	mirror 148:17	moment 238:16
210:2 214:4,19,24	292:13,17 318:18	misallocate 229:10	momentum 164:4
215:14,22 216:2,16	324:23 325:1	mischief 235:9	mommy 191:5
219:20	million 16:2 72:9	mismatch 44:15	monday 196:1
metro 71:16	89:12,13,16 118:25	61:21 62:3,20 67:15	money 71:20 91:6
metropolitan 162:7	183:21 184:9	69:12,16	93:23 118:3 166:17
mexico 5:1 200:15	244:24	misrepresent 212:4	181:12 182:13
325:5	millions 183:4,4	missed 229:15	197:1 229:6 306:11
mice 242:4	280:7 282:15	missing 274:11	monitor 82:6,22
michael 2:22 96:24	mind 73:5 85:12	mission 118:10	98:21
263:17,18 270:3,7	221:16 227:4	missionaries 75:22	monitoring 82:19
270:11 275:2,8	310:22	mississippi 118:11	83:4 128:9 291:22
288:10 291:9	mindful 14:24	119:4 152:19	monitors 289:2
292:15 293:1 302:9	minds 92:12 137:21	mistake 3:10	290:18 291:19
303:21 304:1 315:8	137:23 144:15	mistakes 291:14	monster 320:1
321:20 326:25	192:7	misusing 227:2	montague 4:5
328:10	mine 5:17 109:21	mitchell 165:23	montgomery 30:9
michael's 266:4	114:6 133:3 157:6	169:9	months 179:18,19
268:19	233:3	mitigate 59:17	285:11 291:4
michigan 145:10	mines 124:22	mitigations 152:21	moore 224:10
224:7	minimal 131:13	mix 301:24 302:6	moot 80:2
micro 303:7,8	minimize 283:23	mixed 29:19 178:10	moratorium 249:24
microphone 143:17	mining 113:16	178:11 250:24	morbidity 319:15
143:19,21	115:3 124:22	298:8 299:15	morello 223:1
mid 1:24 185:4	241:19	mixture 127:15	morning 8:7,8 33:6
middle 132:12	ministerial 159:19	mixtures 127:16	35:5 37:2 41:3,8,9
163:16 175:24	minneapolis 120:25	mobility 216:16	42:2 54:16,17,20
176:3,9 177:8 178:1	minority 9:19 10:1	mobilization 184:25	96:8 112:3 124:25
178:8 179:10	10:18 11:7,23 12:24	185:13	125:20 129:20
252:23 288:4	13:13,23 19:6 23:6		130:25 131:13,17

131:20 132:1,17,21	muskingum 243:14	natural 145:12	302:6,6 307:24
134:2 137:5 166:25	244:10	183:24 280:22	313:14,15,16
308:10	muslim 64:17	282:5,6	315:15,20 318:20
morphed 266:22	mutual 64:21 65:15	nature 190:25	320:9,10 321:19
_			·
mortality 78:7	65:22	navaho 114:23	323:20,21
mother's 158:8	mvps 327:15	navigate 188:9	needed 48:17 50:2
motivated 5:23	mysteriously 163:5	navy 39:4 115:11	61:1 64:25 65:22
92:24 125:13,15	163:6	ne 2:15 199:3	77:9 81:7,8 92:18
motivation 239:7	n	near 14:10 173:1	93:2 96:16 119:23
mountains 18:5	n 2:1	219:18 275:13	177:10 178:9
mountaintop 113:16	naacp 40:22	276:24 280:3	221:14 229:10
124:23	nail 86:6 321:17	nearby 296:22	230:6 251:3 285:19
mouth 115:12	name 4:11 8:9 78:18	nearly 23:9 328:1	285:25 287:12
mouthful 56:16	93:10 96:9 117:17	necessarily 146:20	needs 24:23 69:22
move 12:2,2,4 68:12	138:17 157:24	147:9 284:23	98:17 110:22
68:13 169:25	160:9 170:23	necessary 314:20	172:17 187:21
220:16 255:12	174:24 175:3 179:5	necessity 231:18	193:17 227:24
259:24 270:1	188:6 241:13	326:11	234:13 235:15,16
282:19	317:15	need 8:25 43:3 44:4	297:5 301:15
moved 223:2,14	named 173:16 179:4	44:5 52:2,3,3,18	302:16 321:18
movement 11:11	263:3	53:11,14,14,15,24	negative 311:6
18:2 20:20 21:2	names 72:23 169:17	54:1,1 55:8 64:1,2,8	312:8 314:14,25
22:14 34:2 51:9	324:10 327:22	64:10 65:9,10 66:18	negatives 58:9
70:25 94:19 159:22		67:10 77:1,2,2,3,4	neglect 25:17 31:2
160:3,15,20,22	napa 252:17 narrow 13:7,10	80:21 81:7,25 84:19	negotiate 89:2,14,23
166:9 267:15 294:7	nariow 13.7,10 nastiest 164:8	87:14 91:16 104:7,8	negotiating 89:23
294:11	nasty 162:24 164:8	104:8,8,9,9 108:15	negotiation 272:19
movements 267:18		117:9 125:12,15,17	neighborhood 20:25
moves 273:10	nation 135:19 179:7 294:4	125:20 126:3,3	28:6 29:6,20 30:3
moving 8:23 12:14	nation's 134:4 264:2	128:4,17,21 130:12	136:16 163:16
46:9 95:9 96:6	national 1:23 9:7	132:11 133:25	174:13 204:19,20
103:8 147:12 150:2	25:11 27:3 56:13,15	138:11 142:3	204:20 209:15,19
199:10 223:7 229:6	,	145:21 149:13,14	210:20 217:4 241:6
251:7	80:6 111:22 119:6	150:9 164:23	241:7 242:19
mud 246:20	120:1,16 169:12	173:12 176:8	243:16 266:13
multi 128:24 267:3	223:25 225:5 229:6	181:10 188:1,2	287:1,14 288:4,5
multifactorial 109:6	234:4,9 237:21	193:6,20,24 212:1	296:14,17 297:14
multiple 90:18	239:9 267:9 279:22	223:12 228:20	298:10,19 299:2,5,6
268:9	280:6 282:14	234:7 235:5,6,10	301:20 307:1,14
multiplier 16:8	317:12 318:22	236:12,22,23 237:1	310:12
multitude 87:20,24	nationally 201:18	251:10 254:17,17	neighborhood's
municipality 100:21	219:14 225:3	264:16 269:23	297:4
murder 308:9	228:23 234:8,19	273:13 274:7,23	neighborhoods 22:3
murders 92:4,5,7	native 173:7 236:24	276:12 282:5 288:5	28:7 30:14 31:1
	302:21 320:22	293:14 300:6,17	67:1 154:19 247:8
		2/3.17 300.0,1/	01.1 157.17 271.0

	1	T.	I
248:24 269:15,19	251:6 267:9,10	158:17 159:10	0
273:22,23 283:24	273:2 274:19	182:21 183:9	o'clock 3:7 166:24
309:10,15 314:10	282:22 284:12	262:20 293:5	oak 175:1
314:17 315:3	288:13 290:8 293:9	northeast 48:21	oakwood 114:13,15
neighboring 172:7	293:23 295:20	northern 29:14	obama 26:8 122:4
neighbors 136:4,21	309:10 311:16	159:1 295:13	155:17 321:11,12
285:1	313:7,12,13 314:1,8	northwestern	obesity 136:20
neither 268:23	314:14 315:1,2,16	162:12	object 11:11
328:23	320:19 325:5	note 3:11 8:6 93:19	objection 318:17
nejac 201:10,14	news 232:19	notes 326:1	objectives 67:11
224:20 236:2 255:7	newspapers 52:12	notice 120:4 208:23	obscure 272:4
256:8 258:1	249:22	220:10 227:12	observation 102:1
nepa 27:4,6	newtown 168:8,9	257:22 285:8	observational 57:22
nerds 207:6 209:9	nice 13:7 71:5	noticed 47:23	observations 158:3
network 38:9	113:24 206:18	217:23 308:6	observe 276:3 289:3
109:11 110:11	209:15 237:12,16	notification 140:11	obsolete 270:22
111:1 147:18	238:10 265:25,25	288:22	obstacle 29:23 90:24
324:15	282:16	notified 79:6	
neutral 25:6 292:3	nicely 199:24	notion 9:24 12:16	obstacles 90:17
neutralized 292:22	niche 301:11,25	133:20	obtain 283:21
never 39:15 40:2	nigger 241:16	notwithstanding	obvious 254:19
44:25 48:2,8 53:6	night 37:7 74:16	29:20	obviously 40:21 256:13 260:25
54:8 71:6 72:9	285:16 303:14,14	november 251:23	319:4
86:17 144:16	nights 118:22	271:25 306:19	
170:25 171:15,17	nine 3:7 132:25	noxious 311:12,16	occasionally 72:6 274:21
174:20 183:13	174:21 243:9	311:18 312:8	occidental 200:11
184:8 187:7 260:4	244:17	nuisance 11:25	325:7
265:7	ninety 3:8	number 22:15 40:21	occupancy 299:10
nevertheless 169:5	ninth 116:4,24	69:6 94:8 114:8,10	occupational 123:3
182:15	249:15	124:20 125:24	123:16,19 205:4
new 4:25 8:21 16:1	noble 55:14 138:1	131:13 147:1 167:2	occupied 115:1
16:1 21:21 25:22	noise 264:15 310:16	183:20 203:20	occupied 113.1
30:1,2 31:7,12 48:8	noisy 76:6	206:22 208:18	occurred 216:10
84:13 108:20	non 37:11 38:8 62:7	210:21 212:11,12	290:7
109:14 115:17,18	71:4 172:19 205:4	219:9 239:21	occurring 265:13
115:21 116:2,3,7,16	327:24	240:12 257:9	october 1:11
116:19 126:10	nonsense 198:2	259:19 264:11	odor 184:12
130:3 133:1 136:12	nonviolence 93:13	265:3 266:3 280:11	odor 184:12 odyssey 122:11
149:6 158:6,7 165:9	93:15	323:19	oeca 223:20
169:24 174:4,5	normal 110:4 292:8	numbers 72:24,24	oeca 223:20 offend 184:10
177:1 183:24	292:10	numerous 31:9	offensive 241:14
184:11,14,15,17	normally 58:7 61:1	249:22	
198:12 200:15	norms 43:25	nutter 306:7 319:4	offer 24:9 27:9
201:23 211:3,9,9,9	north 19:9 20:22		41:13 283:7
224:8 249:3,4,9	29:6 48:20 63:16		
, ,		1	

[offering - overcome] Page 369

offering 27:18	281:23 295:20	268:11,15 269:10	organizing 44:6,21
offers 328:19	298:24	296:5 328:10	97:2,7,13 139:15
offgassing 121:5	olden 56:12,19 57:4	opposite 264:18	153:3 155:23
office 16:5 26:9	older 170:20 171:2	opposition 71:22	185:20 186:15,23
46:20 48:9 50:8	308:15 320:20	oppressed 89:21	217:25 218:3
120:22 121:4	olney 303:5	oppressive 77:11	326:20
157:19 237:21,22	omega 224:9	options 20:1	
266:14 285:9	once 28:10 43:24	_	organs 297:9 orientation 57:12
		order 23:1,11 24:14 136:25 138:12	
308:15 316:18,19	48:16 87:24 93:14		oriented 125:14
328:8	176:12 190:1	142:25 177:10	249:7
officer 38:12	209:16 274:18	202:7,15 204:5	origin 9:8 25:11
offices 316:11	312:7	206:8 210:9 211:2	239:9
official 309:24	one's 3:14	234:7 240:17 247:2	original 287:24
officials 26:14 81:17	ones 108:2 124:13	249:23 251:14	326:1
104:10 264:19	210:24 213:20	276:9 282:6 284:4	originally 12:11
320:10	216:20 218:19	297:22	157:10 158:6
offline 152:10	258:7 264:5 274:10	orderly 327:24	orleans 115:17,18
153:12	308:7 318:11	orders 249:20	115:21 116:2,3,7,16
oftentimes 11:10	ongoing 279:25	ordinance 85:2	116:19 249:3,5,9
oh 113:23 121:11	280:10	140:11 250:4,16	251:7
143:22 184:3	online 6:6	279:9,14 285:23	osha 139:3
195:20 199:12	ontario 218:4	organ 107:6	oswald 262:24
231:4 233:2 279:4	open 44:25 50:12	organic 174:3	outcomes 66:13
310:23 311:19	75:12 117:3 195:14	organization 6:16	108:13 215:10
ohio 241:1,9 243:10	208:9 210:8 258:20	106:10 113:1	outdid 246:25
okay 17:2 45:18	313:13	121:25 130:3 133:8	outgassing 118:18
46:6,19 47:2,8 48:3	opened 117:1	162:5 168:8 184:25	outhouses 242:5
51:1 55:1,3 65:5,12	144:15,15 170:12	194:21 237:24	outlined 67:21
87:1 97:18 105:20	opening 187:14	295:10 328:13	outreach 235:6
112:1 131:4,23	243:8,23 260:3,4	organizational	outright 222:6,10
132:6,21 133:2,24	openings 253:21	11:10	outside 3:11 20:7,8
134:7 199:25 227:9	operate 29:10 68:4	organizations 45:7	73:6,20 149:14
230:9 233:2,5 235:3	84:18 108:7 242:5	74:3 75:2 218:6	235:21 241:8 244:9
236:14,19,20 237:2	operating 29:7	288:23,24	249:4 287:2,9
249:7,23 260:5,15	82:23 89:3 302:2	organize 43:22	outsiders 36:15
262:6 263:18 270:9	operationalize	44:23 90:15 166:5	outstanding 197:14
270:13 275:2	201:11	185:5 269:11	overall 19:19,22
288:13 302:11	operations 85:5	organized 20:23	66:21 77:12 86:24
old 86:4 108:23	opinion 25:1 157:20	37:24 43:13 269:16	89:24 92:16 226:8
119:6 129:9,10	opportunities 24:3,9	269:24	281:2 282:7 284:2
135:13 159:21,24	27:19 254:22 256:7	organizer 33:7	292:19,24
168:10 171:14	283:19 296:19	113:14 115:18,25	overburdened 25:16
174:22 176:13	opportunity 3:18	organizers 90:8,9,12	27:9 29:1 31:1
185:13 193:11	27:10 85:10 129:19	114:21 185:10,14	overcome 29:24
201:9 221:24	170:4 263:23		59:17 118:18

[overcome - parts] Page 370

142:24	paint 281:25	183:7,7 262:20	186:22 204:7
overlays 270:21	palpable 67:19	263:13	particular 21:11
overly 93:18	panacea 59:22	parking 72:4	56:3,18 74:14 99:4
overseeing 46:21	69:21	parkland 30:2	130:9 132:19
oversees 32:6	panasonic 16:2	parks 205:13 306:25	135:19 136:19
oversight 28:19	panel 4:14 31:21	parkway 182:25	137:22 140:17
119:16	35:5,14 70:9 90:8	parlance 220:20	141:8,9 168:19
overstudied 1:3	90:22 96:25 102:7	parnell 239:19	172:9 200:3 217:4
106:18,21 221:20	103:8,19,21 104:3	245:8 248:20	231:16 232:17
221:23 233:18	135:22 152:5	part 4:7 22:23 24:24	233:12 236:24
overturn 231:22	157:14 171:8 179:3	36:4 43:4,22 44:8	294:8 295:2 296:12
overview 8:14,22	180:16 189:4 199:8	48:1,6,16,22 49:6,9	297:21 300:23
9:1 17:6	199:9 200:1,2,7	49:10 56:14 58:12	301:16,17 302:1,2
overweighted	238:13 254:12	64:9 65:19,21 66:5	320:15
234:21	260:24 262:13	70:3,12 78:1,2 82:1	particularly 15:17
overwhelming 94:7	263:16 276:4,4,16	85:12 100:24	33:6 39:7,17 86:18
owe 32:11	277:24 287:9,16	106:16,21 108:14	96:25 99:2 158:24
owned 283:20	316:6	127:7 133:16,18	162:17 168:12
299:14	panel's 31:22	145:11 148:20	178:24 182:11
ownership 106:20	panelist 37:2 111:12	149:10 150:11	227:2 269:7 273:13
299:8	324:25	162:12 176:15	277:17 282:9
owns 109:12	panelists 2:8,12,18	210:5 213:8 222:20	318:13
oz 174:23	2:22 4:15 31:23	256:1 268:14	particulate 76:16
p	36:10 54:20 90:16	294:10 296:15	98:12,24 290:10
p's 55:11	102:11 103:11	307:20,21	particulates 82:15
p.m. 153:22 329:6	104:18,22 111:14	participants 31:21	partly 266:20
pa 1:16 2:16 6:25	125:1 143:14,20	199:9	partner 62:15
199:3	254:5 265:2 268:4	participate 31:4	116:12
pace 274:5	panels 5:22 31:17	64:7 187:22 326:7	partnered 100:3
package 207:14,15	49:7 60:8 152:7	participated 4:14	partnering 45:8
packed 42:8	309:4 326:8	65:18,21	partners 47:6 53:25
packet 108:17	paper 210:3,7	participating	181:22 252:13,14
224:15,16	224:25	193:25	partnership 38:19
page 2:2 79:16	papers 44:13	participation 23:23	41:2 53:20 100:14
186:19 319:4	paradigm 10:4,7	24:4,10 27:20 152:6	165:11 178:7
326:20	12:20 15:18	153:16 227:25	258:13 324:17
pages 184:13 224:21	paralysis 233:20	229:22 274:6	partnerships 37:16
240:21,22 243:19	paranoid 310:19	participatory 38:17	44:11 50:15 52:4
270:20 272:8	parcel 284:1 288:2	56:6,7,8 57:5,20	53:19,23 113:10
paid 16:2 63:19,20	parents 100:8	58:13 59:2,14 60:12	139:18 149:13,15
64:2 74:13 166:2	parish 116:2,5	61:19 63:15 65:17	150:3
167:12,15,16 242:1	249:4,19 250:1	67:22 68:11 69:25	parts 118:24 126:13
pain 173:23 312:6	251:2,13	93:20 97:13 99:8	146:6 149:12,12
312:11	park 2:20 19:8 29:6	110:11 149:21	150:8 176:10 273:8
J12.11	136:8,9,9,11 163:16	150:23 152:9	273:11,13,14

[party - person] Page 371

party 131:8	peer 100:7	124:2,11 126:20	310:25 311:1,15,17
	peggy 157:8,12,16	128:5,24 129:9,12	310.23 311.1,13,17
pass 165:19 170:8 221:2	1 000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	185:5 276:15,16	132:12 133:2,12	319:12,16,18,21 320:13 327:9
passage 175:7	penn 106:16 111:5	135:18 137:4,8	
279:17	151:11,20	138:6,8 139:16	people's 29:10
passed 122:6 141:2	penngrove 253:10	140:5 141:4 142:9	168:25 188:8 285:2
141:3 187:5 249:24	pennsylvania 1:25	143:18 144:14	292:20
249:25 311:15	18:22,24 23:18	147:5 153:11	pepper 4:4
passes 194:4	27:21 29:14 37:22	155:13 156:21,23	perceived 300:4
passing 3:11	40:24 48:14,16,22	157:23 158:1,13,19	percent 3:8 16:14,16
passion 137:19	92:1 106:15 109:14	159:4,9,15 160:24	18:25 19:1,10,11,11
138:6 304:14	157:18 159:6 172:6	161:5,8 162:25	19:14,15,25 107:16
passionate 138:4,8	175:14,18 196:4	163:18 166:24	126:8 199:16
passions 272:6	pennsylvania's	167:2,5,6 168:5,15	204:24 212:20,20
passivist 93:18	23:20 24:1,6 25:21	170:22 172:1 173:5	215:11 247:9,9
pastor 222:25	37:24	173:6 174:14,16	249:10,17 291:3
path 140:12 167:19	people 3:8 8:20 9:7	175:9 176:7 177:14	292:10,10 298:15
patient 53:17	9:13 11:18 12:1,2	178:8,12 180:24	298:20,21,21,22,23
148:15 191:14	17:1 18:20 22:22	181:16 183:15	299:8,10 306:14,17
patients 109:22	30:14 33:16 34:1,12	187:25 189:17	306:22 307:3
148:3,4	37:4 41:11,14 43:3	190:16,17,18,21	percentage 301:19
pattern 220:18	43:9,21,24 44:16,18	191:7,19,22 192:6	perception 300:9
patterns 220:19	44:24,25 45:4,9,14	192:18,22 193:3,4	perfect 25:13 96:13
paul 146:5 183:25	45:21,22 46:5,13	193:24 194:14,22	175:17 206:15
222:24 224:6	47:1,16 48:6,8,11	195:1,15,16,19,22	271:18
226:20 228:6	48:13,23,25 49:12	196:13,21 197:2,4,6	performed 28:1
pause 103:12 155:1	51:10 52:2,5,8,13	197:8,20 198:4	peridot 116:5
233:4	52:18 55:6 57:2	205:3,9 212:12	period 91:12 215:12
paved 246:19	58:16,24 60:11,23	215:17,18 216:18	permit 28:17 29:7
pay 34:8 63:17 64:7	61:2 66:2 68:8,13	216:18 221:13	82:14
64:9 74:8 86:22	71:5,5,11,12,22	224:8,9 231:1,7	permits 23:24 28:2
130:11,16 157:25	73:13,19 74:11,13	236:24 239:17	251:17 300:16
210:8	74:15,18,22,23 75:8	251:8 254:3 255:16	permitting 27:17
paying 38:15	75:10,17 77:4,5	256:24 258:14	28:19 80:4 187:24
pbg 2:16 199:3	80:7,18,20 81:4,12	264:15 266:8 273:8	231:22 232:4,16
pcb 20:24	83:6 84:3 85:14	274:17 276:21	315:17,22 316:1
pdf 210:11	86:12 87:5,19 91:1	278:25 280:19	pernicious 113:15
peak 267:18	91:10,17 92:8,13,23	282:8,8,16,25	perpetuates 248:6
pediatric 110:20	93:6,6 96:15 98:1	287:15 288:2,6	250:7
pediatrician 110:13	98:21 99:9 100:21	292:23 297:23	perplexed 238:12
pediatricians 107:6	102:6 104:4,12,13	301:25 302:18,21	persistence 186:6
109:1,17 111:9	105:1,7,18 113:23	302:23,25 303:9	persistent 20:11
147:17 148:1,14	118:15 119:22	304:8 305:10,21	person 5:9 56:10
pediatrics 105:12,15	120:8 121:15	306:8,9 307:5,23	60:3 71:1 74:19
106:1,4,12 107:25	122:14 123:20,22	308:24 309:9,20	95:23,23 147:9
106:1,4,12 107:25	122:14 123:20,22	308:24 309:9,20	95:23,23 147:9

[person - please] Page 372

154.20 155.5 10	266.25 267.6 272.1	nighanded 106.17	200.20 214.19
154:20 155:5,19	266:25 267:6 273:1	pigheaded 196:17	300:20 314:18
159:18 169:9	278:14 293:15,22	pigs 179:13	planned 71:3
189:20,21 196:1	294:2,6,12,15,25	pike 75:7	planner 265:19
199:16 310:5,10	295:6 296:9 297:24	pilcop 3:15,23 4:8	266:21 294:13
323:16	306:14,16 313:7	70:11 100:14	317:14
personal 266:6	philadelphia's	325:15 326:24	planners 31:11
personally 35:22	29:18	328:19	276:5
personifies 206:23	philadelphians	pilot 83:22	planning 2:17,21
persons 163:2	273:24 306:4	pink 208:24	4:14 24:22 28:3
291:22	philly 175:13	pinpoint 90:23	31:13 35:9 36:13
perspective 2:7	philosophy 219:24	225:8	37:9 190:11,12,15
30:22 33:3 36:11,12	phl 2:16 199:3	pioneered 248:15	199:5 200:5 211:5
36:13 41:15 63:6	phoenix 180:18	pipeline 114:1	262:3 265:16,18
65:7 91:10 104:5	phone 47:18 96:2	pipes 244:3,9	268:21 269:3,5,10
105:24 146:11	149:18	pitting 320:17	269:12 283:5
155:8,19 235:18	photos 297:19 300:5	pittsburgh 37:3,17	294:14,16 295:16
295:8 296:23	phrase 304:25	38:2 42:21 52:7	313:4 314:8 317:18
perspectives 224:12	physical 309:10	101:4 324:18	318:2,10,17 325:15
pesticide 162:17	physician 127:20	place 10:14 11:2	plans 60:19 75:5
pesticides 139:25	285:5	21:11 24:17 34:22	273:19
140:2,11,14 162:19	physicians 106:23	71:20,21 97:3 132:9	plant 72:3 82:12
petition 163:25	128:20 129:1	157:23 162:4,14	86:10 114:6,7
petitioned 120:4	pick 91:9 96:2	166:6 170:22	182:22 183:1,2,9
petrochemical	176:13 215:2	171:10,10,23 173:5	184:23 207:4
86:10 115:22	233:11 255:8	175:24 176:21,22	241:23 242:1
ph 71:16 72:16	picked 180:12	208:8 219:22	plants 115:22
pharmaceutical	229:18	236:23 238:9	plastic 79:4 140:21
38:20,22	picker 289:21	272:20 288:17	290:2
phenomena 284:13	picking 91:10 200:1	307:24,25 308:1	plate 81:18 84:11
phenomenal 99:20	229:8 260:1	312:9 316:14 320:4	236:3
phenomenon 12:5	picture 78:16 87:6	327:25	plateau 267:19
293:24	229:3 239:11,13	placed 290:19	play 44:8 72:5,7
philadelphia 1:8,16	240:19 243:6,22	placement 252:22	85:13 99:15 100:24
1:25 18:23 19:1,9	244:21 256:23,24	places 31:3 100:23	195:5 196:25
21:24 29:6 38:19	276:10 291:10	136:12,14 148:24	276:13
39:19,23 54:7 61:23	pictures 160:4	161:23,25 172:21	played 21:1 22:23
63:17 64:15 67:15	pie 94:18	172:22 173:2	256:20 267:13
74:24 99:6 105:13	piece 17:24 44:2	219:17	players 43:12 80:19
106:5 107:14,23	49:11,14,21 51:23	placing 313:22	327:8
109:13 112:6	52:14 87:10 206:5	plain 44:19	playgrounds 205:13
124:20 126:8 133:2	286:22,22	plan 17:21 53:12,13	playing 57:7
146:10 149:7	pieces 41:18 49:18	75:8 78:3 88:6	playing 37.7 plays 13:4 15:20
154:15 156:20	50:17,19 160:14	100:16 183:7 273:2	141:22
154:15 156:20	193:24 280:13	274:3 276:23,24	please 8:24 54:25
	173.44 400.13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 ~
206:16 262:21		286:11,16 287:4,20	57:10 58:10 65:14

[please - practices] Page 373

102:12 103:14,18	policies 24:9 132:9	126:15 197:18,22	possibly 86:23 297:3
155:25 203:4,23	133:5,7 134:14	197:25 204:2	post 26:16 267:7,8
205:15 210:12	138:12,13 297:13	205:10 206:10	273:14 290:4
218:23 228:21	312:16	210:17 214:9 215:9	postal 278:1
230:22 232:25	policy 22:21 23:23	292:24 306:23	postpone 287:21
233:1 240:5 248:13	27:4 38:3 45:13	polygons 209:9,11	potential 26:20
275:4 323:5 325:17	57:25 106:25	209:13,17 210:20	227:1
pleased 6:4 34:21	108:16,22 130:1	211:16	potentially 59:16
40:6	131:1,14 132:14,22	pomona 218:4	95:7
pleasure 39:7	133:13 134:5,13,18	pontchartrain 249:8	potomac 115:13
105:22 125:1	135:5,17,24 137:9	pool 168:14 252:3	poured 280:7
237:10 263:19	139:13,23 140:18	308:8,15	poverty 22:1 71:25
264:7 304:2 328:9	141:1 142:8 152:13	pooped 91:3 93:6	90:18 299:3
plenty 13:14,20	194:3 222:19	poor 19:20,22 20:2	power 10:22,23 11:8
plethora 113:18	235:18 304:13	24:18 26:6 28:8	11:9 13:2 25:15
plotted 242:22	305:5,6 312:21,24	280:19 281:10	30:19 55:11 71:13
plumbing 242:6	313:3 314:3	poorly 128:18	73:20 75:10,10 77:7
plume 214:12	policylab 108:21	populate 243:16	95:14 97:1 101:6
plus 135:13	policymakers 107:1	populated 298:3	114:6 124:12,13
pocketbook 198:6	193:3 203:16	population 29:25	134:8 186:18
podium 36:23	policymaking	148:15 204:25	187:10 254:18
point 28:12 53:13	133:15 141:5	212:10,14,14 246:4	291:23 308:3 309:1
53:13 61:12,22 64:4	political 10:22,22	246:13 298:9,23,23	powerful 12:10 21:8
65:8 79:21 95:19	11:9 16:21 25:15	301:20	21:8 28:7 142:11
97:5 132:7 135:8	97:6,8 125:11 197:8	populations 19:6	155:14 250:14
165:21 166:2	320:10,16	23:6 29:21 296:6	252:7 256:4,5,14
173:12 185:1,5	politically 28:7	320:17,19,20	260:19 304:10
193:5,17 210:14	politician 16:24	port 152:18,19	powerless 71:11,23
216:6 228:25	politicians 71:13	207:2	powers 99:25
256:13 265:5,9,22	73:18 74:6 80:20	portion 66:2 214:13	practical 187:21
267:5 268:7,10	81:1,11,12 101:2,10	pose 85:22 111:11	258:25
269:24 291:16,20	101:19 125:15	128:11 143:14	practice 38:14
292:18,19 295:2	politics 99:15	position 47:10	108:22 109:11
pointed 66:9 146:18	100:24	119:25	113:15 130:6
258:7	polled 306:3	positioned 36:1	147:18 148:2
pointing 143:4	pollutants 258:8	positions 35:12	172:11,13 175:5
points 21:16 31:19	pollute 14:9,14,21	125:3	180:21 266:17,17
51:17 127:18 200:2	polluted 19:2	positive 97:12,19	266:19,20 267:23
225:15 265:4	polluters 115:15	161:18 264:8	285:22,24 293:18
315:19	264:5	304:11	295:16 305:5 319:6
poisoned 120:19,21	polluting 22:3,4	positives 58:8	319:23 320:6
292:23	307:12 315:17	possibility 285:20	practiced 266:11
poisoning 180:9	pollution 14:11,15	possible 132:12	practices 38:21
281:13 286:15	14:16 15:10 25:16	161:25 212:7	107:20 109:13,16
	38:20 113:25	233:15	145:1,2 148:9

[practices - product] Page 374

180:19 265:11	presently 276:13	printing 224:25	procedures 272:11
288:16 291:24	president 22:25	prior 221:18	289:25
322:2	38:7 122:4 321:11	prioritize 87:25	proceeding 232:4
practicing 265:6,19	press 258:4	priority 92:12	proceedings 6:4
practitioner 104:24	pressing 258:1	private 24:16 25:2	210:3 214:1 220:25
practitioners 265:8	pressure 71:17	26:1,19 71:2 114:22	232:16
pragmatic 9:17	111:6 222:17	225:21 269:18	process 26:22 27:17
praise 96:13	236:13	privilege 112:19	28:9 31:4 43:4
pray 156:22	preterm 215:11	proactive 122:13	45:17 46:13,14
pre 259:4	pretty 117:10 147:2	318:23	47:12,14,15 48:7
preached 292:6	186:13 212:16	proactively 318:16	49:7,10,14 50:1,11
preaching 220:23	213:9 217:15 227:6	probably 9:13,15,17	53:18 80:4 83:21
preamble 2:48:2	243:6 247:11	14:2 36:21 106:17	131:9 133:13
precautionary	267:13,24 278:16	119:12 195:20	134:19 135:23
129:7	290:24 317:11	213:7 215:19	137:9,24 139:13
precedent 27:25	prevalency 29:22	223:20 227:6,18	141:5,10 142:11
precepts 123:6,7	prevalent 206:24	232:10 260:1 266:9	149:10 177:15,17
precision 212:5	prevent 23:7 175:9	267:7 268:6,13	177:22 178:4,22,23
predictability	265:13	293:24	180:8 181:2,16,20
272:23	preventing 55:12	problem 20:4,6	181:22 182:1
predictor 21:6	prevention 38:20	29:22 46:24,25 47:2	184:21 187:24
predominant 186:5	64:16	52:20 63:3 64:13	188:1,9,11 194:2,17
preliminary 201:24	previous 171:8	74:5 75:12,20,25	194:19,23 195:14
premature 169:2	179:3 251:14	86:4,14,16 87:22	195:16 197:5,8
281:17 319:15	275:23 276:4	89:1 116:21 119:6	200:5 207:7 229:23
premier 149:2	277:24	127:9 206:24	230:6 235:16
175:22	previously 85:6	220:21,22 231:11	254:25 259:5,6
preparatory 149:18	price 30:2	233:7 248:7 252:10	274:22 277:11,20
prepare 17:21	primarily 107:13	258:10,11,15	285:15 287:4 289:3
prepared 4:20 186:7	primary 107:15,19	270:15 272:24	289:18 290:23,25
259:11 260:12	108:1 109:12,17	309:20,21 310:18	291:4 292:9 315:23
preparing 326:8	110:12,20 111:9	310:19 311:4	315:23 316:1,1,2
preponderance	147:17,25 148:1,9	313:10 314:24	318:24 327:25
168:5 256:19	148:14 285:5	problematic 222:16	processes 28:4
preposterous	primer 17:6	229:8	187:23 195:6
278:14	primitive 240:7	problems 59:6,23	272:14 291:13
preschool 308:6,18	prince 281:21	81:14,16 90:19	prodded 244:18
present 263:16	principal 325:15	93:22 94:8 123:24	produce 150:8
presentation 42:4	principle 129:7	123:25 125:24	264:20,21 323:5
42:20 70:10 138:18	264:10	135:20 139:10,19	produced 21:10
200:24 282:18	principles 2:4 8:3	140:17 141:8,9	produces 264:17
presentations 43:17	28:20 67:20,24 68:2	167:18 169:1	product 66:12 73:11
45:21 82:3 287:5	68:11	204:14 248:4 274:8	131:20 133:18
presented 1:7 40:24	print 37:13 53:3	procedural 27:7	139:7,21 151:16

nnoductive 20.25	248:16 266:16	nuonosal 20.11	nnovidona 00.27
productive 30:25 103:7 277:13	283:18,21 284:2	proposal 29:11 148:9	providers 98:3,7 99:10 107:15
	· ·		110:20
productivity 328:6 products 121:9,17	287:8,19,23 291:11 293:6 303:11	proposed 20:24 252:19,19	
_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	provides 296:19,20
138:14,25 139:10	324:19 325:13	proposes 29:17	296:21
profession 129:17	projected 271:14	pros 58:11	providing 118:6
137:20	274:19	prospective 227:7	290:9
professional 53:1	projects 27:7 63:16	228:1 229:21	proving 239:4
123:15 145:18,22	101:11 148:6	prospectively	proximity 72:11
professionals 30:17	250:18 264:22	227:19	80:8 83:13 191:24
63:25 70:14 269:9	266:13,14 272:15	prospects 276:10	205:19 207:12
professions 70:14	272:16 283:11	prosperous 301:23	209:22 210:14
105:3	303:13,19	protect 133:5,7	213:12,14
professor 8:10 9:11	proliferation 278:3	135:18 140:9	public 1:8 18:18
32:5 123:1 179:1	prolonging 55:13	142:16 147:19	23:22 24:3,9,21
189:12 200:10,14	prominent 201:18	288:17 306:15,18	27:19 29:20 33:13
200:14,21 324:22	promised 262:15	protected 25:5,10	35:9 38:13 54:19
325:4,6	promises 31:16 74:9	protecting 26:11	55:5,8,10,15 56:4
profit 37:11 38:8	promote 1:4 121:25	129:8 137:10 138:8	56:11,21 57:1,3
profits 62:7	221:21 263:23	138:9 142:11	59:9 66:15 69:19,20
profusely 167:2	316:23 317:7	protection 22:17	81:17,22 87:17 91:9
progenerators	promoted 151:11	23:21 37:23 142:22	101:13 104:10
328:11	promoting 55:12	143:1 157:19	105:6 107:24 112:6
program 4:13 6:21	promotion 150:22	169:14 184:16	112:7,15,20 117:2,7
8:23 32:7 57:25	promulgate 195:13	211:1 288:6 321:3	127:2,22 134:23
58:21 83:22 100:2	promulgated 25:4	protective 182:2	145:11,16 146:3
113:9,10 116:12	119:8 120:3 172:9	protest 20:23 80:19	154:14,18,18
141:19 220:20	279:8	80:24	156:19 157:5,21
250:22 301:1,5,14	prong 185:19	protests 20:25	160:25 164:21,25
303:4,5	186:23	protocol 182:6,8	165:1 170:10
programmable	propaganda 256:6	282:24 285:19	180:23 182:2
207:22	propel 322:1	286:25 287:10	184:25 185:3,8,12
programming	propensity 180:11	protocols 181:18,19	185:16,18 200:20
207:13	proper 186:25	283:22 284:25	202:14 227:25
programs 5:3 23:5,7	260:10	286:1,16,19 287:4,7	229:14,22 258:15
24:5 116:15	properly 163:14	proud 122:3 328:12	269:11,16 272:13
progress 261:1	273:12	prove 26:19 85:4,7,8	274:16 301:7,19
progressed 46:19	properties 12:4	148:1 151:15	303:8 305:1 306:20
prohibited 239:10	140:21 290:7,16	238:18,25 254:19	309:17,23,24 314:3
project 2:15 27:10	298:4 299:11,11	295:2	316:24 317:5,7
28:16,19,23 38:2	property 11:25	provide 8:12 62:10	320:10
42:22 52:7 54:19	159:7 178:18 285:2	210:3 283:9,16	publically 259:23
62:5 88:11,17 101:4	290:19	295:15 315:1	publication 181:2
151:8 155:21 179:9	proportion 272:17	provided 286:18	published 6:5 181:3
199:3 203:10 204:8	1 -1		210:7 325:23
177.8 288.10 20 110			

publishing 6:3	puzzle 49:15	258:24	rail 207:2
puerto 114:20		quickly 147:8	railroad 159:8
pull 95:14	q	250:12 254:12	165:12,15
pulled 79:15 306:6	quaker 158:4	257:22 262:18	rain 313:16,21
purely 298:7 318:11	quakers 159:6	309:2	rainy 206:14
1	qualified 107:23		raise 74:5 80:25
purple 246:2	qualifies 24:1	quiet 71:3	
purpose 202:5	qualitative 58:7	quintile 212:21	156:24 197:1,16
328:16	quality 20:1 40:16	213:4	279:3
pursuits 138:1	78:19 84:16 98:24	quintiles 212:19	raised 72:13 111:17
push 137:14 138:12	161:15 164:19	quite 86:15 132:12	158:7
140:25	180:20 183:19	137:18 183:11,14	raising 72:2,8,21
pushed 258:19	184:23 207:18,19	214:16 300:7	112:12 118:3
pushing 101:22	219:18 281:2 282:7	quote 54:6,6	ran 79:2
put 11:1 12:6 33:12	290:21 307:2 309:9	r	randall 317:15
37:12 38:13 39:18	309:13 314:13	r 2:11 104:2 111:13	range 235:5
45:2 56:20 76:1,3	quarterback 163:8	122:21 129:24	ranged 266:14
76:24 79:4 80:14	queen 116:2	141:23 142:2	ranging 264:22
81:1 91:24 100:9	question 30:6 85:23	143:12 146:8	rank 212:19
114:1 115:11	95:13 102:2 127:19	150:18 151:22	rankle 133:1
131:16 132:11,20	135:3,21 144:1	150:16 151:22	ranks 150:22
139:22 163:23	145:5 146:11	199:14,22	rapid 247:2
166:16 167:17,19	148:20 152:8,25	r.p.r. 1:20	rat 78:23 79:3,15
168:15 172:15,22	179:2 189:9 192:13	race 9:7 21:3,5,13	rate 18:25 19:9,13
172:23,24,24,25		25:10 155:11	19:16 22:10 78:7,8
177:13 180:7 192:3	192:14 197:15,17 197:21 254:11		78:9 126:8 281:19
203:21 221:17,17		172:10 173:9	299:10
224:14,14 229:14	258:21 259:1,9	175:10 239:9	rates 19:7 20:5
229:21 232:6	266:4 268:19	242:22 243:2,5	91:25 126:11 136:5
236:13 242:2 243:7	276:14,17,21 277:4	256:20 319:18	299:8
243:23 250:6	277:6,10,13 293:13	320:12	rational 10:25
253:23 263:1	316:8,10,22	rachel 222:25	rats 78:22,25 79:12
267:24 277:10	questioning 101:11	racial 10:16 64:11	raw 183:4,21 184:9
282:21 288:16	questions 85:20	65:6 124:4 160:17	226:11
302:19 306:11	104:19 110:17	172:5 175:17 243:1	raymond 40:22
308:14 310:13,14	111:8,8,11,16,17,18	243:3 277:25 279:8	reach 94:14 267:18
311:7 312:14,15	111:19 112:10	racism 171:19	reaching 268:7,10
313:16 317:5	126:2 137:22,23	racist 249:11	reactionary 152:15
321:21 326:1	142:15 143:14,19	radar 309:18	152:15
puts 136:18	153:11,14 181:18	radiates 294:7	read 9:11 106:17
putting 33:9 58:15	188:19 189:2	304:11	171:25 180:2 181:7
70:9 81:3 83:22	198:16 221:3 237:3	radical 71:7 156:12	200:7 225:14
90:9 170:22 224:17	254:13 275:3 289:4	173:20	255:20 270:22
	316:5	radius 283:16	
309:23 313:3	quick 173:12 182:17	rafter 143:7	291:10
319:24 320:5	186:11 247:11		readily 269:20
			309:16 314:18

[reading - referred] Page 377

reading 69:7,9	121:25 122:23,23	292:16,18 298:6	recommendation
ready 3:9	123:21 127:4,16	301:15 302:7	233:9
reaggregating	128:6 129:15	303:17 305:2 306:9	recommendations
162:18	132:18 133:3	307:15 308:24	211:6,7 226:21,25
real 24:20 30:13,14	134:10 135:24	309:3,5 311:6	234:24 236:4,7
30:14 32:9 69:16	137:14 144:14,20	312:16 313:8,24	recommended
93:22 117:13	144:20,20,25,25	314:2 318:8,19,19	210:25 228:22
	145:6 147:11	/ /	
128:21 135:9 150:5		318:23 319:19,20	235:4
176:8 190:13	148:13 150:12	323:8 328:14	reconcile 263:22
194:21 220:20	151:14,14 154:19	realm 318:11	reconfigured 228:20
232:1 248:7 257:24	154:21 155:16,23	realtime 187:21	record 109:19
258:10,11,24	159:12,16,16	reason 5:18,20,25	112:24
262:18 273:18	160:12 161:21	61:18 67:5 88:19	records 215:15
277:8,12 280:24	163:6,7 164:15	128:21 163:9 170:1	243:20
308:23 313:11	166:3 167:19,22	204:15 207:17	recover 163:13
328:15	169:6,18 170:19	232:13 233:13	recovering 304:24
reality 61:8 63:9	171:1,9 176:21	237:17 241:18	recreational 92:23
91:7 154:17 300:10	178:6,14,22 179:1	243:7 244:18	recycling 83:11
realization 93:17	179:22 182:18	259:25 266:19	194:12
realize 75:6,19	184:2 186:18	reasonable 271:13	red 211:19 213:13
133:15 309:20	187:11,11 190:25	reasons 114:16	247:8 249:18
realized 50:5 73:1	191:4,6 192:18,22	194:18 230:6	252:18
80:13,19 81:6,24	195:21 196:4,5	285:18	redeveloped 284:1
92:13 119:5 238:16	201:9 202:12,25	recall 27:15	redevelopment
326:8	204:10,13,16	receive 25:8 31:15	273:13 276:24
really 8:13 16:12	206:16,23 214:15	received 40:9,21	282:22 283:2,11,18
32:11 33:17 34:1	214:21 219:12	receives 27:16	291:12
35:6,23,25 36:1,6	221:14 223:1 225:2	209:17	redrawn 240:16
36:20 39:15 40:3	225:8,10,19 226:2,9	receiving 22:5 30:4	redress 24:19 28:24
43:19 50:9 51:6	227:20,24 228:18	317:10	265:12
54:6 55:13 56:1,10	228:19 229:3 230:2	recess 102:16	reduce 197:23 198:1
56:20,20,23 57:18	230:4,5,14,21	153:21	289:18 292:18,20
58:19 59:8 62:3	231:11,20 233:8,23	reckoning 268:5	292:24 306:23
65:22,25 66:1,2,3	234:25 235:5 236:3	reclaiming 2:20	reducing 94:3
67:1,19 68:5,12,13	236:23 237:1 239:3	263:13	140:13
68:16,17 70:2 77:12	239:6 250:12	recognition 23:16	reed 324:4
80:11 87:5 90:10	256:15,18 257:17	130:19 211:10	reelected 125:16
91:13 92:18,25 93:1	258:2,4,17 263:19	recognize 130:18	reemphasizing
95:5 97:19 98:1	269:10 275:10	138:11 190:18	50:17
99:1 105:22 108:3	276:12,20,21 277:5	319:12 321:2	refer 34:1 106:5
108:14,16 110:9	279:5,21 280:12,15	recognized 209:24	273:19
112:4,16,16,16,16	281:22,23 282:2	recognizing 149:11	references 104:6
112:17,17 117:9	284:10 285:15,16	recommend 88:16	270:22
118:3,15,16 119:5	285:21 290:20	88:16 233:14	referred 90:17
119:10,15,21	291:10,12,20		109:25

[refine - research] Page 378

e 207.2	222 17 20 < 15	1 11 247 20	4 21 12 101 2
refine 287:3	232:17 306:15	remarkable 247:20	reports 21:13 181:2
refineries 172:23	regulators 217:11	remarks 2:25 123:9	represent 71:14
207:3	regulatory 76:2	129:25 323:2	240:11 257:1,5,10
refinery 114:5	81:17 132:17	remediate 179:14	257:17 273:21,23
115:22 307:12	reinforcing 52:1	remediation 71:18	representation
reflect 110:22	reining 321:12	179:16	172:3
203:12 204:20	reinvent 95:21	remedy 26:18	representations
214:24 258:6	relate 268:19 273:25	remember 51:3	146:13
reflection 15:5	related 107:10	70:24 72:1 77:10	representatives
66:20	109:23 110:5 250:3	119:12 125:12	146:9 195:22
reflects 215:7	250:4 276:8 289:6	187:9 191:15 217:2	196:22
reform 267:18	317:24	220:3 221:11,25	represented 21:17
311:14	relating 302:4	222:1 270:4	71:17 156:21 271:7
reframe 63:24	relation 228:8	reminded 175:4	271:8
refresher 55:9	relationship 35:13	remodulated 61:9	representing 48:13
refusal 245:24	50:14 144:7,12	removal 113:16	represents 155:4
refuse 171:22	145:7 197:22 318:3	124:24 289:9,14	259:22
refute 70:1	319:13	290:5,17	republican 122:2
regard 5:6	relationships 46:3	remove 290:12	require 27:25
regarding 150:21	142:12 206:8	removed 288:21	147:23
regardless 9:7	303:10	removing 289:25	required 148:8
regards 101:12	relative 276:18	290:6	170:3,7,7,15 219:13
221:11	278:20	renewal 194:20	316:25
regenesis 162:5	relatively 8:21	renewed 28:17	requires 58:1 60:4
169:7,9	234:17 293:23	renowned 180:18	98:15 140:11
regime 14:11	release 23:14	rent 250:2	204:16
regimes 14:23	released 287:13	repair 142:12	research 15:22 34:4
region 1:24 2:16	relevant 43:12	repeat 323:8	38:14,17 44:12
18:20,23 19:12,16	203:15 271:13	repeatedly 184:16	50:16 56:6,7 57:12
19:23 45:22,25	reliable 47:15	184:18 248:5	59:2 60:8 61:20
48:13 99:6 163:25	255:18 259:23	repercussions	63:16 67:23 68:12
164:1 184:18 199:3	reliably 24:18	101:20	93:21 104:8,12
217:13	religion 173:9	replaces 292:1	108:6,22 109:8,11
regional 37:9 225:6	relman 2:19 200:17	replacing 290:8	110:10,11 111:1,1,7
228:17	200:18 237:9	replicate 165:6	119:24 133:19
regs 193:3	256:11 259:8 325:8	report 6:4 19:19	139:17,19,22
regular 82:9 139:2	relocate 29:18 83:22	21:4 154:5 224:18	140:23 141:6,10
regulate 194:15	283:8,11	224:22,24 225:14	142:17 144:5,6,17
regulates 194:11	relocated 283:12	319:3,17 320:7	147:18 149:21
regulation 18:8	287:23	reported 1:20 19:22	150:1,23,24,24
regulations 14:7	relocation 83:12	249:21	153:1 155:9 163:21
18:5 20:14 25:4,7	286:11	reporter 167:9	163:22,22 166:1
25:12,19 27:24	remaining 288:7	325:25	175:22 177:1,23
82:13 125:23	remains 26:11	reporting 1:23	179:6,9 186:22
133:17 193:4,10	223:24	19:25	203:12 204:8 206:1
82:13 125:23	remains 26:11	reporting 1:23	179:6,9 186:22

[research - right] Page 379

	1.		
210:6 215:1,1 223:2	161:15 168:15	245:2 292:7	rico 114:20
223:3,4 228:10	183:25 187:13	resulting 22:21	rid 84:15
235:23,25 280:13	209:25 223:9,13	results 23:10 207:17	ride 45:9
282:15 310:24	229:7,11 232:22	242:12 287:12	rifkind 184:1
researcher 60:2	254:17 280:22	291:22 292:16	right 8:17 9:19 10:7
105:8 179:4	282:5,6 295:11	retail 295:25 301:6	10:16 11:3,21 12:12
researchers 57:1	321:15	301:10 302:6	12:15,17,21,22 13:5
58:16 59:25 60:7,17	respect 9:8 50:25	retrospective 227:8	13:8,13,16,17,24
62:18 67:19 68:17	52:22 53:7 64:21	return 63:2 103:6,9	14:9,16,21,25 15:2
110:14 111:6	65:15,22 66:16 68:3	178:14 283:13,14	15:4,7 16:7,17 19:4
137:18 141:17	77:8 105:18 147:12	returning 141:11	24:11 25:3 27:23
145:25 147:20,24	153:9 156:18	rev 2:9 70:8 88:21	44:23 45:18 51:12
155:9 185:17 187:1	230:19	91:18 94:6 96:12	55:13 60:18 61:7
222:23	respected 53:4 66:4	99:14,23	63:5 69:24 79:2,8
reside 92:21	150:1 151:2,3	revenue 89:3	81:5 83:14 84:2
residential 29:19	respectful 182:1	revenues 89:12	85:14 89:15 93:1
72:3 172:19 205:5	respects 85:25	264:23	95:10 99:21 105:11
208:7,20 220:4	135:16	reverend 79:13	115:11 121:16
279:15,19 280:21	respiratory 114:16	94:25 118:9 159:18	122:1 124:8 131:12
298:5,9	136:5,7	159:23 160:10	131:24 138:11
residents 24:2 30:9	respond 14:23	161:4 222:3 324:16	142:8 143:7 148:25
31:3,11 40:15 72:11	255:16	review 142:21 148:5	149:2 152:9 166:17
78:19 83:12,23	response 14:5 102:3	148:6,8 323:5	167:3,13 169:11
135:25 141:7,20	152:9 153:10,12	reviewed 68:6	170:24 171:25
178:1 183:12	201:10 287:17	287:12	172:23 175:6,13
215:17 277:9 280:5	responsibilities	revised 287:19,20	176:22 178:13
282:8 283:2,4,12,17	61:17	revisit 164:23	183:18 186:16
283:23 286:8 287:2	responsibility	revisited 271:16,17	188:3 189:3,10
287:11,22 288:23	192:15 297:3	revitalization	190:25 191:8
289:4 290:9 306:14	responsible 107:22	165:17 178:6 181:4	197:20 198:3
309:14	181:4,5 282:23,24	282:20 284:7 293:6	199:11 208:3 219:3
resistance 71:21	rest 4:10 8:23 22:10	296:7 305:7,9	232:15 233:5
308:4	144:7 246:13	325:13	240:18 241:7,8
resolution 100:18	restarting 130:5	revitalize 177:7	244:1,5 245:1
204:17 225:8	restaurant 117:3	305:11	247:15 249:13,15
resolve 26:25 59:17	174:1 299:19,19	revitalized 23:11	250:8,8,10,19,20
81:16	302:1	283:14	260:18 270:10
resolved 26:25	restitution 164:14	revival 134:2	272:21,22 276:20
resonate 10:6	165:17	rewrite 271:11	277:19 283:13,14
resource 166:8	restore 282:6,7	rewriting 270:19	291:23 292:21
316:14	restructuring 301:9	271:20	293:6 297:10
resources 40:24	result 61:10 62:12	rhodia 162:21	306:19 307:4
44:7 62:10 92:17,17	67:10,17,19 69:15	rich 3:23 71:13	308:21,23 309:18
92:23 93:4,9 118:7	81:20 82:4 99:18	richard 224:10	310:25 311:11
120:2 145:13 153:6	140:10 244:22		312:3,7,10 314:12

[right - science] Page 380

317:25 320:25	307:8 308:23	safeguard 264:4	scattered 107:20
321:17	roosevelt 297:25	safely 82:23	109:14
rights 3:16 14:16	298:1,11	safety 92:9 124:14	scenario 77:20
22:18 25:13 26:18	rouge 115:21	283:22 284:6 289:4	177:13
27:8 29:1 75:4 77:4	routine 129:11	289:6 301:7	scene 89:11
77:6 120:7 160:3,17	row 177:11 178:12	safeway 253:10	schedule 74:17
160:20,22 188:11	180:11 182:4 284:3	sale 318:12	102:12 260:23
200:17,19 237:18	rubric 55:15	salon 302:3	287:20,25
237:20,22 239:1	ruckus 101:14	salons 299:17	scheduled 157:11
254:20 256:17	rule 120:10 188:4,5	salt 114:5	262:13
305:1 325:8	188:6 194:11,14	salvation 266:15	schedules 5:15
rigor 59:21	271:20,21 274:19	san 21:22	scheme 191:7
risk 11:11 12:22	318:1	sanctioned 279:15	scholar 324:6
17:1 93:24 127:3	ruled 25:2	sandoval 24:25	scholarships 49:4
135:9,11,12 205:23	rulemaking 120:5,6	25:24 26:16	84:3
213:19 214:4,12,15	120:6 194:6,7	sanitary 278:12	school 8:11 40:8,11
risks 9:25 13:12	rulemakings 188:3	sas 207:15	71:2 78:5 89:15,15
15:7 216:14	188:7 193:25 194:9	sat 49:7 169:17,20	92:10 113:21
riskscape 217:14	rules 125:22 147:22	saturday 37:7	145:11,11,12,16
risky 94:5	148:17 194:25	166:25	146:2,4 183:22
rita 118:14	195:3 196:2 268:25	save 94:15 224:25	185:13,18 189:20
river 16:1 115:6,7	272:4,11	saw 37:21 40:17	190:10,11,13 192:2
182:21 183:5,9	run 8:18 86:12	174:14 176:6	200:15 211:9
riverfront 30:1	93:21 107:24 241:7	226:13 242:11	231:23 237:14,19
rivers 115:13 281:3	241:11,16 242:19	244:5 293:20	238:4 246:19
robert 2:8 32:4 33:5	244:4,8,23,25	294:24 303:11	311:16,18 324:13
33:25 35:4 85:19	260:14,15 281:4	saying 22:2 33:19	325:5
89:25 114:23,25	running 28:24	50:9 69:24 84:14	school's 32:6,7
324:12	200:23 327:22	86:5 88:11 95:11	schools 86:12
robin 113:5	runs 117:4	101:15 119:19	145:18,19 205:13
robust 108:4 220:13	rural 278:16	161:10 181:14	211:11
227:17	rutgers 6:2 325:22	193:2 195:20 227:9	schuylkill 115:9
role 13:5 21:1 70:15	ryan 258:23,25	231:8 244:14,15	science 104:7,8
141:22 186:4,25	S	312:5 328:21	111:25 116:7,9,9,10
187:9 195:5 196:25	sacred 115:4	says 59:3 85:3,7	116:10,11 120:12
256:20 281:1	sad 169:4 319:2,3	94:25 167:14 211:4	122:13,14,22 123:6
286:15 292:20	sadd 2:18 200:10,10	268:9	123:9 125:20,25
297:6	200:25 222:21,24	scale 275:16 296:23	126:18 127:5
roles 61:17	224:6 225:6 226:20	303:7,18	130:10,11 131:9
room 3:8,23 22:22	228:6 255:3 325:6	scales 219:11	133:18,23 134:4,12
36:22 42:12 118:20	sadly 137:2	scalia 25:2	134:17 135:5,23
170:10 185:2	safe 83:25 92:10	scandal 121:21	137:15,17,19,20,25
192:23 193:1	278:11 289:9,14	scare 176:20	139:12 140:5,16
237:15 277:7,14	307:19 314:9	scary 176:19,21	141:22 142:10
305:11,12 306:1			152:23 153:1

[science - services] Page 381

155:22 195:8	seamless 276:6	252:18 254:12	sends 100:7
200:11 279:22	seat 170:14	257:14 258:17	senior 100:10
280:6 282:14	seated 3:9 103:13,19	265:25 268:8 274:4	191:14 205:12
294:23 325:7	seats 103:6,10	293:14 297:21	sense 17:11 36:25
sciences 55:18 56:14	secaucus 16:1,3	298:2,25 299:22,23	105:7 147:2 193:14
scientific 59:21 76:2	second 4:5 46:20	300:4,5,6 307:5,9,9	193:14 226:25
126:18,23 127:8	98:9 103:11,19,21	312:23 315:3,13	268:25,25 296:4,11
133:18 141:15	104:3 149:8 190:25	328:12	320:14
146:23 192:16	205:22 207:13	seeing 3:22 23:10	sensibilities 184:10
scientist 33:20	214:14 247:4	93:1	sensitive 152:16
127:20 217:7	256:12 305:17	seek 28:24 107:16	153:2 205:6,10,20
scientists 77:1 81:8	317:6	235:5,20 236:23	208:7,20 209:23,23
128:19 129:1 130:9	secondary 202:11	seeks 38:13	211:11,17 215:9
138:5 139:17	204:3	seen 8:19 26:12	220:4
146:18 153:6	secondly 161:17	39:12 52:25 66:21	sensitivity 180:8
score 130:13 212:22	211:8	74:22,23 88:3	235:11
217:3,5 226:8,11,12	seconds 83:18	156:17 160:2	sent 99:17 308:6
231:4	146:15 151:24	174:15 183:14	separate 55:16
scored 208:15	secret 90:25	233:21 238:7	132:13 315:21
scores 212:25	secretary 166:21	254:18 280:14	sequence 270:4
213:11,13 234:22	section 174:18	285:3 323:5	series 4:21 58:4
235:12	175:24 176:3,10	segregated 175:17	67:20,23 140:8
scoring 202:25	177:7 178:1	segregation 158:10	176:2 256:2 274:14
209:16 210:2	sectioned 88:7	159:23 160:9	303:7
212:24 213:4	sector 48:11 170:11	168:11 171:20	serious 125:24
219:22 220:14	269:19	172:5 248:6 250:7	127:9
scramble 153:6	sectors 48:12 122:12	279:16,19 280:21	seriously 163:10
screamed 308:8	secure 118:5 283:17	segregations 277:25	184:19
screaming 184:12	security 72:24 79:18	segue 152:11 218:14	servants 157:21
311:20	see 4:25 6:19 9:22	240:9	serve 107:12 169:19
screen 225:23	· ·	select 77:22 203:14	191:8 297:13
229:19 231:18	69:23 78:25 79:12	self 186:14 209:25	served 60:8 125:3
254:24	86:17 112:21	297:9	273:12 287:15
screeners 254:23	123:24,25 124:10	semi 300:25	serves 41:1 125:6
screening 2:17,20	129:2 136:16,21	seminar 106:18	service 40:23,25
199:4 200:4 201:3,4	143:6 154:13 160:3	senate 120:24	77:13 137:15,17
201:8,17,21 202:1,4	168:1 177:23,24,25	196:14	140:16 191:19
202:16,18,18 203:6	182:25 191:22	senator 120:25	192:3 280:11 281:1
203:19,24 206:6	195:12 196:9	122:3 195:25 196:6	297:3
212:17 218:6 219:1	202:11 206:21	196:13	services 22:7 117:8
220:8,13 223:16,16	208:14,18 211:20	senators 196:12	117:10,11 150:24
225:11,18 228:25	214:14 222:7	send 15:10 84:7	246:1 248:11
229:13 254:15,22	228:18 233:2 246:7	224:18,24	252:25 293:5
255:6 256:10	246:12 247:11	sending 113:24	296:21 297:14
263:13	248:18 249:16		300:21

[session - skadden] Page 382

	I	I .	
session 2:7,10,15,21	shoes 6:18 290:12	side 15:25 79:1 92:2	simply 52:10 116:22
33:2 103:2 199:2	326:18	107:15 129:8	272:17 318:1
260:7 262:2 272:2	shoot 249:20	150:14,15 158:11	simultaneously 26:3
316:3	shop 299:20	163:3 182:24	single 4:2 49:21
sessions 48:24 182:8	shopping 295:22	183:16 185:23	175:13 183:23
272:13 273:7	shops 253:11	231:20 264:8 266:8	185:6,8 244:18
set 41:17 43:14 58:2	short 6:24 8:13	284:19	250:2 297:4
77:10,11 153:10	25:12 74:3 98:13	sided 196:17 240:16	sister 163:5 187:3
252:9 269:22	110:1,4 259:10	sides 72:5 128:2	sit 46:25 57:15
272:18 285:21	262:19	240:11 253:18	59:14 61:2 64:25
286:2	shorts 42:9	268:9	74:7 77:6 81:10
sets 60:9 267:5	shot 176:5 263:1	sidewalk 290:5	84:6 100:22 154:25
setting 60:4 128:9	shoulders 33:21,23	sidewalks 290:15	169:11 192:20
139:18 205:5	shout 3:20 39:5	316:25	268:22 270:5
settings 124:19,19	112:9 305:20 324:2	sierra 90:6 111:23	316:20
settling 32:3	shoving 183:8	112:24,25 113:8	site 168:24 229:2
seven 46:7 151:10	show 16:13 29:21	117:14,20 194:21	279:12
sewage 86:11	39:17 47:6 129:12	324:20	sited 11:24 248:4,5
182:21 183:1,1,4,6	131:2,11,17 198:5	sign 23:1 148:10	252:20 253:14
183:9,21 184:9,23	203:19 217:19	302:19	sites 115:4 147:25
278:12	218:21 220:1	signage 289:1	164:8,9,10,13
sewer 246:6,7	229:25 245:11	signed 122:4 166:23	165:10 196:8
sewers 309:23	252:21 253:1	166:23	253:11,14 288:20
sexually 78:8	254:16 259:15,17	significance 82:20	siting 9:18 11:16,22
shades 208:5	260:9	significant 20:4,6	20:24 247:24
shale 29:13	showed 39:18	21:1,6 110:5 136:22	248:18 319:14
shape 17:11	217:25 218:2	159:16 184:21	sits 241:7
share 35:10 36:2	255:14	206:2 232:8 267:4	sitting 47:7 62:24
41:13 67:25 85:11	showing 245:17	268:11 287:24	83:14,16,17,18,19
121:19 304:5	shown 6:25 13:21	significantly 219:20	273:16 278:14
312:11 313:6,6	208:4,17,19	signs 177:13	situation 76:14
shared 12:23 202:5	shows 52:11 208:11	silence 52:18	96:17 119:21
sharing 96:15 312:6	218:24 242:24	silo 154:22	121:22 124:3,4
shattered 296:3	243:1,2 247:3 253:7	silos 315:21	166:4 182:21
shatterproof 140:22	253:9,13 257:8	similar 24:5 79:14	183:13 245:9
she'll 38:6	328:7	121:22 207:24	situations 128:7
shelly 304:3	shredders 30:4	213:20 216:6	six 20:25 63:15 84:2
shelves 139:7	shredding 29:9	218:18 219:10	88:7 173:17,17
shepard 157:8 185:6	shuttlesworth	267:2	215:23 243:11
276:16	159:19,24 160:10	similarities 123:23	291:4
sheriff 249:19	sic 37:18	similarity 220:11	size 79:3 209:14
shift 60:21	sick 76:6 118:16	simple 212:16 213:9	272:18 297:10
shit 241:17 242:7	121:3 197:24	240:19 302:20	sized 210:20
shock 307:7	sicotte 189:6,7,22	303:16	skadden 324:5
	192:11		

[skeptical - specific] Page 383

skeptical 255:21	slivers 209:10	solve 94:11 139:19	163:8 164:18 165:5
skill 60:9	slow 294:23	solving 233:7	165:21,23 169:10
skilled 16:16	slowly 270:1	somebody 89:23	285:7
skills 11:10 44:3	snowly 270.1 smack 149:2	152:2 170:11,12,13	southeast 18:22
51:25 58:2 60:5,13	small 29:24 54:9	170:14 176:20	southeastern 164:1
62:9 300:14,14,15	116:25 167:2	191:2 279:12	southern 207:25
300:17	170:25 107:2	someplace 277:3	211:16 213:12
skin 131:17 132:21	295:3 297:11 303:7	somewhat 11:17	214:4,6 215:23
139:6	310:8,9	35:15 149:23	214:4,0 213:23
skittish 149:23	smaller 209:14	267:16	220:2,6 243:10
slave 159:1,2	269:8	sonja 327:6	southwest 107:13,23
slavery 158:9,24	smart 45:20 68:13	soon 201:22 308:8	113:21
slaves 159:5	223:18 234:1	sophisticated	space 208:9 312:12
sleep 284:15	smartest 189:21	228:17	spaces 5:24 313:12
slide 54:25 56:1,18	smell 183:20 307:10	sorry 37:20 227:8	313:13 314:9
57:10 58:10 65:14	307:12 310:2,3,8,12	232:20 233:2	spacing 314:23
201:1,15 203:4,23	310:20	298:16 320:7	spanish 18:20
205:15 206:11	smelling 83:3	sort 10:6,11 11:10	spartanburg 162:4
207:6 208:24 209:6	307:13	11:13,24 13:6 16:7	162:6,8,9,10 165:4
209:20 210:12	smells 76:6 83:1	36:25 57:22 61:14	165:7,18 166:23
211:13 213:10,17	smith 173:16 324:4	66:15 110:4,10	169:7 171:3
214:2,18 215:21,25	smokestack 127:14	131:9 142:21,25	spatial 44:15 204:17
216:3 217:1 218:7	snowball 20:9	148:21 163:14	207:9
218:16,20,23	sobeit 64:5 77:19	177:13 191:23	speak 36:19 41:11
219:25 220:7	social 45:16 59:25	208:2,10 209:4	43:5 51:3,4,7,10,11
228:21 230:8,22	72:24 175:11	212:5 214:10 215:2	79:9 86:19 129:20
232:25,25 233:1,2	187:16,17 192:9	218:5,21 220:16	157:13,24 190:21
235:3 236:19,21	205:25 214:19	222:7 230:20 236:8	275:11 305:17
239:24 240:5,23	216:16 219:5 303:2	238:12 240:6	speaker 31:18 155:3
241:2 242:10,15,23	socially 216:18	247:20 257:23	263:24 275:9
242:25 243:4 244:2	societies 168:13,14	267:18 268:12,25	324:24
245:18,23 246:9,15	society 10:1 94:17	271:14,17 273:22	speakers 4:23,25
246:22 248:13,25	158:5 168:10,20	286:24 320:7,8,17	5:11,22 21:18 36:18
249:6,14 250:7	society's 77:16,22	sorts 109:4 212:7	104:16 221:18
253:5 294:24	78:13	sound 265:21	324:8 327:25
slides 54:22 61:13	socioeconomic	289:12,17 293:12	speaking 33:11
220:24 224:15	214:24	303:16	200:9
243:7 247:14	sockets 143:3	sounds 278:13	speaks 5:21 298:24
294:19	sod 290:8	318:15	spearheading 38:18
slideshow 302:15	soil 71:17 230:19	source 27:8 150:9	special 60:2,2 190:5
slight 160:4,8	258:9	210:8 232:14	190:6,7 191:2,4,6
slightly 65:7 252:10	solid 188:5 194:11	sources 31:9 215:6	specialization 37:9
sling 241:17 242:7	solution 313:11	233:24 235:21	specialties 154:16
sliver 295:4 296:16	solutions 59:7	south 21:21 107:14	specific 57:24 61:23
	204:15 265:11	158:10,21 162:4,7	97:20 203:21 204:2

[specific - stops] Page 384

204:6 229:2 237:3	stab 255:3	65:8,25 69:12 76:15	stationed 290:19
317:17	stable 274:6 299:5,8	78:18 84:9 88:5	statistical 207:14
specifically 97:25	staff 4:21 5:5 47:24	101:3,11,14 113:8	252:7
106:2 297:18	196:1 287:3 326:5,5	115:18 118:15	statistically 206:2
316:18	328:8	120:15 155:20	statistics 18:11,16
specifics 63:9	stage 88:10 257:13	224:12 238:19	20:10 91:24 256:2
speech 326:2	staged 199:24	239:3 242:13	stature 110:1
spencer 298:14	stake 277:15	251:18 267:11,15	status 175:11
spend 205:11 207:5	stakeholder 52:12	274:2 306:8 327:20	214:24 280:19
266:9 293:18	stakeholders 43:11	starting 91:13	statute 194:3 195:13
spending 71:4	203:17	118:19 178:4	statutes 24:12 172:4
spent 72:8 123:18	stand 12:14 18:1	starts 245:17	172:10 195:17
124:16,20 127:23	33:23 157:15	state 23:17 24:11,17	232:17
130:8 181:12	160:23 249:22	25:22 26:4,13,15	staunch 186:12
237:20 272:12	259:21 325:17	27:14,16,22,25	stay 45:3 73:4 74:20
282:14	standard 119:9	37:10 46:23 48:8	96:6 275:18
spin 81:1,3	120:3,9 122:1 169:3	83:5 91:25 114:5	stayed 118:21 121:3
spins 272:7	212:25 300:25	117:22 120:22	steam 72:3 82:12
spirited 193:16	301:13 303:3	125:4 141:1,2,3	step 76:4 81:18
spiritual 64:12,22	standards 27:1	145:8 158:24 159:1	84:10 171:22 207:7
65:6	119:7 132:17	162:12,13 163:8	207:19 220:20
split 286:24	315:18	164:12,21 172:7	231:17
spoke 49:9 113:3	standing 22:1 33:21	182:9 184:17	stepped 171:15
156:8 293:22	176:17	187:23 195:23	236:3
spoken 4:15 238:3	standpoint 127:8	201:6,23 203:8	steve 3:12 138:2
sponsor 120:20,24	stands 326:18	204:23,25 211:3	159:16
122:3 325:22	stanford 324:5	225:6 228:17 231:3	stick 5:17 323:17
sponsored 127:22	star 163:8	267:9 276:13	stigma 142:18
sponsoring 6:10	staring 160:5	281:14,18 299:7	232:23
84:11	start 3:5 9:2 12:14	312:20 316:11	stinks 76:5
sponsors 3:21 4:2	18:14 26:24 41:25	state's 23:19	stock 117:6 281:22
17:20 324:1	46:9 58:20 74:4	stated 112:23	281:23
sporadic 116:10	83:10,11 87:25 91:2	statement 27:5	stones 149:14
spot 40:4	105:7,16 110:9	309:11	stood 156:21 160:24
spray 181:10	111:16 117:24	states 9:4 21:4 24:5	176:4 185:22 238:5
spread 289:18,24	134:16 161:9,19	114:9 160:18 164:1	stop 15:11 88:17
292:22	162:3 170:22 247:4	166:10 177:16	122:17 197:22
spring 39:25 287:6	258:17 262:12	196:14,16 206:25	247:15 248:3
spss 207:14	265:14 266:5 270:2	207:3 216:11	285:23 291:24
square 92:5	302:22,25 306:24	219:15 230:25	stopped 174:13
squawk 99:24	314:12 320:17	233:23 234:6 278:2	181:20 242:14
squirrelly 226:15	started 34:15 35:5	278:10 280:15	244:1 248:9
st 5:1 32:6 37:5	35:14 42:3,21 44:1	282:13	stops 44:23 45:4
249:3,9,19 250:1,19	44:6,6,11,12,15	statewide 37:24	244:6 253:6,7
251:1,4,20 324:13	45:6,8 52:6 61:18	49:2	

[storage - supported] Page 385

1.60.17	4 41 14 200 0	4 1 4 6 20 20 22	e 11 - 7 < 4
storage 162:17	streetlighting 300:9	student 6:20 39:23	successfully 56:4
store 20:1 117:5	streetlights 86:12	39:25 85:1,25	265:12
302:7	246:11,14	students 8:12 12:13	succumb 94:16
stores 139:7 298:3	streets 1:15 72:4,5	39:9,16 44:8 85:24	sue 79:24
301:11,23,25	132:10 135:25	112:10 127:2	sued 183:23
stories 15:24 185:25	176:9 246:19	129:10 189:13,25	suffer 52:18 77:17
storm 118:12	290:15 313:17	255:24 262:25	136:7
246:16,17,20	streetscape 301:6	studied 127:12	suffered 265:7
stormwater 313:11	strengthened 20:14	130:4 222:20	suffering 22:9 30:15
313:13 314:5	235:24	228:10 282:3	136:4,22 163:19
story 15:25 164:15	strengths 59:15,18	studies 12:9 13:21	196:21
169:6 171:4,5	301:17,18	16:13 29:21 186:3	sufficient 125:21
173:12 180:1	stress 59:21 65:9	222:9 228:11	301:22
182:19 239:14	98:11 216:6	275:23	suggest 3:15 5:24
240:20 243:24	strict 283:22 306:16	study 100:15 233:10	12:9 35:17 286:9
247:20 257:19	strictly 172:21	279:24,24 280:8,9	suggested 229:12
267:2	173:8	282:13	271:16 301:4
straight 281:9	strictures 172:4	studying 146:21	315:22
strain 65:13	strip 172:16	294:16	suggesting 63:4
strand 2:9 36:14	strong 44:11 64:17	stuff 16:18 58:15	suggestions 143:20
39:3,3 40:6 70:8	64:18 133:5 233:9	60:17 62:12 115:8	suggests 16:19
79:13 86:19 88:11	314:19	148:25 160:13	suit 26:14 53:3
88:21 91:18 92:22	stronger 264:20	162:24 171:25	249:1
94:6,25 96:1,12	strongest 233:15	192:1 220:17	suite 1:24
99:14,23 125:6	struck 28:12 250:16	251:24 268:22	suited 4:23
161:4 222:3 324:16	structurally 289:12	309:5 320:4	summarize 207:9
strange 83:1	289:17	subject 31:16	summary 321:25
stranger 267:20	structure 29:10	142:22 272:3	summer 15:24 183:3
strategic 218:9	142:20 273:4,5	submitted 263:2	216:12 284:5,5
strategies 23:3,14	301:9	subscribe 210:9	superfund 164:8
98:1,13,20 179:13	struggle 21:16 39:12	subsequent 25:25	168:24
179:16	39:16 117:5 118:3	substance 262:9	superior 190:19
strategists 74:7 75:5	144:19,20 153:15	substances 133:21	supermarket 174:5
strategy 26:1 44:22	159:22 160:16	substantial 273:13	supermarkets
56:3 78:2 185:19,20	161:3 184:6 187:1	317:11	172:17
street 1:14,24 40:5	188:12 191:20	substantive 27:8	supervise 48:1
72:4 129:10 131:25	192:4,8	28:15 133:17	supervisors 289:7
132:4,8 136:10	struggled 137:7	subtle 264:22	supplemented
176:14 290:4 293:5	144:10	success 144:16	230:21 232:3
295:21,21 297:1,19	struggles 37:1	successes 22:15,23	234:13
297:20,22,22,25	161:21	61:3,6 95:3 99:7	support 222:2
298:12,13 300:7	struggling 196:4	successful 35:19	223:13 280:11
302:19,24 307:11	strung 291:4	91:13 99:1 169:25	283:8 301:21,21
325:12	stuck 184:1 260:13	181:1 186:3 256:9	supported 4:9
	311:3		101:24 126:19

[supported - tear] Page 386

284:21	gustaining 50.2	192:1,12,16 193:6	talking 38:23 48:24
	sustaining 59:3 swathe 182:17	195:10 208:8	52:16 64:8 96:14
supporters 328:16			
supporting 282:15	swear 171:2	212:18 216:24	105:5,6,17 110:24
supposed 101:16	sweats 53:2	222:18 224:2,3,12	152:12 154:17,19
291:25	sweep 266:25	225:11 230:1 236:6	185:13 196:2 202:3
suppress 290:2	sword 230:24 234:3	236:14,15 238:24	216:7 218:12,15
supreme 25:1 27:25	symposium 1:7	239:25 240:24	225:7 266:9 268:2
80:1 240:3,20	323:23 325:22	254:1 255:3 259:21	269:5 295:10
279:10	327:15 328:25	264:14 278:19	297:18 305:22,25
sure 4:16 6:8 31:14	329:5	285:15 286:15	307:16 308:22
34:24 38:4 42:7	symposiums 4:19	288:10 314:3,7	313:10
44:18 47:12 52:15	synergistic 255:11	taken 27:13 102:16	talks 258:8
81:10 82:22,23	system 78:5 98:8	153:22 205:7	talmudic 328:21
116:8 118:4 119:19	101:13 107:7	221:14,19	tangentially 245:21
147:19 158:20	125:11,11 128:14	takes 24:23 27:5	tangible 23:10
159:8 199:23	128:19 147:21	60:2 66:16,16,17,18	tanked 306:8
207:18 236:16	180:22 208:4	75:16,17 98:18	tap 187:7
257:6 258:5 270:14	systems 57:24 79:18	151:8 204:24	target 138:14
277:2 302:11 313:9	99:9 207:9 278:12	269:25 281:8	139:11 223:8,9
313:19,23 314:22	t	talented 110:9	targeted 202:8
315:2,20 323:20		talk 5:23 6:14 10:6,8	task 201:8,9 221:13
surface 230:18	table 31:21 44:3	11:18 14:1 17:4,23	221:14 236:2
surprised 47:16	52:3 62:24 77:7	35:16 39:24 45:4	tasked 161:12 166:8
surprises 217:18	80:18,20,22 81:9	55:4,25 57:19 60:25	194:8
surrogate 209:15	83:15,16,17,19 84:6	61:4 79:24 87:6	taste 173:22
surrounding 17:25	170:14 186:7	88:15 94:2,4 102:13	taught 190:16
18:24 72:19 73:2	187:15 218:24	103:17,17 111:24	191:14
74:25 177:20	222:11,13 230:15	116:6 123:4 151:5	tax 250:18,21,22
179:25 180:25	277:10 319:18	152:10 161:12	264:20
181:25 290:15	320:13	195:2 200:3 201:2	taxes 16:7,19 78:4
	tables 47:7	203:5,20 218:15	<u>'</u>
survey 18:17,19	tackle 295:11	203.3,20 218.13	taylor 199:17,17 326:17
19:18	tackling 88:1		
surveying 110:23	tactics 112:18	250:11 252:10	taylor's 199:22
surveys 45:5	take 6:6 8:21 26:24	253:20 263:21	teach 14:1 37:14
suspect 106:19	27:18 28:6 31:8	266:10 269:4	47:12 49:25 51:5
susquehanna	45:4,16 47:14 52:20	275:18,20,21	100:7 127:1 189:7
115:10	67:9 75:21 76:3	293:18 305:16	277:22
sustain 58:19	97:2 106:19 124:14	306:12 307:17	teaches 200:20
sustainability 321:2	127:4 129:4 139:21	309:2 311:12 312:6	teaching 39:8 50:10
•		313:9	50:14 51:19 189:10
321:4	146:13 152:24		
321:4 sustainable 1:5 18:2	146:13 152:24	talked 43:14,17	team 47:25 222:22
321:4 sustainable 1:5 18:2 26:13 116:1 221:21	146:13 152:24 164:3 166:22	talked 43:14,17 109:5 111:15	team 47:25 222:22 228:5 235:13 327:8
321:4 sustainable 1:5 18:2 26:13 116:1 221:21 239:21 265:1	146:13 152:24 164:3 166:22 178:18 180:22	talked 43:14,17 109:5 111:15 188:24 213:7 222:4	team 47:25 222:22 228:5 235:13 327:8 teams 84:11,12
321:4 sustainable 1:5 18:2 26:13 116:1 221:21	146:13 152:24 164:3 166:22	talked 43:14,17 109:5 111:15	team 47:25 222:22 228:5 235:13 327:8

[technical - things] Page 387

4.1.1.1.76.10	100 0 220 24	44.1 110.0.22	41
technical 76:10	190:9 238:24	tested 118:9,23	theory 11:14,16,21
187:13,25 194:24	244:23 246:3,12	119:1,1 179:15	thereabouts 299:9
225:12,17 226:22	262:14 263:4	testimony 254:2	thereof 278:6
technique 201:25	270:11 305:1	testing 117:24 118:2	thing 41:21 47:24
204:9 205:1	326:20	118:5 119:22	50:4 51:8 52:6 53:6
techniques 201:17	tend 16:16 211:21	120:13 290:14	54:11 56:17 59:19
202:22 212:24	226:11 234:21	tests 118:4,21	63:4,18 64:10 66:10
technology 85:4	319:19	286:21	90:13 91:10 94:9
159:17 191:21	tended 234:20	texas 21:20 248:15	95:7 121:8,16
192:3 195:9 311:5,7	tends 320:13	text 255:21	149:19 151:19
311:11,25 314:22	tennessee 114:20	textbooks 255:24	154:22 178:15
ted 78:24 262:24,25	tension 151:3	texts 255:19	181:15 191:1,12,16
tedious 272:4	177:19	thank 4:3 6:2 17:13	191:17 197:7
274:21	tenure 37:18,21	17:18,19,20 33:5,7	208:10 214:14
telephone 18:19	149:25	41:19 54:12,18 70:9	217:23 225:19
72:24 226:23	term 43:10 82:1	85:9,15,19 90:7	226:18 230:20
tell 12:13 17:9 18:4	98:13,14,14 128:12	96:14,25 102:7,8,9	233:10,20 234:14
35:24 59:10 60:9	189:10 227:13	102:10 103:20	235:17 242:11,16
61:2 73:12 75:22	228:11 241:14	104:2 105:20 112:1	244:16,22 246:17
102:5 121:11	279:23	112:5,7,8,22 122:21	250:9 255:13
123:14 126:1 128:5	terms 13:10 18:1	123:11 129:19	272:21,22 276:2
129:9 151:19 152:1	24:15 35:13 57:16	130:14 134:7,8	279:22 285:3
158:22 162:25	64:21 91:7 98:11,23	138:23,24 143:5,8	308:21 328:14
164:16 166:15	108:8 109:24 110:2	143:12 156:4 157:5	things 13:8 18:1
173:12 178:18	110:23 121:19	188:14 192:11	20:15 42:1,22 45:7
182:16 184:4	122:13 134:23	197:9,13 198:14,15	45:20 51:6 52:2
185:24 187:8	136:13,20,25	201:14 221:5,10	53:21 54:1 57:3,19
192:24 221:24	144:11,17 147:12	224:16 237:10	58:20 59:11 64:23
239:13 247:21	147:18 195:12	254:9 260:24	64:24 65:2 66:24
248:12 250:12	216:6 219:8 221:15	270:13 275:17	68:4,21,23 71:18
257:19 266:3,18	233:15 235:6 236:6	291:5 292:13	72:7 76:25 80:23
283:25 293:14	258:5 271:15 272:5	303:21 304:18	81:24,25 82:25 83:2
302:5 304:22	318:4,5	315:4 322:4 323:11	84:9 85:13 86:22
305:19 316:19	terrace 118:9	324:2,6 325:1,3,24	87:11,21 88:1 89:18
telling 103:16 191:2	terrible 114:10,10	326:3 328:20	92:24 94:10 95:22
250:5 306:9	230:13	thanked 326:18	98:22 100:19
tells 220:12	terribly 299:9	thankful 41:16,24	105:25 108:19
temperature 216:15	terrific 31:17 33:8	thanks 70:4 103:20	109:10 123:5 127:1
temperatures	102:4 250:17 328:3	237:5 258:1	128:9 129:15 132:7
216:15	territorial 70:20	theaters 172:18	137:3 138:3 140:22
temple 40:12 93:21	territory 241:19	theme 21:25	142:5,21 152:24
324:4	test 179:13 228:15	themes 17:24	154:13,23 155:2
ten 8:14,22 39:10	257:16 287:12	theology 40:11 71:1	161:10,13 173:3
67:23 146:14	290:20 291:19	theories 10:10,12	178:24 180:6 186:1
165:18 166:24		22:18 302:4	186:4 189:12 191:7

[things - title] Page 388

191:20 192:5 214:6	193:6,17 195:20	272:20 285:12	91:12 93:24 94:4
219:12 225:8 226:3	197:7 198:11	295:7 310:23	98:18 109:20
226:3 231:9 239:23	201:18,19 204:12	311:21 318:16	118:25 126:5
243:18 255:2,19	204:13 206:6,12	thoughtful 54:9	127:10 129:15
258:20 260:22	212:16 215:3 217:8	thoughts 35:11	134:15 136:1 144:7
266:4 267:8,25	225:13 228:16	38:24	144:11 151:4,9,10
268:2 269:19 270:2	229:15 232:1 238:4	thousand 118:13	151:17 152:6,12,16
270:19 274:11,18	238:19 255:1,8,10	239:11	152:22,24 153:2
276:1,7 278:20	255:16,18 256:6,12	thousands 243:19	155:16 157:6 168:6
280:20 281:7 282:2	256:13,14 260:18	278:9	173:24 182:9 184:5
283:3 284:12 286:2	266:7,19 267:1,24	threat 128:11	187:6,9 188:18,24
293:21 294:11	268:6,10,20 269:3,7	threats 191:24,25	190:3,9,12 195:19
303:16 305:15	269:11 270:1,8	three 19:21 22:10	200:23 207:5 221:2
308:25 312:2	273:23,24 274:22	35:11 42:19 55:11	225:16 235:23
313:17,22 314:11	274:25 277:6	65:24 67:3 90:11,21	238:6,11 240:20
314:23 315:15	282:11,12 290:25	108:18,23 110:17	250:11 262:7,8
317:13 318:5,9	299:1 301:8,9	161:20 179:19	267:6,12,22 268:5
319:16,24	305:25 306:15	185:19,25 186:23	269:25 270:4,17
think 9:24 10:4,24	307:15 315:11	188:6 199:9 207:7	271:23 272:12,25
11:18 13:7,9,9,14	316:4 317:2 321:24	208:5 212:21	273:22,23 280:2
15:4,23 16:11 33:20	324:7,8	214:22 249:3	281:8 282:18 288:8
35:7,22 36:3,21	thinkers 67:22	251:12,13,25	288:13 298:4 299:4
40:4 48:16 53:12	130:20,21,22	273:22 280:20	301:16 309:5
55:11,20 56:21	thinking 40:5 41:12	302:20 307:13	312:18 315:25
63:24 70:2 85:25	42:3 69:17,18 130:5	328:2	316:4 323:17 324:7
87:2,22 89:5 90:10	130:10 131:1,14	thrilled 32:3 112:21	328:7
95:13 97:8 99:13	134:1 264:8 271:14	thrilling 274:22	timely 153:7
106:22 108:14	310:17	thriving 162:6 175:2	times 19:22 22:10
109:1,2,10 111:19	thinks 97:12 170:25	throw 150:7 153:11	74:22 95:13 106:7
113:23 127:18	third 78:11 79:25	throwing 149:14	135:5 181:20
130:22 133:20	200:1,2 205:24	thurlow 72:4	184:14 238:3,20
134:16 135:6,20	299:2	thursday 1:11	240:12 246:4,12
137:4 142:18,19	thirty 306:22	tick 185:25	248:8 251:12,13
144:13 145:15,21	thomas 115:11	tie 132:6	255:22 262:22
146:16 147:4,11	323:7	tied 321:15	276:17 292:8
148:16 149:10	thought 12:11 35:15	tier 100:14	timing 54:24 66:10
151:3 152:13	35:22 57:1 62:3,6	time 3:4 4:11 5:15	tinted 284:17
154:22 156:7	79:14 88:8 105:7	5:21 8:16 10:8	tinting 284:25
159:12 160:12	129:4 161:19	20:11 21:14 23:15	tiny 295:4
161:2,3,4,5 164:22	166:11 220:1	34:13 46:23 63:21	tired 91:3,17
170:1,9 175:5 179:5	221:23 227:24	66:1,3,16,16,17,18	tireless 34:6
181:2,7 182:15	230:23 231:13	66:19,20,22 67:4,8	tirelessly 271:10
185:2 186:1,2,13	232:24 233:22	67:9 71:4 72:16	title 22:18 25:4,19
189:11 190:1 191:6	235:18 238:15	74:15 78:18,23 79:9	25:25 26:18,22
191:11 192:9,25	267:22 268:15	79:17 84:17 85:10	27:15 34:13 101:5

[title - trigger] Page 389

105:11 106:17	232:14 233:12	traditionally 14:7	214:16 324:19
123:15 221:19	234:1,10,12,17	traffic 72:10 132:11	trap 313:13
titles 324:10	235:19 236:14	trafficking 92:11	trapped 80:8
today 10:6 17:19	254:24 255:6	trailer 118:9,23	trash 72:3 82:12
18:1 21:17 22:22	257:23	119:1	300:7
30:15,20 31:18 32:4	toolbox 70:3	trailers 117:18,25	travel 301:25
32:10 33:11,17,22	tools 2:17,21 31:7	118:13 119:2	travelers 5:2
34:8,14,16,18 35:17	31:14 58:6 199:4	train 141:19 289:2	travelers 3.2 travis 2:14,23 131:7
36:2,7,17 37:5 38:5	200:4,4 225:20	training 123:17	143:24 155:4,23
38:23 40:7 41:22	227:1 228:17 234:4	141:7,19 283:16	156:4,16 157:4
52:25 61:3 93:8	234:5 235:7 236:16	289:5,7	158:16,18 188:23
102:8 106:18	254:16,22 260:25	trajectory 281:9	189:3,15,24 192:24
123:13 125:8	262:4 264:16	transcend 144:25	193:8,12,19,23
137:13 155:3	293:14,17 295:9	145:3	275:9,17 279:4
157:15 167:6	302:17	transfer 170:21	288:12 292:13,17
171:21 175:6,19	top 105:2 183:6	transform 30:25	318:18 324:23
176:3 189:4 199:17	187:7 263:4 320:21	31:2 150:4 170:6	325:1
201:2 239:19	320:22 321:13	transformation 31:6	
262:22 264:13	topaz 4:1	161:18 185:11	treated 70:22 71:11
268:3 269:12	topic 111:15 323:24	186:20	184:20
271:13 281:10	topics 4:22	transformative	treatment 9:6 29:15
286:2 307:16 312:7	topics 4.22 topsoil 290:6	130:23 161:22	161:7 173:21
324:9	tore 288:4	307:1	182:22 183:1,2,6,9
today's 17:22 31:3	total 14:15 181:21	transforming	184:23 241:22
316:3	291:3	273:11	tree 280:25
tohe 114:23	totally 138:10 145:7	transit 45:7 101:13	trees 77:18 175:1
toilet 77:14	touch 39:16 83:6	273:12 301:19	313:20
toiling 34:10	touched 90:20	318:4	tremendous 34:24
told 29:25 79:11	tough 119:21 312:5	transition 308:11	39:11 162:16,23
83:23 118:17 120:8	tour 165:7,8	translatable 213:3	191:20 223:13
156:11 174:9	town 16:22 39:6	translate 123:5	280:10 311:6
240:19 241:10	218:9 311:15	126:23 127:5	tremendously 257:2
243:24 244:16	toxic 21:3,12 132:19	190:20	trial 243:9,9,23
284:16 291:18	133:21 140:2,13	translating 106:24	244:1,17 253:2
tom 117:19 121:24	155:10	106:25	tribal 194:16
328:11	toxics 140:18 188:5	transmit 297:11	tribes 236:24
tonight 34:19 79:24	track 17:5 149:25	transmitted 78:8	tributary 281:3
tonight's 21:18	202:24 233:10	transparency 128:1	tribute 34:8 117:13
199:24	236:12	transparent 203:15	130:16
tool 99:12 201:21	tract 23:25 211:25	212:16 235:16	tricky 234:14
218:10 223:19	212:4,9 214:23	transportation 38:2	tried 98:22 119:25
224:13 225:11,18	tracts 298:11	42:21,24 44:14 45:5	182:7 196:3 212:23
227:18 228:16,24	trade 14:2,13,16	45:24 52:7 87:10,12	240:25 273:9 326:6
228:25 229:8 230:7	traditional 289:21	87:17,18 101:4,12	trigger 23:24
230:9,16 231:1,2,14		117:2 208:12	
250.5,10 251.1,2,11		117.2 200.12	

[tris - unique] Page 390

tris 141:3	139:13 152:17	289:7 290:6 295:4	understand 4:6 9:12
trouble 231:12	180:5 201:16 202:5	type 10:20 60:2,3	12:21 44:20 45:10
309:19	217:8 238:18 239:6	135:19 233:20	45:11 47:12 49:1
truck 29:11 79:2	272:10 274:6 283:4	247:22 302:6	51:25 53:14 75:25
114:7	308:25 311:14	types 20:17 68:23	81:14 104:17 121:3
		• •	
trucks 30:5 72:6	317:7 320:24 321:1	109:8 111:1 132:19	128:25 134:11,13
78:22 113:20 242:2	327:7 328:24	202:9 205:19	141:20 217:13
290:3	tsca 133:22	208:19 219:9	225:5 233:17
true 87:13 132:15	tulane 116:17	254:15 270:22	235:11 265:11
260:12	turmoil 89:16	typical 283:10 299:2	311:22
truly 33:10 34:9,22	turn 6:22 17:8 97:7	typically 26:5	understanding 9:16
57:18 93:1 134:10	110:18 111:12	typifies 206:23	13:1 46:4 53:19
257:4,10,14 314:20	122:25 194:6	typo 5:16	61:16 66:7 69:20
truncated 165:13	200:23 230:10	u	101:5 142:10
trust 50:6	293:2 312:1 314:4	u.s. 15:11 21:8 25:1	147:13 150:25
trusting 50:13	314:11 322:4	80:1 201:19 202:23	151:6 152:23
trustworthy 87:5	323:20	218:10	186:25 187:18
truth 128:5,6 134:22	turned 118:24 172:7	uc 206:20	277:15
134:25 257:14	174:10 176:4,14	ugh 308:14	understating 127:5
259:14	272:5	ultimately 27:1	understood 44:19
truthed 228:11	turnout 215:19	169:2 195:13	126:24 213:3 216:5
truthful 204:4	turns 164:5	317:19	216:17 291:23
truthfully 59:13	tuskegee 240:11,13	unbelievable 253:8	undertake 235:10
63:24 66:14 69:11	tutor 170:4	253:12	undertaken 276:20
91:4	tutored 169:23		277:5,12
truthing 204:5	tv 176:2 294:23	unbelievably 272:4 uncertain 221:15	undertook 223:15
254:25	twice 19:15,18,18		undesirable 25:18
try 11:1 58:6 60:22	171:17 251:21	unchartered 293:24	unemployment 78:6
76:8 95:15 100:16	two 4:13,15 18:19	unclear 223:24	124:9
107:9 140:25	22:9 43:18 61:13,23	uncomfortable 60:1	unenforceable
154:14 163:12	64:14,19,22 68:9	60:24	24:15
164:3,14 165:6	110:15 114:21	uncommon 146:16	unfair 10:12 13:9
170:6 180:7 189:13	117:1 127:18	uncover 226:20	unfavorable 101:8
191:15 192:10	138:18 142:5 143:3	undercut 121:19	unfortunate 40:3
201:10 223:17	146:8 150:13	undergoing 309:10	unfortunately 26:23
238:21 260:22	151:24 159:15	undergrad 324:5	91:16 116:18
271:12 277:22	167:5 182:7 183:2	underground 159:8	160:21
297:17 300:24	184:21 188:19	underlies 233:12	unfriendly 100:12
301:10 305:18	189:10 194:13	257:7	unh 139:5,5
308:1 309:17	218:22 219:8,10,19	underlying 259:15	unheard 18:10
trying 36:15 71:5	220:10,24 247:25	259:19	unheeded 30:11
72:7 75:15 80:17	254:15 262:25	underserved 1:3	union 129:6
86:24 91:8 107:2	264:10 265:6,7	106:18 221:20	unions 124:13
108:15 114:24	270:19 274:2,3	233:18	unique 100:4 191:4
			_
116:12 127:4	276:6,7 288:12		284:11

[unite - views] Page 391

unite 2:15 199:3	205:13 216:14	205:6,9,20 209:2,23	vegetarian 173:25
united 21:4,4 114:9	203.13 210.14	203.0,9,20 209.2,23	vehicle 25:13 87:14
160:17 164:1	295:16 317:18	220:4 221:20	297:13
166:10 177:16	use 2:21 22:13 28:11	270:23 274:9,19	vent 310:6,7
		· '	
186:15 196:14	31:12 36:16 45:17	307:24 311:12	venture 280:4
206:25 207:3	53:5 54:22 55:23	315:17,24	venues 187:20
216:11 234:6 278:2	59:13 66:8 68:4,24	usual 46:8	verdict 253:3
278:10 280:15	69:1,2,3 100:4	usually 46:8 47:3	veritext 1:23
282:13	109:21 110:25	178:17,21 189:25	vernice 2:14,23
units 185:3 210:21	123:8 140:4 141:6	277:1 292:8 305:21	52:24 131:4,6 138:7
universities 44:7,8	151:1 172:4,11,19	utah 219:18	143:24 145:5 155:3
44:12 50:16 142:13	175:16,16 178:11	utilities 208:13	155:23 156:4,16
148:22 150:5	190:14 191:19	utilize 99:12	157:4 158:16,18
176:24 192:16	202:11,22 204:5,9	v	167:5 170:21,25
university 32:5	204:10,12,16 205:2	v 24:25 279:11	173:22 187:9
38:16 93:21 106:14	207:14,24 208:7,17	vacant 273:16	188:20,23,24 189:3
116:17 123:3	208:19 209:7,12	290:20 306:25	189:15,24 192:24
144:22 145:8,10,17	210:2,24,25 211:2,5	307:11 313:14	193:8,12,19,23
145:19 146:7	211:11,17 212:3,8	vacuums 290:10,11	197:14 200:2
150:10 163:9	212:25 213:12,19	valid 311:21	201:13 222:4 275:9
173:20 174:8	218:19,25 219:5,13	validate 191:9,10	275:17 279:4
175:21 176:23,24	219:20,22 220:15	204:6 217:8,9	288:12 292:13,17
177:2,9,19 180:4,5	221:1 223:23	validated 184:7	318:18 324:23
185:18 189:8	227:18,23 228:11	206:1 214:25	325:1
200:15,21 224:7	229:2,13 231:22,25	validation 217:11	vernice's 148:20
225:21 276:18	233:13 234:10,12	valley 18:17	version 68:9 250:13
286:4 324:12 325:5	234:17 235:1	valuable 275:13	267:2 287:7
unknown 243:2	238:13,17,21	value 21:9 140:15	vet 39:4 147:4
unpack 164:2	239:21 240:7	267:5	vetting 5:6 272:19
unprecedented	250:24 255:25	valued 12:4	vi 22:18 25:4,19,25
34:14	257:3,6 260:1,2,4	values 12:1 312:20	26:18,22 27:15
unsafe 40:18	260:17 262:3	313:3 315:10	34:13 101:5
unwanted 9:18	264:15 274:8,14	328:19	vicinity 92:7
264:5	275:25 276:12,23	vantage 265:3	victory 161:7
unwavering 160:8	277:20 279:13	variation 204:21	video 6:20,22,24
161:6	283:22 288:18	variety 55:7 124:19	39:10 40:17 262:12
upcoming 152:7	304:9 311:5,16,18	140:25 210:14,15	263:3
updates 21:12	312:3,8,8 314:22	various 28:19	videos 263:4
upenn 149:6	318:24 319:14,14	114:16 202:9	vietnam 116:2
upgrade 293:8	319:22 321:16	205:19 271:6	vietnamese 299:18
upland 40:7	useful 97:9 204:19		view 3:12 64:5
upwards 212:9	227:7 258:18	vary 202:25 vast 5:4	265:22 267:5
uranium 115:3	uses 1:4 25:18 58:5		viewed 151:13
urban 1:5 36:12	58:7 143:2 203:20	vegan 173:23,23	views 36:19 235:5
37:8 119:7 124:19	203:21,25 204:3		

[villages - way] Page 392

villages 206:19		221:2,10,16 224:3	warming 14:4,23
vindicating 25:13	W	224:18,24 228:4	15:5
vinulcating 23.13 vinyl 127:13	wags 59:10	230:10,14,25 231:3	warren 20:23 245:7
violating 82:14	wait 74:7 154:24	230.10,14,23 231.3	
251:14	waiting 143:18	1	washington 32:5
	199:12 253:2	238:23 239:15	115:5 197:3 200:18
violations 26:6	wake 42:1 284:15	247:6 248:12 250:1	237:22
violence 64:15 66:25	walk 46:25 135:24	251:7 252:9 254:11	waste 21:3,10,13
73:9 91:21 94:3	163:15 194:25	257:21 258:20,23	29:9 38:22 39:11,18
virginia 92:21	232:4 259:5 277:14	260:21,24 262:18	39:20 71:15 77:14
virtually 124:16	290:23 307:10	262:23 264:19	82:6 83:15 164:9
visible 289:1	311:2	266:18 269:1	165:10 183:12
vision 11:20 15:18	walking 72:17	275:13 276:2,4	188:4,5 194:11,12
16:4 17:5 133:10	230:24 246:18	278:8,19,21 288:1	196:7
visit 47:23 48:24	285:1 317:1	291:16 292:20,21	wasted 66:1
visited 13:12	walls 143:3	292:22,24 303:19	wastes 155:10
visits 109:18	wands 28:14	305:11,16 306:17	wastewater 29:12
visually 191:23	wannabe 264:6	309:3,12,12,19	29:13,15
voice 49:13 88:18,20	want 13:8 14:19	312:9 318:18,23	watch 247:6,7,12
156:9 286:9	17:5 33:6 34:8 35:4	319:17	watched 163:4,5
voices 18:9	35:6,10,25 36:6	wanted 17:23 34:20	264:2
volume 168:3	41:19 43:2,5,5,6,6	41:25 69:13 71:20	water 27:23 168:25
volumes 210:3	43:21 44:20 46:15	72:23 79:7 85:22	181:11 241:12,21
volunteer 117:20	46:15,24,25 47:13	90:7 96:24 97:10	241:21,22 242:1,1,3
volunteering 63:21	49:15,22 51:4,16	121:25 130:7 161:9	242:13,21,24 243:5
326:3	54:18 55:4 56:1	173:1 174:1 177:23	243:20 244:3,6,6,11
volunteers 52:8	58:21,21 65:3 66:11	177:24 178:9	244:19,22 246:20
117:15,16 119:3	73:14,19 75:12,14	185:24,25 218:14	260:11,13 278:11
120:14	76:10 83:25 84:6,7	218:21 224:25	281:2 289:17
vote 193:4 196:10	84:15 85:9 88:14,23	225:15 226:24	306:16,18,23
196:23	96:12 103:8 105:24	252:8 266:3 268:14	307:19
voted 271:1	108:13,13 112:5,9	269:14 293:17	waters 230:18,19
voter 215:19	112:14 116:8 117:9	294:10,12 295:14	313:8
voters 240:14,18	118:8 130:16 131:6	302:13 306:10	watershed 115:10
voting 215:15 240:2	137:25 141:14	316:13	waterways 20:15
vows 158:2	147:5,9,20,24	wanting 52:8 71:6	watson 120:20
vulnerability	151:20,25 152:19	121:15 146:21	wave 28:15
203:14 204:1	153:9,10,11 156:9	wants 84:24 85:3	wawa 40:24
205:25 206:5	156:24 157:4,17	128:6 151:7 308:2	waxman 119:15
214:20 215:5,7	158:1,3 159:15	312:21,23,24	way 9:23 14:5 15:12
216:2,22 217:21	162:3 164:20 173:3	319:25	22:15 24:22 25:9
219:6 233:24	175:10 176:25	war 39:4 267:7,8	31:23 36:16 44:19
vulnerable 135:7	177:25 186:18	ward 116:4,24	45:18 51:13 69:25
193:15 202:7	197:16 199:15	249:15	77:7 79:10,25 81:23
216:20	207:18 217:19,22	warm 221:11	84:23 96:3 98:9
			99:13 101:8,8 108:4

[way - work] Page 393

108:6 110:2 122:14	225:20 231:10	244:6,24 251:17	windows 289:12
129:18 152:15	238:25 239:14	279:9 291:1 292:10	wing 198:3
153:1,8 162:22	247:23 251:19	308:12 309:22	winnable 66:24
170:11 176:14	254:14,18 264:11	west 30:8 61:23	67:11,16 91:10
180:20,22 184:20	270:16,17 273:20	63:16 64:15 67:14	winning 38:8
191:15 192:6 194:2	274:2 295:9 303:14	92:2 107:13 149:6	wire 176:2
207:24 210:6	307:15 310:18	157:9 182:17,22,24	wisdom 36:22,23
218:22 219:15	319:16	184:11 186:9	90:21 112:18 152:2
224:15 228:15	weak 226:6,9	189:17 214:9	152:3,5 327:1
229:23 231:25	234:17,20	276:19 277:21	wish 203:22
234:19 235:1 240:4	wealthiest 72:14	westfield 188:4	wishes 51:1
240:8 244:7 249:11	136:12,14	westinghouse 71:14	witmer 210:5
251:10 257:18	wearing 90:12	wharton 184:1	witnesses 253:22,23
268:12 277:21	weather 206:15	whatnot 93:23	wits 74:12,21
279:10 283:5	216:10	whatsoever 164:10	wizard 174:23
286:23 291:12,25	web 210:10	whew 56:16	woke 131:1,13
293:10 302:22	website 224:22,23	whiff 236:8	women 138:15
303:17 319:1,8,10	week 45:13 74:12,12	whips 160:5	139:1 283:20
320:8 321:14	83:7 243:9 244:17	whisper 305:18,20	women's 38:8,9
wayne 145:8	271:23 308:7	white 22:8 44:13	324:14
ways 13:11 35:18	weekend 114:14	99:18 135:13,14	wonder 30:10 86:18
71:6 95:22 96:7	weekly 4:21	172:14 174:14,16	317:4
97:25 99:12 101:25	weeks 20:25 23:13	174:18 208:6,13	wondered 146:10
124:2 133:4,6 135:4	weigh 220:15	240:14 242:19	wonderful 3:17,24
140:22 155:5	weight 78:8 212:13	243:14,15 244:4	6:15 31:18 112:4
213:22 218:22	weighted 211:15	248:10 249:10,10	115:2 155:2 174:5
219:21 236:25	212:14 226:10	249:10 298:21	206:13 219:16
247:25 255:11	weighting 203:1	whites 240:14	265:2 294:14 305:2
269:4 284:12	212:10	wholeheartedly	316:6
296:18 304:11	weights 136:17	95:18	woo 61:8
316:22	226:8	wholly 28:21	wood 121:8,21
we've 13:6 20:13,15	weiss 183:25	wide 195:14 235:5	word 37:22 151:1
22:2,3,6,8 23:14	welcome 2:3 3:2,5,5	295:5 297:22	241:14
36:2,2,3 74:22,23	3:5,18 17:13 155:25	widespread 288:22	words 73:5 79:14
82:4 84:21 85:11	312:3	wife 166:3	239:12
92:4 93:7,21 104:15	wells 241:20	wild 159:25	wordy 56:1
104:18 106:24	went 33:24 40:10	wiley 119:3	work 17:10 22:20
109:5,12 110:8,24	41:17 43:9 44:23	william 90:5,5 113:3	33:14,15,18,23 34:7
126:15 129:14	48:10 50:23 78:25	willing 60:14,21	34:11,12,15,24 38:4
144:10,16 145:8	79:5 101:13 118:20	wilson 224:10	39:13 42:8,12,15
146:2,8 148:16	118:25 160:7	wimpy 257:23	43:6,6,25 44:9
150:15,19 166:19	162:21 171:10	win 148:2,2 198:7	45:23 46:13,16 47:5
166:20 186:6 197:4	174:2,4 178:3	wind 91:16 209:8	50:10 51:21 53:15
203:6,7 204:22,23	190:10 237:19	214:8	53:20 55:24 60:3,11
205:7 212:6,23	238:12 242:13,21		61:1 70:1 74:11,13

[work - years] Page 394

01.21 02.4 02.7		wowth 150.24	251,22 25 262,1
81:21 82:4 83:7	workers 124:5,10	worth 159:24	251:22,25 263:1 267:3 268:1 270:25
85:23 87:14 91:5	128:1 258:14,15	239:11 244:21	
93:13,15 95:22 99:4	289:8	worthwhile 9:15	273:6,18 274:4
101:7,8 106:24	working 23:12	10:5	280:2 317:16
107:3 111:25	36:15 38:24 42:24	wound 174:12	323:23 324:2
112:16 113:12	43:8 44:11 46:3	wounded 115:1	325:24 327:21
114:2 116:17	49:12,15 50:5 51:2	wow 174:22 279:4	328:16,17
117:19 119:10	51:19 53:24 60:22	304:18	year's 6:5
121:24 123:15	61:23,24 62:16	wrap 236:20 258:20	yearly 4:7
124:8 127:25 128:2	64:13,14 66:12	260:22 262:14	years 4:9,13 5:8
128:24 130:17	68:22 84:12 93:7	wrecking 289:22	15:6 18:19 22:25
137:5 139:25	95:15 96:1 101:2	wright 125:8 157:18	23:9 30:12 31:1
140:19 141:4,12	104:5 113:15,17	192:14 193:2,9,13	33:15 39:9,10,22
142:6,7 144:9 145:9	114:21 115:2,6,19	193:21	40:17 42:11 46:8
145:10,19 147:4	116:1,7,22 121:14	write 62:8 76:21	56:5 60:7 65:20
148:23 149:12	122:11,12,13	114:24 133:17	67:3,4 75:6 82:5
150:7 152:14	127:24 129:17	155:14 187:12	84:4 85:24 93:7
155:10 161:22,25	130:8 147:11	193:3 302:21	106:17 115:16
165:22 166:5,12	149:11 150:15	writers 155:10	116:18 117:2
167:20 185:10	164:13 193:14	writing 318:1	124:21 127:23
186:20 188:11	198:13 201:24	written 6:11 63:20	129:11 144:16
190:17 191:16	270:4 274:7 293:4	207:16	146:13 147:1
192:7 195:4 202:21	301:3 317:15 319:9	wrong 11:19 59:11	151:10,10 158:22
209:11 219:10	319:10 321:6,9	144:6 176:14 298:6	159:24 163:22
222:9 223:6 224:4,5	workout 42:13	306:11	165:18,25 168:1
224:11,19 225:10	workplace 123:20	wrongs 265:12	169:16,21,22
225:17 226:19	123:25 124:18	X	171:14,22 173:17
228:14 235:15	works 115:25	x 2:1	173:18 174:22
236:15,25 239:2,3,4	178:21 194:2	xyz 231:5	183:20 186:8,9
245:3 257:24 258:4	206:21 261:1		190:9 193:11
269:23 273:18	workshops 303:15	y	194:10,10,13,13
280:4 286:19	world 3:11 15:6	yale 149:6	198:13 201:9,14
291:13 292:6	39:4 54:10 70:17,23	yanoff 304:3	215:12 221:12,12
294:17 304:8,13,16	78:11 94:22 115:16	yard 115:11 207:2	231:10,10,11
305:5 306:6 311:13	130:24 152:22	yeah 234:24 259:8	233:21 235:25
319:7 328:10,22	159:17 161:11	310:14	237:18,21 239:14
worked 37:18 42:20	162:2 179:3,8	year 4:3 5:7 6:3,7	241:13,25 244:23
44:13 47:24 48:3	189:21 267:7,8	43:18 65:24 68:9	247:12 249:3
49:5,22 63:14,14	268:13	82:15 89:12 92:4	262:25 264:1
68:8 79:22,22 92:20	worms 75:13	108:23 109:18	266:12 270:17
94:13 98:17 101:24	worried 86:9	122:11 135:13	271:11,17 273:3,9
116:17 166:7	worse 18:13 20:12	159:21 164:17	273:18 277:18
271:10 287:3	20:13 77:21	176:13 185:7	279:15,19 298:24
288:15 290:22	worst 78:5 94:9	194:10 210:9 247:4	304:25 328:5
304:25		247:18 251:16,18	

[yellow - zulene] Page 395

yellow 79:5 132:4,9 132:11 yesterday 138:2 159:16,18 160:11 206:14 yoga 303:13 york 21:21 126:11 130:4 136:12 158:6 158:7 169:24 174:4 174:5 183:24 184:11,14,15,17 224:8 284:12 288:13 **yorker** 133:1 **young** 45:9,14,21 84:2 87:19 92:23 151:9 169:18 192:6 215:8 327:5 youth 38:3 45:13 301:20 Z **z** 53:13 zanesville 241:4,8 241:23 243:13 244:7,8 **zba** 300:18 **zip** 114:4,15 zisser 151:22,25 **zoning** 28:4 85:3 100:22 172:4,10,12 172:14 173:2 175:17 249:25 270:20 271:2,12 272:3 275:14,15 278:1 279:9,13,16 279:20 280:21 300:18 311:14,14 312:15,17,19 313:5 315:9,14,16,25 316:23 317:5,8,19 318:24 **zoom** 208:16 **zulene** 39:13 78:17 161:3